AN ASSESSMENT REPORT
FOR THE
MT WILLIAMS CLAIM BLOCK,
MAYO MINING DISTRICT,
YUKON TERITORY, CANADA
FOR
CANTEX MINE DEVELOPMENT CORP.
CENTRAL POINT (NAD83 ZONE 8W):
520445 E, 7144224 N
NTS: 106D07

Prepared by
Chad Ulansky P. Geo.

Assisted by
Shadi Morton P. Geo.

Date: August 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. SUMMARY

During the summers and autumns of 2012 and 2013, Cantex Mine Development Corporation ("**Cantex**") carried out an extensive early stage exploration program on its various claim blocks in the Yukon Territory, Canada. In 2012 this work focussed on heavy mineral sampling. In 2013 the program included an extensive soil-sampling program, limited heavy mineral sampling, and a prospecting and rock sampling program.

2. INTRODUCTION AND TERMS OF REFERENCE

2.1 INTRODUCTION

Cantex is a publically traded company based in Kelowna, BC trading on TSX Venture Exchange as CD.V.

The data supporting the statements made in this report have been verified for accuracy and completeness by the author. No meaningful errors or omissions were noted. The sources for the data are given in the "Reference" section of this report.

2.2 UNITS AND CURRENCY

Throughout this report, measurements are in metric units, unless the historic context dictates that the use of Imperial units is appropriate. Tonnages are shown as tonnes ("t"), equivalent to 1,000 kg, linear measurements are metres ("m"), or kilometres ("km") and precious metal values are as grams per tonne ("g Au/t") or troy ounces per ton ("oz Au/T" or "opt"). Grams are converted to ounces based on 31.104 g = 1 troy ounce and 34.29 g/t = 1 oz/T.

3. PROPERTY DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION

3.1 LOCATION

The Mt Williams property is located approximately 115 km northeast of the Town of Mayo. The location of the property relative to the town of Mayo and the Company's Rackla camp is shown in Figure 1. Also portrayed on the map are Cantex's other claim blocks in the central Yukon region.

3.2 PROPERTY DESCRIPTION

The Mount Williams Property is comprised of 28 contiguous Quartz Claims with which this report is concerned. These Claims are MW 1 - MW 28 with Grant Numbers YF43691 to YF43718.

Figure 2 shows the individual claims plotted on topography. Details of the individual claims are presented in Appendix 1.

These Claims are currently in various stakers names and the application for transfer is still pending. Once the transfer has completed, these claims will be owned 100% by Cantex. The approximate centre of the property has an easting of 520445 and northing of 7144224 (UTM zone 8, NAD 83).

Figure 1. Property Location Map of Mount Williams Claim Block



Figure 2. 2013 Mount Williams Claims Map



4. ACCESS, CLIMATE, LOCAL RESOURCES, INFRASTRUCTURE AND PHYSIOGRAPHY

4.1 ACCESS

The Mt Williams claim group is best accessed by helicopter. The 2012 and 2013 field programs were based from the Rackla airstrip where Cantex maintains a camp. The camp is located at 64^{o} 13.4' N 133^{o} 12.2' W. The Mt Williams claim group is 70 kilometers west-northwest from the Rackla camp.

4.2 CLIMATE

The following information was sourced from weatherspark.com.

The data in this report is generated by the weather station at the Mayo Airport (Mayo, Yukon Territory, Canada) which is the nearest major centre with historic meteorological records. The climatic data presented is based on the historical records from 1977 to 2012.

Mayo has a continental climate with short dry cool summers. The area within 40 km of this station is covered by forests (79%), tundra (18%), and lakes and rivers (4%). Mayo experiences dramatic temperature swings through the course of a year, with average temperatures varying from -29°C to 22°C. However, temperatures can fall below -46°C or climb to above 27°C on rare occaisions. The warm season lasts from mid May to mid September with an average daily high temperature above 14°C. Typically the hottest portion of the year is mid July when daytime highs average 22°C and night time lows fall to 10°C.

The cold season lasts from mid November to late February with an average daily high temperature below -11°C. The coldest part of the year is early January when average lows fall to -29°C and daily highs only reach -20°C.

The median cloud cover ranges from 77% (partly cloudy) to 95% (overcast). The sky is cloudiest in late October and clearest in mid March. The clearer part of the year begins around January 23. The cloudier part of the year begins around May 12.

The probability of precipitation is highest in mid November, occurring on 69% of days. Precipitation is least likely in mid April, occurring on 36% of days.

During the warm season there is a 52% chance that precipitation will be observed at some point during a given day. When precipitation does occur it is most often in the form of light rain (66%), thunderstorms (17%), or moderate rain (12%).

During the cold season there is typically a 61% chance of precipitation. When precipitation does occur it is most often in the form of light snow (83%) and moderate snow (15%).

4.3 LOCAL RESOURCES AND INFRASTRUCTURE

The claims are located in a mountainous region which is remote from permanent infrastructure. Elsa and Keno are the closest towns to the project area. With no aviation companies based from either Elsa or Keno, Mayo was used as the location for supplies to be mobilized to camp and samples from camp.

Mayo is a small town and as such has limited availability of goods and services (beyond fixed wing air support) needed to support an exploration program. The bulk of the project's needs were sourced in Whitehorse.

4.4 PHYSIOGRAPHY

As noted in Figure 2, majority of the property lies above the tree line in the moutains of the Yukon Territory. The claim block is drained by a small tributary that is a portion of the watershed of the Beaver River which is located within the Interior Hydrologic Region.

5. HISTORY

The company is not aware of any significant previous work completed within the claims area. The claims were staked in August of 2012.

6. GEOLOGICAL SETTING

6.1 REGIONAL GEOLOGY

The Mt Williams Property is located within the 106D map sheet and the following is retrieved from a geoprocessed file by government of Yukon.

This region is in the foreland belt. The bedrock geology is mainly that of the Mackenzie platform of ancient North America. Three pre-550 million year old rock packages dominate the area: 1) the Backbone Ranges that are underlain by sandstone, conglomerate, shale, slate, quartzite, limestone and dolomite of the Sekwi Formation, Backbone Ranges and Atan Group; 2) the Wernecke Mountains and Rackla Range are underlain by Wernecke Super-group (Gillespie, Quartet and Fairchild Lakes Groups) quartzite, conglomerate, sandstone, siltstone, limestone and dolomite, and Pinguicula Group sedimentary rocks; 3) the Nadaleen Range is largely underlain by Hyland Group siltstone, conglomerate, sandstone, quartzite and limestone.

A regional geologic map is presented in Figure 3. The geologic legend for the map is presented on the following page.

Figure 3. Regional Geological Map of Mount Williams Property




Legend
YDG_regional_units_all
QUATERNARY
MID TO LATE MIOCENE
TERTIARY(?) AND QUATERNARY
PLIOCENE
MIOCENE TO PLIOCENE
OLIGOCENE
LOWER EOCENE
PALEOCENE TO OLIGOCENE
EOCENE
EARLY TERTIARY
TERTIARY
LOWER TERTIARY, MOSTLY(?) EOCENE
LATE CRETACEOUS TO TERTIARY
LATE CRETACEOUS
LATE EARLY CRETACEOUS
MID-CRETACEOUS
EARLY CRETACEOUS
UPPER CRETACEOUS
CRETACEOUS AND (?) OLDER
UPPER JURASSIC AND LOWER CRETACEOUS
LOWER CRETACEOUS
JURASSIC AND LOWER CRETACEOUS
LATE JURASSIC TO EARLIEST CRETACEOUS
JURASSIC
MID-JURASSIC
EARLY JURASSIC
LOWER AND MIDDLE JURASSIC, HETTANGIAN TO BAJOCIAN
LOWER JURASSIC, PLEINSBACHIAN TO TOARCIAN
MESOZOIC
MIDDLE TRIASSIC TO LOWER JURASSIC
LATE TRIASSIC
LATE TRIASSIC AND (?) OLDER
UPPER TRIASSIC
UPPER TRIASSIC, CARNIAN TO NORIAN
UPPER TRIASSIC, CARNIAN AND OLDER (?)
UPPER TRIASSIC TO LOWER JURASSIC
MIDDLE TRIASSIC
MIDDLE TO UPPER TRIASSIC
TRIASSIC
UPPER PALEOZOIC
PROTEROZOIC TO MESOZOIC
DEVONIAN TO CRETACEOUS?
PERMIAN
MIDDLE PERMIAN
LOWER AND MIDDLE PERMIAN
LATE PENNSYLVANIAN TO EARLY PERMIAN
PENNSYLVANIAN TO (?) LOWER PERMIAN
CARBONIFEROUS AND PERMIAN
PALEOZOIC, (?) DEVONIAN AND/OR YOUNGER
DEVONIAN TO UPPER TRIASSIC AND (?) OLDER
PROTEROZOIC AND PALEOZOIC
LATE DEVONIAN TO MISSISSIPPIAN
DEVONIAN, MISSISSIPPIAN AND(?) OLDER
LATE PROTEROZOIC AND PALEOZOIC
UPPER DEVONIAN TO PERMIAN
CARBONIFEROUS TO JURASSIC
UPPER CARBONIFEROUS
CARBONIFEROUS
UPPER CARBONIFEROUS, LOWER AND MIDDLE PENNSYLVANIAN
LOWER AND UPPER CARBONIFEROUS
LOWER CARBONIFEROUS
CARBONIFEROUS TO PERMIAN
MISSISSIPPIAN
DEVONIAN
UPPER DEVONIAN
UPPER DEVONIAN TO LOWER MISSISSIPPIAN
DEVONIAN AND MISSISSIPPIAN
MID-PALEOZOIC?
UPPER LOWER TO LOWER MIDDLE DEVONIAN
MIDDLE DEVONIAN
MIDDLE SILURIAN TO MIDDLE DEVONIAN
SILURIAN AND DEVONIAN
SILURIAN TO MIDDLE DEVONIAN
LOWER AND MIDDLE DEVONIAN
LOWER DEVONIAN
UPPER SILURIAN TO LOWER DEVONIAN
ORDOVICIAN TO DEVONIAN, LOCALLY ?MISSISSIPPIAN
LOWER ORDOVICIAN TO DEVONIAN AND (?) OLDER
ORDOVICIAN TO LOWER DEVONIAN
UPPER CAMBRIAN TO LOWER DEVONIAN
UPPER CAMBRIAN TO SILURIAN
CAMBRIAN TO DEVONIAN
CAMBRIAN TO DEVONIAN OR YOUNGER
UPPER ORDOVICIAN AND SILURIAN
MIDDLE ORDOVICIAN
CAMBRIAN TO SILURIAN
CAMBRIAN TO ORDOVICIAN AND (?) YOUNGER
UPPER CAMBRIAN AND ORDOVICIAN
UPPER CAMBRIAN
MIDDLE CAMBRIAN
LOWER AND MIDDLE CAMBRIAN
LOWER CAMBRIAN
UPPER PROTEROZOIC TO LOWER CAMBRIAN
UPPER PROTEROZOIC TO CAMBRIAN(?)
UPPER PROTEROZOIC
MIDDLE TO UPPER PROTEROZOIC
MIDDLE PROTEROZOIC
LOWER PROTEROZOIC

6.2 PROPERTY GEOLOGY

Detailed geological mapping of the project area has not yet been undertaken by Cantex staff. Unfortunately, a search of publications has not yielded any focused mapping on the area.

In general the property covers the lower proterozoic clastic, sedimentary rocks, comprised of mudstone, shale, siltstone, sandstone, conglomerate (Blusson 1974) and are thought to be part of the Wernecke super-group.

The exploration area occurs within the Omineca morphogeological belt of east-central Yukon. The claims are underlain by a sequence of variably metamorphosed sedimentary rocks deposited on the ancient North American craton margin between 300Ma and 1,000Ma BP.

The sediments were deposited in the Selwyn Basin. Black shales and cherts were deposited in deeper waters while the rarer carbonate rocks were deposited in a shallower environment. (Hart, nd; Monger, 1989; Wheeler and McFeely, 1991; Wheeler et al, 1991).

As this region was beyond the extent of the northward extending glaciation there is typically extensive soil development. Geochemical anomalies detected in such an environment are likely to be of local provenance.

Figure 4. Mt. Williams Property Geology



Figure 5. 2013 Mt. Williams Work Program



7. MINERALIZATION

The majority of the reported mineral deposits in the area consist of Mississippi Valley type and associated vein lead-zinc-silver deposit. Any conclusion on the possible minerals on the property are pending the current exploration results.

8. EXPLORATION

The property was first staked by the company in August of 2012, and little is known of any prior exploration activity within the claim block. In late 2012, a detailed heavy mineral sampling program was undertaken which included four samples on the claim block. In 2013, the property was once again visited by geologists Charles Fipke and Chad Ulansky. A day was spent prospecting and three rock samples were collected. Locations of these samples are presented in Figure 5 and are also contained within Appendix 2.

9. SAMPLING METHOD AND APPROACH

9.1 HEAVY MINERAL SAMPLING

Cantex has developed expertise in heavy mineral sampling techniques targeting gold mineralization. The successful application of these techniques has been demonstrated in exploration programs in both Nevada, USA and the Republic of Yemen.

Successful sampling requires a systematic approach which accounts for local variations in geology, geomorphology, climate and target properties. Using the proprietary techniques developed by CF Mineral Research, minerals considered pathfinders for gold mineralization are concentrated to for subsequent analysis.

Evaluation of the results allows the company to focus its time and assets on exploring areas of potential economic significance.

Sampling procedures utilized for the heavy mineral sampling program were as follows:

- Sample locations were chosen prior to the field program by senior technical staff. These were then digitized and plotted on topographic maps at a suitable scale for field operations. The sample sites were located based on the following factors:
    - Historical data available in the public domain
    - The drainage network
    - Claim locations

- During field operations technicians were transported to the field by helicopter. After completing a sample the technician would be moved to the next proposed location by helicopter.
- The technician chose the specific sample site once the local conditions were evaluated at the digitized location. The technicians selected a site where heavy minerals would naturally be concentrated.
- Once the specific site was selected a 10 kilogram sample of sediments sieved to -20 mesh was collected. The site was then plotted on the field map and the coordinates saved in a handheld GPS. Field maps and GPS coordinates were collected at the end of each day.
- At the end of each day the collected samples were transported to the base of operations by helicopter and then stored in a secure location. At the end of the program the samples were shipped in sealed megabags to Kelowna, BC for processing.

9.2 ROCK SAMPLING

A prospecting program was undertaken on the license. This location of the areas to be prospected was driven by several factors including past sample results, local geology, and any mineralization seen by technicians previously on the property. Should potential mineralization be encountered while prospecting it was sampled. The following procedures were used for the prospecting / rock sampling program:

- Field access by the geologists was by helicopter. Once in the area of interest the geologists completed traverses of the selected area.

- When a sample was found that was of interest the geologist followed the following protocol:
    - A photo of the sample was taken
    - Coordinates of the sample were recorded in a GPS
    - A description of the sample was recorded
    - A grab sample was collected of approximately 1 to 2 kg for analysis.

- At the end of each day this information was collected and compiled.

- At the end of each day the collected samples were transported to the camp by helicopter and then stored in a secure location. Periodically the samples were flown from camp to Mayo where they were stored in a secure sea-can before being trucked in security sealed mega-bags to CF Minerals Research Ltd in Kelowna, BC for processing.

- At the time of writing eight rock samples have been collected as a part of this program

10. SAMPLE PREPARATION, ANALYSIS AND SECURITY

10.1a HEAVY MINERAL SAMPLE PROCESSING

The till samples are washed and wet sieved in a multi-stage jig to obtain -20 +35, -35 +60 and -60 mesh fraction samples, followed by drying and re-sieving of the same size fractions.

Various density and magnetic separation techniques are used to prepare the heavy mineral concentrates. The minerals of interest include: arsenopyrite and its weathering products scorodite and goethite; stibnite and its weathering product stibiconite; realgar, galkhaite, cinnabar and pyrite.

Once the samples are reduced to the size, density and magnetic fraction required for analysis the procedure is as follows:

- A heavy liquid separation was carried out using the desired fraction. The heavy liquids used are tetrabromoethane (TBE, SG = 2.9 g/cm^3), followed by methylene iodide (MI, SG = 3.09 to 3.20 g/cm^3). The final product of the heavy liquid separation is the desired fraction split into light (SG < 2.9 g/cm^3), intermediate (2.9 g/cm^3 < SG < 3.2 g/cm^3), and heavy portions (SG > 3.2 g/cm^3).

- Magnetic Separation (3 to 4 stages at various magnetic intensities) using a Franz separator to yield fractions with the desired magnetic properties.

- -20+32 HP (Heavy Paramagnetic) and -60 HN (Heavy Nonmagnetic) fractions were prepared for assay.

- The -20+32 HP fraction was digested using a sodium peroxide fusion with a ICPMS finish. The concentrations of 57 elements were determined.

- The -60 HN fraction was assayed using INAA for 34 elements.

10.1b PROCESSING ROCK SAMPLES

The processing of the rock samples is much less involved than the heavy mineral samples. Upon receipt by the CF Mineral Research laboratory the samples are first weighed. Thereafter a small portion of the sample is selected as a reference sample and the remainder of the sample is crushed to 90% passing a 10 mesh sieve. The sample is then homogenized before an approximately 500 gram split of the crushed material was then pulverized to 95% passing through an 80 mesh sieve. A portion of the pulverized material is then vialed ad weighed in grams to three decimal places to be sent for assay.

The analysis is to be conducted at Activation Laboratories Ltd. where the Code 1D Enhanced analysis will be performed using INAA. At the time of writing Cantex is still awaiting results.

10.2 QAQC

During field operations approximately one in every hundred samples was a blank. An empty bag was submitted with the samples to be filled with barren quartz. The barren quartz is to be run as a normal sample to test for any contamination in the preparation and analytical processes.

10.3 SECURITY

Chain of custody procedures were implemented as an integral part of the program. As the samples were collected in the field they were placed in a rice bag. Every 10 to 20 samples the rice bags were sealed with a cable tie and then flown to a staging point and then on back to the camp at the Rackla airstrip. During the period Cantex was operating we were the only people to be using the airstrip.

Alkan Air was used to service the camp, and on their backhauls they would ferry out samples. When the samples arrived in Mayo they were stored in a secure sea container awaiting onward transport. The samples were either driven to Kelowna by Cantex staff or were driven to Whitehorse where the samples were placed in one ton mega bags closed with a numbered tamper proof security seal prior to being shipped with the commercial carrier Manitoulin Transport.

11. RESULTS

11.1 HEAVY MINERAL SAMPLE RESULTS

Sample results for the 4 heavy mineral samples collected in 2012 are presented in Appendix 3. Significantly the -60HN fractions of sample KA1503 was anomalous with 45ppb Au. In the -20 +32 HP fraction samples KA0002, KA1504 and KA1505 were anomalous in the pathfinder elements arsenic and antimony - which are pathfinder elements for Carlin style mineralization.

12. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The results of the heavy mineral samples show that the claim block has the potential to host gold mineralization.

It is recommended that once the rock samples collected during prospecting have been assayed the results from the claim block be assessed to best determine what the next phase of exploration entails. Possible next steps include a detailed prospecting program, a soil sampling program, a geophysical survey or detailed mapping.

13. EXPLORATION EXPENDITURES

The work undertaken on the claim group was a part of a much larger exploration program. As such the work on the claims benefited significantly from economies of scale. Mobilization, camp set-up, equipment, shipping, logistical support and planning were far cheaper than if the work program had occurred in isolation.

The costs associated with the collection of heavy mineral samples in 2012 were $662.61 per sample. This includes all helicopter, fixed wing, fuel, wages, supplies, mobilization, shipping and other field related costs. Processing and analysis of a heavy mineral sample costs $365.64.

In 2013 the claims were visited on one day for a prospecting program. Helicopter costs are for access from the Rackla camp. $1700 is allocated for wages, field costs, travel, etc. Processing costs for the samples collected in 2013 are not included as results are not yet available.

In total $7,451.00 was spent on the claim group.

Table 1. Claim Group Share of Field Program Costs

Yukon Field Program Costs			
Category	**Unit Cost**	**#**	**Claim Group Cost**
2012 Sample collection costs (per sample)	662.61	4.00	2,650.44
2012 Heavy mineral processing cost (per sample)	365.64	4.00	1,462.56
2013 Helicopter costs (incl fuel per hour)	1,260.00	0.80	1,638.00
2013 Field costs	1,700.00	1.00	1,700.00
Total			**7,451.00**

REFERENCES

Blusson, S. L. Five geological maps of northern Selwyn Basin (Operation Stewart), Yukon Territory and District of Mackenzie, N.W.T. Geological Survey of Canada, Open File 205. 1974.

Hart, C. (nd) The Geological Framework of the Yukon Territory. Yukon Department of Energy, Mines and Resources website. www.geology.gov.yk.ca/publications/summaries/quaternary.html

Monger, J.W.H. (1989) Overview of Cordilleran Geology; Chapter 2: ***In:*** B.D. Ricketts, (ed.). Western Canadian Sedimentary Basin; Canadian Society of Petroleum Geologists, 9-32.

Roots, C. F. Geology of the Mayo Map Area, Yukon Territory (105 M)

Wheeler, J.O. and McFeely, P. (1991) Tectonic Assemblage Map of the Canadian Cordillera. Geological Survey of Canada Map 1712A, 1:2 000 000 scale with legend.

Wheeler, J.O., Brookfield, A.J., Gabrielse, H., Monger, J.W.H., Tipper, H.W. and Woodsworth, G.J. (1991) Terrane Map of the Canadian Cordillera. Geological Survey of Canada Map 1713, 1:2 000 000 scale with legend.

Also see:

<http://ygsftp.gov.yk.ca/publications/openfile/2002/of2002_8d_geoprocess_file/documents/map_specific/106c.pdf>

< http://www.emr.gov.yk.ca/oilandgas/pdf/yukon_overview.pdf>

< http://weatherspark.com/history/28297/2013/Mayo-Yukon-Territory-Canada>

<http://mapservices.gov.yk.ca/Mining/WebMap.aspx>

CANTEX
Mine Development Corporation

203-1634 Harvey Ave
Kelowna, B.C.
Canada V1Y 6G2
Tel 250.860.8582
Fax 250.860.1362

August 30, 2013

RE: Statement of Qualifications

I, Chad Stanley Ulansky, geologist with business address in Kelowna, British Columbia and residential address in West Kelowna, British Columbia, do hereby certify that:

1. I graduated from the University of Cape Town, South Africa in 1998 with a B.Sc. (Honours) in Geology.

2. I am a member of the Association of Professional Engineers and Geoscientists of British Columbia (registration number 37150).

3. I am a member of the Association of Professional Geoscientists of Ontario (registration number 1800).

4. I have been actively involved in mineral exploration since 1991.

5. I have personally participated in and supervised the work reported herein.

Signed,

Chad Stanley Ulansky
B.Sc., P.Geo.

APPENDIX 1: TABLE OF CLAIMS
MT WILLIAMS CLAIM BLOCK

Claim Details for Mount Williams Claims Block

District	Grant Number	Reg. Type	Claim Name	Claim No.	Staking Date	Claim Expiry Date	NTS Map Number
Mayo	YF43691	Quartz	MW	1	05/08/2012	31/08/2013	106D07
Mayo	YF43692	Quartz	MW	2	05/08/2012	31/08/2013	106D07
Mayo	YF43693	Quartz	MW	3	05/08/2012	31/08/2013	106D07
Mayo	YF43694	Quartz	MW	4	05/08/2012	31/08/2013	106D07
Mayo	YF43695	Quartz	MW	5	05/08/2012	31/08/2013	106D07
Mayo	YF43696	Quartz	MW	6	05/08/2012	31/08/2013	106D07
Mayo	YF43697	Quartz	MW	7	05/08/2012	31/08/2013	106D07
Mayo	YF43698	Quartz	MW	8	05/08/2012	31/08/2013	106D07
Mayo	YF43699	Quartz	MW	9	05/08/2012	31/08/2013	106D07
Mayo	YF43700	Quartz	MW	10	05/08/2012	31/08/2013	106D07
Mayo	YF43701	Quartz	MW	11	05/08/2012	31/08/2013	106D07
Mayo	YF43702	Quartz	MW	12	05/08/2012	31/08/2013	106D07
Mayo	YF43703	Quartz	MW	13	05/08/2012	31/08/2013	106D07
Mayo	YF43704	Quartz	MW	14	05/08/2012	31/08/2013	106D07
Mayo	YF43705	Quartz	MW	15	05/08/2012	31/08/2013	106D07
Mayo	YF43706	Quartz	MW	16	05/08/2012	31/08/2013	106D07
Mayo	YF43707	Quartz	MW	17	05/08/2012	31/08/2013	106D07
Mayo	YF43708	Quartz	MW	18	05/08/2012	31/08/2013	106D07
Mayo	YF43709	Quartz	MW	19	05/08/2012	31/08/2013	106D07
Mayo	YF43710	Quartz	MW	20	05/08/2012	31/08/2013	106D07
Mayo	YF43711	Quartz	MW	21	05/08/2012	31/08/2013	106D07
Mayo	YF43712	Quartz	MW	22	05/08/2012	31/08/2013	106D07
Mayo	YF43713	Quartz	MW	23	05/08/2012	31/08/2013	106D07
Mayo	YF43714	Quartz	MW	24	05/08/2012	31/08/2013	106D07
Mayo	YF43715	Quartz	MW	25	05/08/2012	31/08/2013	106D07
Mayo	YF43716	Quartz	MW	26	05/08/2012	31/08/2013	106D07
Mayo	YF43717	Quartz	MW	27	05/08/2012	31/08/2013	106D07
Mayo	YF43718	Quartz	MW	28	05/08/2012	31/08/2013	106D07

APPENDIX 2: SAMPLE LOCATIONS

Locations of the samples in Mount Williams Claims Block

Sample ID	Type	Latitude	Longitude	Datum	Year
KA0002	Heavy Mineral	64.4308	-134.57969	NAD83	2012
KA1503	Heavy Mineral	64.428	-134.598	NAD83	2012
KA1504	Heavy Mineral	64.42999	-134.57644	NAD83	2012
KA1505	Heavy Mineral	64.43	-134.548	NAD83	2012
KAR00221	Rock	64.43071	-134.57959	NAD83	2013
KAR00222	Rock	64.43073	-134.57951	NAD83	2013
KAR00223	Rock	64.43068	-134.5794	NAD83	2013

APPENDIX 3: ASSAY RESULTS
MOUNT WILLIAMS

Sample Name	Analysis and Fraction	Au (ppb)	Ag (ppm)	As (ppm)	Ba (ppm)	Br (ppm)	Ca (%)	Co (ppm)	Cr (ppm)	Cs (ppm)	Fe (%)
KA0002	INAA -20+32 HP	-10	-5	459	-206	774	-3	49.5	135	-2	28.5
KA1503	INAA -20+32 HP	-5	-5	83	-200	310	5	63	220	-2	19.9
KA1504	INAA -20+32 HP	-5	-5	168	1000	1990	-1	59	60	-2	46.6
KA1505	INAA -20+32 HP	-5	-5	345	-200	1090	-1	91	110	-2	50.6

Sample Name	Analysis and Fraction	Hf (ppm)	Hg (ppm)	Ir (ppm)	Mo (ppm)	Na (%)	Ni (ppm)	Rb (ppm)	Sb (ppm)	Sc (ppm)	Se (ppm)
KA0002	INAA -20+32 HP	-1	-5	-50	146	0.0617	-200	-50	71.1	16.2	-20
KA1503	INAA -20+32 HP	2	-5	-50	-20	0.41	-200	-50	20.3	30.5	-20
KA1504	INAA -20+32 HP	-1	-5	-50	140	-0.05	-200	-50	9.4	5.9	-20
KA1505	INAA -20+32 HP	-1	-5	-50	200	0.12	-200	-50	31.2	16.7	-20

Sample Name	Analysis and Fraction	Sr (%)	Ta (ppm)	Th (ppm)	U (ppm)	W (ppm)	Zn (ppm)	La (ppm)	Ce (ppm)	Nd (ppm)	Sm (ppm)
KA0002	INAA -20+32 HP	-0.2	-1	5.94	-1.4	-4	954	29.8	67.2	99	4.32
KA1503	INAA -20+32 HP	-0.2	-1	1.7	4.1	-4	700	13	26	10	3.2
KA1504	INAA -20+32 HP	-0.2	-1	3.4	6.2	-4	600	23	37	-10	3.4
KA1505	INAA -20+32 HP	-0.2	-1	8.6	11.3	-4	2600	50	91	90	6

Sample Name	Analysis and Fraction	Eu (ppm)	Tb (ppm)	Yb (ppm)	Lu (ppm)	cert #
KA0002	INAA -20+32 HP	-0.2	-2	4.05	-0.09	
KA1503	INAA -20+32 HP	1.4	-2	2.3	0.44	#PW12MA45002535
KA1504	INAA -20+32 HP	-0.2	-2	2.2	0.36	#PW12MA45002535
KA1505	INAA -20+32 HP	-0.2	-2	3.8	0.63	#PW12MA45002535

Sample Name	Analysis and Fraction Type	Au (ppb)	Ag (ppm)	As (ppm)	Ba (ppm)	Br (ppm)	Ca (%)	Co (ppm)	Cr (ppm)	Cs (ppm)	Fe (%)
KA0002	INAA -60HN	-22	-10	160	-320	352	-5	59.2	180	-3	11.5
KA1503	INAA -60HN	45	-5	14	2400	193	9	38	180	-2	10.2
KA1504	INAA -60HN	-5	-5	6	400	146	18	9	-10	-2	1.7
KA1505	INAA -60HN	-5	-5	6	13000	91	11	8	-10	-2	1.33

Sample Name	Analysis and Fraction Type	Hf (ppm)	Hg (ppm)	Ir (ppm)	Mo (ppm)	Na (%)	Ni (ppm)	Rb (ppm)	Sb (ppm)	Sc (ppm)	Se (ppm)
KA0002	INAA -60HN	17.6	-8	-50	-32	0.106	-320	-80	22.4	18	-20
KA1503	INAA -60HN	15	-5	-50	-20	0.12	-200	-50	5	17.5	-20
KA1504	INAA -60HN	4	-5	-50	-20	0.06	-200	-50	1.2	2.6	-20
KA1505	INAA -60HN	10	-5	-50	-20	0.07	-200	-50	1.9	2.9	-20

Sample Name	Analysis and Fraction Type	Sr (%)	Ta (ppm)	Th (ppm)	U (ppm)	W (ppm)	Zn (ppm)	La (ppm)	Ce (ppm)	Nd (ppm)	Sm (ppm)
KA0002	INAA -60HN	-0.2	-1	9.12	-1.4	-5	396	72	101	-12	6.7
KA1503	INAA -60HN	-0.2	-1	18.5	6.5	24	300	307	443	160	27.2
KA1504	INAA -60HN	-0.2	-1	6.8	9.7	-4	-200	78	143	60	8.2
KA1505	INAA -60HN	-0.2	-1	19.9	10.7	-4	500	437	648	250	41.4

Sample Name	Analysis and Fraction Type	Eu (ppm)	Tb (ppm)	Yb (ppm)	Lu (ppm)	cert #
KA0002	INAA -60HN	3.72	-2	2.28	-0.12	
KA1503	INAA -60HN	5.9	2	3.9	0.63	#PW12MA45002535
KA1504	INAA -60HN	2.5	-2	1.7	0.26	#PW12MA45002535
KA1505	INAA -60HN	8.9	3	1.3	0.21	#PW12MA45002535

Sample Name	Analysis and Fraction Type	Cu (ppm)	Ni (ppm)	Cr (ppm)	Co (ppm)	Se (ppm)	Zn (ppm)	Pb (ppm)	Cd (ppm)	As (ppm)
KA0002	UT7 -20+32 HP	226	230	140	66	6.2	1380	769	7	440
KA1503	UT7 -20+32 HP	108	110	220	58.2	-0.8	680	181	-2	38
KA1504	UT7 -20+32 HP	79	180	30	38.2	5.4	690	148	4	116
KA1505	UT7 -20+32 HP	279	240	50	59.5	0.9	2230	440	6	241

Sample Name	Analysis and Fraction Type	Sn (ppm)	Sb (ppm)	Mo (ppm)	B (ppm)	Li (ppm)	Be (ppm)	V (ppm)	Mn (ppm)	Ga (ppm)
KA0002	UT7 -20+32 HP	-0.5	68	153	2110	15	3	377	6250	9.6
KA1503	UT7 -20+32 HP	-0.5	20	18	1310	28	-3	753	5670	13.4
KA1504	UT7 -20+32 HP	-0.5	7	129	20	7	-3	102	1800	3.7
KA1505	UT7 -20+32 HP	-0.5	24	167	150	11	4	209	2130	8

Sample Name	Analysis and Fraction Type	Ge (ppm)	Rb (ppm)	Sr (ppm)	Y (ppm)	Nb (ppm)	In (ppm)	Te (ppm)	Cs (ppm)	Ba (ppm)
KA0002	UT7 -20+32 HP	6.2	10.9	97	38.6	8.9	-0.2	-6	0.8	240
KA1503	UT7 -20+32 HP	9.1	11.7	159	35.1	8	-0.2	-6	2.2	188
KA1504	UT7 -20+32 HP	12.9	11.8	20	41	-2.4	-0.2	-6	1.9	155
KA1505	UT7 -20+32 HP	14.1	22.3	40	48.3	5.8	-0.2	-6	4.8	199

Sample Name	Analysis and Fraction	La (ppm)	Ce (ppm)	Pr (ppm)	Nd (ppm)	Sm (ppm)	Eu (ppm)	Gd (ppm)	Tb (ppm)	Dy (ppm)
KA0002	UT7 -20+32 HP	14.1	22.6	2.5	15.4	3.2	1.3	5	0.9	5.9
KA1503	UT7 -20+32 HP	11.9	28.3	4	18.3	4.7	1.9	5.6	0.9	5.7
KA1504	UT7 -20+32 HP	19.6	23.7	4	16.6	3.3	0.9	4	0.6	3.8
KA1505	UT7 -20+32 HP	27.9	40.7	5.8	24.8	5.5	1.5	6.6	1	6.3

Sample Name	Analysis and Fraction	Ho (ppm)	Er (ppm)	Tm (ppm)	Yb (ppm)	Hf (ppm)	Ta (ppm)	W (ppm)	Tl (ppm)	Bi (ppm)
KA0002	UT7 -20+32 HP	1.2	3.4	0.5	2.8	-10	0.4	4.8	2	-2
KA1503	UT7 -20+32 HP	1.2	3.3	0.5	2.8	-10	0.6	3.2	0.3	-2
KA1504	UT7 -20+32 HP	0.8	2.4	0.3	1.9	-10	0.2	-0.7	1	-2
KA1505	UT7 -20+32 HP	1.3	3.9	0.5	3.5	-10	0.5	-0.7	0.7	-2

Sample Name	Analysis and Fraction	Th (ppm)	U (ppm)	Al (%)	Ca (%)	Fe (%)	K (%)	Mg (%)	P (%)	S (%)
KA0002	UT7 -20+32 HP	2.9	9.1	2.8	3.19	39.4	0.4	1.44	0.107	0.25
KA1503	UT7 -20+32 HP	1.3	2.3	4.22	6.81	22.6	0.4	3.49	0.044	0.88
KA1504	UT7 -20+32 HP	2.5	7.8	1.2	0.49	49.4	0.5	0.47	0.183	0.26
KA1505	UT7 -20+32 HP	5.3	9.2	2.44	1.29	50.7	0.6	0.75	0.121	0.19

Sample Name	Analysis and Fraction	Si (%)	Ti (%)	CERT #
KA0002	UT7 -20+32 HP	7.78	1.32	5102-UT7
KA1503	UT7 -20+32 HP	15.9	2.12	PW12MA45002535
KA1504	UT7 -20+32 HP	4.93	0.09	PW12MA45002535
KA1505	UT7 -20+32 HP	5.98	0.29	PW12MA45002535
KA1506	UT7 -20+32 HP	4.19	0.07	PW12MA45002535
KA1507	UT7 -20+32 HP	9.25	0.69	PW12MA45002535