

095207

GEOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT REPORT

ON THE

KIDLARK PROJECT
(WINSTON 1-64, SYDNEY 1-34)

LOCATED
NORTH-EAST OF WHITEHORSE
61° 23' N 133° 59' W

NTS 105E08 & 105F05

IN YUKON TERRITORY, CANADA

WHITEHORSE MINING DISTRICT

FOR WORK DONE
JULY 2008 TO SEPTEMBER 2008

PREPARED FOR:

YANKEE HAT MINERALS LTD. (OWNER)

AND

YANKEE HAT MINERALS LTD. (OPERATOR)

BY

D. Turner, M.Sc. PGeo.
&
L. Arness, B.Sc.

August 15, 2009



088207

GEOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT REPORT

OF THE

PLACER PROJECT

IN THE COUNTY OF ...

...
...
...

...

...

...

...

...

...

Costs associated with this report have been approved in the amount of \$ 54,000.00 for assessment credit under Certificate of Work No. QW28437

M. Sautwick
Mining Recorder
Whitehorse Mining District

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Introduction.....	3
2. History.....	5
2.1 <i>Regional work history</i>	5
2.2 <i>Property work history</i>	5
3. Claims.....	6
4. Geology.....	11
4.1 <i>Regional Geological Setting</i>	11
4.2 <i>Local Geological and Property Setting</i>	12
5. Exploration.....	16
6. Expenditures.....	29
7. References.....	30
Appendix.....	31

LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES:


Figure 1. Property Location.....	4
Figure 2. Historical mapping at the Kidlark property.....	6
Figure 3. Claim block locations.....	10
Figure 4. Total residual field magnetics for the Kidlark area.....	12
Figure 5. Kidlark showing locations.....	13
Figure 6. Kidlark A geology – Wilson showing.....	13
Figure 7. Kidlark B geology – Rasmussen showing.....	14
Figure 8. Kidlark C geology – Arness showing.....	15
Figure 9. Soil sample locations for the Kidlark property.....	19
Figure 10. Zr soil geochemistry results for Kidlark.....	20
Figure 11. Zr/Ca soil geochemistry results for Kidlark.....	21
Figure 12. Cu soil geochemistry results for Kidlark.....	22
Figure 13. Cs soil geochemistry results for Kidlark.....	23
Figure 14. Sn soil geochemistry results for Kidlark.....	24
Figure 15. Ground magnetic survey at the Kidlark property.....	26
Figure 16. Rock sample locations for the Kidlark property.....	27
Table 1. Claim information.....	7
Table 2. Trench soil sample results for the Kidlark property.....	25
Table 3. Selected rock sample assay results from Kidlark.....	28
Table 4. Program Costs.....	29

1. Introduction

The following report summarizes the work done on the Kidlark Project (Winston and Sydney Claims) held by Yankee Hat Minerals. Work was completed between July 2008 and September 2008. The objectives of the project were to analyze the property by prospecting, mapping, soil sampling, and ground geophysical surveys, with the goal of providing enough data to support exploration drilling. An overview of the property location is in figure 1, below.

A statement of costs is provided in section 6 (Expenditures).

Figure 1: Kidlark property location



2. History

Regional Work History

Within the immediate 35 km radius of the Dycer pluton are ~35 Minfile occurrences. Most of these are labeled 'unknown' origin with no commodities listed. Several are asbestos, gold-copper vein, or coal related showings. Of the remaining seven, two relate to placer gold showings on the Dycer pluton, and the other five are W-skarn and Cu-Pb-Zn skarn occurrences.


Among the nearby W skarns are the Hidden (105F129) and Ayduck (105F092) showings, both of which were addressed by the same CUB JV that looked at the mineralization in the Upper Coal River area. The two showings are close by and were worked from one camp in the late 1970's, however; results from the Hidden property were better than those from the Ayduck property and the latter was eventually dropped. Archer Cathro & Associates (1981) Ltd., the operator of the CUB JV, still holds the rights to the Hidden claims through one of their public companies, Strategic Metals Ltd.

The last systematic mapping of the area was done in 1977 by Templeman-Kluit (1977) who mapped the sedimentary sequences of the Cassiar Terrane and mid-Cretaceous plutons that dominate the geology of the region. That work was focused on Mapsheet 105F, and Mapsheet 105E is still not well understood.

Property Work History

Only a small amount of exploration work is recorded as being carried out on the Kidlark showing and is detailed in Hitchins (1980). The original "Hal" claims were staked in June of 1979 and a short six day cursory mapping and prospecting program was carried out by three to five staff. Their soil sampling program essentially comprised one long line along the length of the intrusive contact and consisted of ~100 samples. Several silt samples were also taken. Elements analyzed for included Mo, Cu, Ni, Co, Mn, Fe, Ag, Pb, Zn, and W. Numerous samples showed W values above 20 ppm, with the highest soil geochemical response at 400 ppm. Grab samples of pyrrhotite-scheelite skarn returned many assays in the ~0.5 to 2% range but included results as high as 13% WO₃.

Figure 2. Historical mapping at the Kidlark property.



3. Claims

The Kidlark Project is 102 claims within two sets of adjoining claim blocks, the Winston, and the Sydney Claims. The Winston Claims consist of 64 claims owned by Beverly Quist, Chris Davis, and Laurel Arness; while the Sydney Claims consist of 38 claims owned by Beverly Quist and Laurel Arness. They are held on behalf of Yankee Hat Minerals, with Yankee Hat holding a 100% interest in the claims, as well being the sole operator. The Kidlark property is located in National Topographical System map sheets 105E08 and 105F05 with a centroid of 61° 23' 24" N, 133° 59' 10" W (554157 mE and 6806643 mN, Nad 83, Zone 8N). The resulting zone of interest is approximately 13 km by 2 km and an area of approximately 2100 hectares. The property covers historical

showings with promising descriptions in assessment reports by Hitchins (1980) and Roberston (1981).

The property location is shown in Figure 1, and the location of individual mineral claims is illustrated on Figure 3. All claims are registered with the Whitehorse Mining Recorder, in south-central Yukon Territory. Mineral claim tenure information is summarized below:


Table 1. Claim information


Claim	GrantNumber	Owner	Operator	ClaimExpiryDate
WINSTON 1	YC83056	Beverly Quist - 100%	Yankee Hat	13/08/2009
WINSTON 2	YC83057	Beverly Quist - 100%	Yankee Hat	13/08/2009
WINSTON 3	YC83058	Beverly Quist - 100%	Yankee Hat	13/08/2009
WINSTON 4	YC83059	Beverly Quist - 100%	Yankee Hat	13/08/2009
WINSTON 5	YC83060	Beverly Quist - 100%	Yankee Hat	13/08/2009
WINSTON 6	YC83061	Beverly Quist - 100%	Yankee Hat	13/08/2009
WINSTON 7	YC83062	Beverly Quist - 100%	Yankee Hat	13/08/2009
WINSTON 8	YC83063	Beverly Quist - 100%	Yankee Hat	13/08/2009
WINSTON 9	YC83064	Beverly Quist - 100%	Yankee Hat	13/08/2009
WINSTON 10	YC83065	Beverly Quist - 100%	Yankee Hat	13/08/2009
WINSTON 11	YC83066	Beverly Quist - 100%	Yankee Hat	13/08/2009
WINSTON 12	YC83067	Beverly Quist - 100%	Yankee Hat	13/08/2009
WINSTON 13	YC83068	Beverly Quist - 100%	Yankee Hat	13/08/2009
WINSTON 14	YC83069	Beverly Quist - 100%	Yankee Hat	13/08/2009
WINSTON 15	YC83070	Beverly Quist - 100%	Yankee Hat	13/08/2009
WINSTON 16	YC83071	Beverly Quist - 100%	Yankee Hat	13/08/2009
WINSTON 17	YC83072	Beverly Quist - 100%	Yankee Hat	13/08/2009
WINSTON 18	YC83073	Beverly Quist - 100%	Yankee Hat	13/08/2009
WINSTON 19	YC83074	Beverly Quist - 100%	Yankee Hat	13/08/2009
WINSTON 20	YC83075	Beverly Quist - 100%	Yankee Hat	13/08/2009
WINSTON 21	YC83076	Beverly Quist - 100%	Yankee Hat	13/08/2009
WINSTON 22	YC83077	Beverly Quist - 100%	Yankee Hat	13/08/2009
WINSTON 23	YC83078	Beverly Quist - 100%	Yankee Hat	13/08/2009
WINSTON 24	YC83079	Beverly Quist - 100%	Yankee Hat	13/08/2009
WINSTON 25	YC83080	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	15/08/2009
WINSTON 26	YC83081	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	15/08/2009
WINSTON 27	YC83082	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	15/08/2009
WINSTON 28	YC83083	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	15/08/2009
WINSTON 29	YC83084	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	15/08/2009
WINSTON 30	YC83085	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	15/08/2009
WINSTON 31	YC83086	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	15/08/2009
WINSTON 32	YC83087	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	15/08/2009
WINSTON 33	YC83088	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	15/08/2009
WINSTON 34	YC83089	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	15/08/2009
WINSTON 35	YC83092	Chris Davis - 100%	Yankee Hat	22/08/2009
WINSTON 36	YC83093	Chris Davis - 100%	Yankee Hat	22/08/2009
WINSTON 37	YC83094	Chris Davis - 100%	Yankee Hat	22/08/2009
WINSTON 38	YC83095	Chris Davis - 100%	Yankee Hat	22/08/2009

WINSTON	39	YC83096	Chris Davis - 100%	Yankee Hat	22/08/2009
WINSTON	40	YC83097	Chris Davis - 100%	Yankee Hat	22/08/2009
WINSTON	41	YC83098	Chris Davis - 100%	Yankee Hat	22/08/2009
WINSTON	42	YC83099	Chris Davis - 100%	Yankee Hat	22/08/2009
WINSTON	43	YC83100	Chris Davis - 100%	Yankee Hat	22/08/2009
WINSTON	44	YC83441	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
WINSTON	45	YC83442	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
WINSTON	46	YC83443	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
WINSTON	47	YC83444	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
WINSTON	48	YC83445	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
WINSTON	49	YC83446	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
WINSTON	50	YC83447	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
WINSTON	51	YC83448	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
WINSTON	52	YC83449	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
WINSTON	53	YC83450	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
WINSTON	54	YC83451	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
WINSTON	55	YC83452	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
WINSTON	56	YC83453	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
WINSTON	57	YC83454	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
WINSTON	58	YC83455	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
WINSTON	59	YC83456	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
WINSTON	60	YC83457	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
WINSTON	61	YC83458	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
WINSTON	62	YC83459	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
WINSTON	63	YC83460	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
WINSTON	64	YC83461	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
SYDNEY	1	YC83048	Beverly Quist - 100%	Yankee Hat	13/08/2009
SYDNEY	2	YC83049	Beverly Quist - 100%	Yankee Hat	13/08/2009
SYDNEY	3	YC83050	Beverly Quist - 100%	Yankee Hat	13/08/2009
SYDNEY	4	YC83051	Beverly Quist - 100%	Yankee Hat	13/08/2009
SYDNEY	5	YC83052	Beverly Quist - 100%	Yankee Hat	13/08/2009
SYDNEY	6	YC83053	Beverly Quist - 100%	Yankee Hat	13/08/2009
SYDNEY	7	YC83054	Beverly Quist - 100%	Yankee Hat	13/08/2009
SYDNEY	8	YC83055	Beverly Quist - 100%	Yankee Hat	13/08/2009
SYDNEY	9	YC83462	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
SYDNEY	10	YC83463	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
SYDNEY	11	YC83464	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
SYDNEY	12	YC83465	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
SYDNEY	13	YC83466	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
SYDNEY	14	YC83467	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
SYDNEY	15	YC83468	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
SYDNEY	16	YC83469	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
SYDNEY	17	YC83470	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
SYDNEY	18	YC83471	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
SYDNEY	19	YC83472	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
SYDNEY	20	YC83473	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
SYDNEY	21	YC83474	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
SYDNEY	22	YC83475	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009

SYDNEY	23	YC83476	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
SYDNEY	24	YC83477	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
SYDNEY	25	YC83478	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
SYDNEY	26	YC83479	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
SYDNEY	27	YC83480	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
SYDNEY	28	YC83481	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
SYDNEY	29	YC83482	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
SYDNEY	30	YC83483	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
SYDNEY	31	YC83484	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
SYDNEY	32	YC83485	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
SYDNEY	33	YC83486	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
SYDNEY	34	YC83487	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
SYDNEY	35	YC83488	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
SYDNEY	36	YC83489	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
SYDNEY	37	YC83490	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009
SYDNEY	38	YC83491	Laurel Arness - 100%	Yankee Hat	25/09/2009

Figure 3. Kidlark Claim Group.





Winston claims 1-64
Sydney claims 1-34

Kidlark Property

NTS 105E/8 and 105F/5

Nad 83, Yukon Albers Projection


4. Geology

Regional Geological Setting

The host bedrock for the intrusions comprises clastic rocks of the Earn Group and Lower Paleozoic carbonate rocks of the Cassiar Platform. Significant intrusions of the area have been grouped together within the Cassiar Suite (Driver *et al.* 2000) and labeled the Quiet Lake, Nisultlin, and Big Salmon batholiths; however, many other small plutons, like the Dycer pluton at the Kidlark property, are present in the area. This geological setting has given rise to the nearby Risby and Stormy tungsten deposits, as well as to the Northern Dancer tungsten-molybdenite deposit (also known as Logtung) further to the southwest.

Less advanced projects include the previously mentioned Hidden and Obvious tungsten skarns. Regional geophysical patterns (Residual Total Field Magnetism) show a broad low response along the mineralized margin of the Dycer pluton – most of which is covered by the current arrangement of claims. Where the carbonate rocks diverge from the Dycer pluton a mid-level magnetic signature is seen. Other intrusives of this mid-Cretaceous Cassiar Suite show similar trends of depressed magnetic signature beyond the bounds of the intrusion.

Figure 4. Total residual field magnetics for the Kidlark area.





Local Geology and Mineralization

Already at least five distinct mineralized zones (from North to South; Wilson, Rasmussen, Davis, Brand and Arness showings) have been located in outcrop along a 13 km strike length. The known outcrops are variably mineralized, but in general are characterized as garnet-diopside skarn with minor to major pyrrhotite. Tungsten is found thus far as the mineral scheelite. Typically, the mineralized area begins in barren limestone, moving through recrystallized marble, garnet-diopside skarn, a vuggy quartz pyrite flooded zone, fluid-altered multiphase heterogeneous granite, and unaltered homogeneous granite. Scheelite also occurs within intrusive dykes.

Historical mapping of the Dycer pluton was conducted in 1980 (Hitchins 1980) and that geology is shown in Figure 2. In 2008 preliminary property-wide mapping was undertaken along the length of the contact and more detailed mapping and prospecting was carried out at the Wilson, Rasmussen and Arness showings. The base geology for these locations are shown in the figures below. Also mapped on separate datasets are alteration, veins, dykes, and mineralization.

Figure 5. Kidlark showing locations.





Regional Geology

Kidlark Property
 NTS 105E/8 and 105F/5
 Nad 83, Yukon Albers Projection

REGIONAL GEOLOGY LEGEND

MID-CRETACEOUS

mKC: CASSIAR SUITE

medium to coarse grained, equigranular to porphyritic rocks of largely felsic composition; includes minor (?) amounts questionably of more intermediate composition.

DEVONIAN, MISSISSIPPIAN AND(?) OLDER

DMN: NASINA

4) quartzite, micaceous quartzite, quartz muscovite (+/-chlorite; +/- feldspar augen) schist, and minor metaconglomerate and metagrit, but may locally include significant Klondike Schist Assemblage

CARBONIFEROUS AND PERMIAN

CPA: ANVIL

1) oceanic assemblage of variably altered and foliated, locally augite-phyric basalt (local pillows), diorite and gabbro, chloritic greenstone, amphibolitic greenstone and amphibolite; minor metachert, siliceous argillite or siltstone, greywacke, tuff, and siliceous limestone

MIDDLE SILURIAN TO MIDDLE DEVONIAN

SDA: ASKIN

2) medium grey to buff weathering, medium to thick bedded dolomite, silty and sandy dolomite, limestone; medium to thick bedded, medium grained mature orthoquartzite; dolomitized laminated mudstone and dolomite with vugs, birdseye and fenestral cavities (Askin Gp.)

ORDOVICIAN TO DEVONIAN, LOCALLY ?MISSISSIPPIAN

ODRC: ROAD RIVER - CASSIAR

2) recessive, dark grey to black "sooty" limey or dolomitic thin-bedded to platy graphitic siltstone and fine grained impure quartzite with interbedded graphitic silty shale (Nasina)

LOWER CAMBRIAN

ICR: ROSELLA

resistant, thick bedded to massive, limestone and argillaceous limestone; local archaeocyathid buildups, trilobite fragments, oolites, and pisolites; pisolitic massive dolomite and limestone; marble, calc-silicate, calcareous phyllite and minor schist (Rosella)

UPPER PROTEROZOIC TO LOWER CAMBRIAN

PCI: INGENIKA

4) thin bedded slate, siltstone, quartzite and minor limestone with local medium to coarse grained, feldspathic sandstone to orthoquartzite; muscovite biotite +/- garnet schist, micaceous quartzite, minor amphibolite and marble; rare granodiorite gneiss (Ketz Gp., Harvey Gp.)

Figure 6. Kidlark A geology - Wilson showing.





Figure 7. Kidlark B geology - Rasmussen showing.



5. Exploration

In 2008, detailed mapping, prospecting, silt and soil sampling, trenching, and ground magnetic work was conducted through the months of August and early September. Results from geological mapping and prospecting were largely provided in the previous section. The results of previous historical mapping were determined to be fairly reliable as the scant sample coverage implied that a very small amount of time was actually spent on the ground when the long strike length of the system is considered. Significant improvements in the geological understanding obtained from the 2008 exploration includes a more refined placement of the intrusive contact as well as confirmation of multiple mineralization horizons.

Silt sampling was carried out over the claims. Any response of W was considered anomalous because the detection limit of the Niton XRF is ~100 ppm. Anomalous levels of the elements Mo, Sn, Cs, Cu, Zn, and As were also returned from many of the Kidlark silt samples with varying degrees of spatial overlap. More detailed analysis of these samples is required to properly assess the importance (i.e., strength and spatial significance) of the anomalies.

Soil sampling was carried out in lines across areas where overburden obscured bedrock. Figure 9 shows the locations of the 90 samples taken. The soil samples were not assayed, however they were analyzed by the portable XRF. As the detection limit of the Niton XRF is so high for tungsten, none of the soil points were above the 100 ppm threshold. Other elements, Zr, Ca, Cu, Cs, and Sn had anomalous values and were included in this report.

Three trenches were dug in proximity of the Brand Showing to investigate prospective geological areas. The first trench began at the base of a steep quartz-flooded zone at the margin of the Dycer pluton. It measured approximately 5 m in length, 1 m across and 2 m deep. The trench reached permafrost before outcrop, but distinctively oxidized horizons with abundant scheelite crystals up to ~0.5 cm³ were discovered. This trench was ~25 m along strike from the Brand Showing and suggests continuity of that zone with significant mineralization.

Trench #2 was dug to identify the cause of a distinct magnetic response. Locally, bedrock was dominated by marble with minor felsic dykes with sharp contacts containing trace scheelite. Upon trenching it was observed that the magnetic anomaly in the area was likely caused by a mafic dyke, which gave rise to abundant magnetite-bearing float. This trench was stopped before reaching bedrock.

Trench #3 received one day of digging before the completion of Phase II work. It was placed between Trench #1 and the Brand Showing in an attempt to conclusively tie the showings together. Bedrock was never reached, however, abundant garnet-diopside skarn was found, although it was low in scheelite content. The mineralized zone, if continuous, is likely deeper and possibly several meters down slope from the location of Trench #3.

All trenching was hampered by up to 2 m of snow cover. Niton-obtained geochemical results from the Trench #1 soil samples were very strongly anomalous in W, Au, Mo with some Ag. Because of the highly anomalous values these samples were submitted for further geochemical analysis at a certified laboratory to confirm the results. Gold values showed a lower value (up to 0.557 ppm) while W values showed higher values (up to 10.95 %W). These results are summarized below.

Figure 9. Soil sample locations for the Kidlark property.




Figure 10. Zr soil geochemistry results for Kidlark.




Figure 11. Zr/Ca soil geochemistry results for Kidlark.




Figure 12. Cu soil geochemistry results for Kidlark.




Figure 13. Cs soil geochemistry results for Kidlark.




Figure 14. Sn soil geochemistry results for Kidlark.





Table 2. Trench soil sample results for the Kidlark property.

Sample ID	W ME-ICP61 ppm	W Assay %	Mo ME- ICP61 ppm	Au Assay g/t	Ag ME- ICP61 ppm	Cu ME- ICP61 ppm
Kidlark trench soil 1	7140	0.72	21	0.281	3.4	372
Kidlark trench soil 2	6610	0.67	171	0.274	1.4	826
Kidlark trench soil 3	>10000	1.83	72	0.107	3	1110
Kidlark trench soil 4	>10000	1.07	46	0.148	2.7	852
Kidlark trench soil 5	3920	10.95	106	0.557	7.4	299
Kidlark trench soil 6	2110	9.05	61	0.481	6.5	140
Kidlark trench soil 7	8340	3.06	142	0.355	3	337

Ground magnetic data was collected over several days on the Kidlark property and a total of 11 line km and 14100 data point locations were taken with 2 second intervals (Figure 15). Initial geophysical surveying delineated the very strong magnetic anomaly that was the target of Trench #2. This strong anomaly was erroneously interpreted as skarn mineralization prior to the discovery of a magnetite-phyric mafic dyke. Other intrusive limestone contacts showed a positive response in magnetics; however, the effects from the mafic dyke in proximity to the Brand showing made interpretation difficult in that area. Other contacts further north and south showed more distinct responses.

Figure 15. Ground magnetic survey at the Kidlark property.



Eighteen grab samples (Figure 16) were taken from the Kidlark property and submitted to EcoTech Laboratories in Whitehorse for testing. Assay results for the samples ranged up to 7.68% WO_3 and averaged 1.463% WO_3 with visual estimations of scheelite content by UV fluorescence correlating well with WO_3 % content. The 18 samples were taken from 5 distinct showings over 10 km along the geological contact.

Figure 16. Rock sample locations for the Kidlark property.




Table 3. Selected rock sample assay results from Kidlark.

Sample ID	W (%)	WO ₃ (%)	Description
KL-08-AAB-0034 Brand Showing A	0.382	0.482	Crumbly and rusty massive sch-py-po rock adjacent to a vuggy siliceous zone. Scheelite is fine to coarse grained, with crystals to 1 cm ²
KL-08-AAB-0035 Brand Showing B	3.490	4.401	Siliceous vuggy zone, adjacent to a banded gt-diop skarn. Moderate scheelite mineralization with py-po.
KL-08-AAB-0036 Brand Showing C	0.982	1.238	Dark green, rusty, vuggy diop skarn – well lamping
KL-08-AAB-0037 Brand Showing D	2.940	3.708	Dark green, rusty, vuggy diop skarn – well lamping
KL-08-AAB-0038 Brand Showing E	2.450	3.090	Dark green, rusty, vuggy diop skarn – well lamping
KL-08-CGD-0042 Davis Showing	6.090	7.680	Rusty skarn zone with massive sch/py/po up to 1m in thickness. Next to rusty metasediments ~5 m in width and talus.
KL-08-CGD-0043 Davis Showing	0.054	0.068	Rusty skarn zone with massive py/po Next to rusty metasediments ~5 m in width and talus. No visible scheelite.
LVA 0080 Wilson Showing	<0.001		Entire showing comprises coarse grained dark red to brown garnet dominated skarn zone approximately 2 m thick with patches of coarse scheelite – no visible scheelite in sample LVA0080.
LVA 0081 Wilson Showing	0.344	0.434	Entire showing comprises coarse grained dark red to brown garnet dominated skarn zone approximately 2 m thick with patches of coarse scheelite – visible scheelite in sample LVA0081.
CGD 0060 Near Brand Showing	0.001	0.001	Dolomitic sand between granite and carbonate.
CGD 0061 A Near Brand Showing	0.113	0.143	Rusty garnet epidote skarn zone containing <1cm muscovite. Moderate visible scheelite.
CGD 0061 B Near Brand Showing	0.024	0.030	Rusty garnet epidote skarn zone containing <1cm muscovite. No visible scheelite.

Sample ID	W (%)	WO ₃ (%)	Description
CGD 0062 Amess Showing	0.072	0.091	Rusty skarn zone near contact. Visible scheelite seen.
CGD 0063 Amess Showing	0.007	0.009	Rusty skarn zone near contact with quartz flooding. Visible scheelite seen.
CGD 0064 Davis Showing	0.675	0.851	Rusty skarn zone with massive sch/py/po up to 1m in thickness. Next to rusty metasediments ~5 m in width and talus.
CGD 0065 Near Davis Showing	1.140	1.438	Rusty skarn zone with massive sch/py/po up to 1m in thickness. At granite contact.
CGD 0066 Near Davis Showing	0.893	1.126	Rusty skarn zone with massive sch/py/po up to 1m in thickness. At granite contact.
CGD 0067 Rasmussen Showing	0.068	0.086	Garnet skarn with quartz flooding. At granite – limestone contact.
Mineral abbreviations: sch=scheelite, po=pyrrhotite, py=pyrite, diop=diopside			

6. Expenditures

Expenditures for the Program are outlined in Table 4, below.

Table 4. Program Costs

Item	Cost
Helicopter	\$66,850
Wages	\$64,025
Accommodation	\$4,390
Sample Analysis (ICPMS)	\$1,080
Total	\$136,345

7. References

Doyle, P.J., 1981: Yukon Assessment Report #090890, UNION CARBIDE EXPLORATION LTD

Hitchins, A.C., 1980: Yukon Assessment Report #090618, AMAX POTASH LIMITED.

Robertson, R.C.R., 1981: Yukon Assessment Report #090856, AGIP CANADA LTD.

Templeman-Kluit, D.J. (1977). Quiet Lake and Finlayson Lake map areas, Yukon. GSC open file 486