


094769
C.2

**PROSPECTING AND GEOCHEMICAL
REPORT
ON THE
CLARK/CAMERON PROPERTY
(CLARK 1-2 and CAM 49-62 CLAIMS)**

Mount Cameron Area

NTS 106 D/2
approx. 64° 30' N Lat., 134° 57' W Long.
Mayo Lake Mining District
Yukon, Canada



PREPARED FOR:
TANANA EXPLORATION INC.
c/o 27 Tutshi Road
Whitehorse, Yukon
Y1A 3R4

BY:
WADE S. CARRELL

June 2005

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
INTRODUCTION	1
PROPERTY LOCATION AND ACCESS	1
PROPERTY DESCRIPTION	1
PREVIOUS WORK AND EXPLORATION	4
GEOLOGY	
Regional and Property Geology	5
Mineralization	6
2004 WORK PROGRAM	8
2004 RESULTS	8
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	9
REFERENCES	10

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1 – CLARK/CAMERON – Property Location Map	2
FIGURE 2 – CLARK/CAMERON – Claim Location Map	3
FIGURE 3 – Geology and mineral occurrences in the area of the Clark/Cameron property	7
FIGURE 4 – CLARK/CAMERON – Sample Location Map	in map pocket

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A – Statement of Qualifications	12
APPENDIX B – Waypoint List and GPS Location Coordinates	14
APPENDIX C – Geochemical Analysis Certificates	16
APPENDIX D – Statement of Expenditures	19
APPENDIX E – Crew Log	21

INTRODUCTION

This report describes exploration work carried out during August 2004 on the Clark claims and newly staked Cam claims. Reconnaissance and minor rehabilitation of existing infrastructure, prospecting and geochemical silt and till sampling were carried out in the vicinity of the Clark deposit and the newly staked claims.

PROPERTY LOCATION AND ACCESS

The claims covered by this report are located 73 km northeast of Mayo, Yukon (see Figure 1) within the Mayo Lake Mining District as shown on Claim Map Sheet 106 D/2. The area is situated just north of Mount Cameron of the Davidson Range in the Clark Lakes region of the Selwyn Basin.

Access to the area is by helicopter from Mayo, or alternately by staging from the Keno Hill townsite. A network of historic trails originating in the vicinity of Keno Hill and following the McQuesten Valley or proceeding over Rambler Hill and through the Davidson Range could provide road access to the area.

PROPERTY DESCRIPTION

The Cam 49-62 claims were staked in August 2004, joining two separate previously staked groups of claims, forming a contiguous block of 64 quartz mineral claims collectively known as the Clark/Cameron property. The newly staked claims join the previously staked Clark 1-2 claims to the north with the Cam 1-48 claims to the south, as shown in Figure 2. The author has inspected and maintained most of the claim posts and claim lines which comprise the property and found all to be in good order. Tanana Exploration Inc of Whitehorse, Yukon holds a 100% undivided interest in all 64 claims.

Claim Data

<u>Claim Name</u>	<u>Grant Number</u>	<u>Current Expiry Date</u>	<u>Requested Expiry Date</u>
Clark 1 – 2	YC10419 - YC10420	June 28, 2005	Feb. 7, 2008*
Cam 49 – 62	YC11843 - YC11856	Aug. 6, 2005	Feb. 7, 2008*
Cam 1 – 48	YC02682 – YC02729	Feb. 7, 2008	Feb. 7, 2008**

*This report, application in process.


**Not part of this report, provided for information purposes only.



TANANA EXPLORATION INC.

CLARK/CAMERON PROPERTY
LOCATION MAP

FIGURE 1





TANANA EXPLORATION INC.
CLARK/CAMERON CLAIM LOCATION MAP



FIGURE 2

KILOMETERS

PREVIOUS WORK AND EXPLORATION

The earliest record of work in this area was carried out on the Cameron occurrence (Minfile Occurrence #106D 012) located approximately 5 km to the southwest of the Clark adit. Originally discovered and staked in 1917 this area saw trenching and adit development over the next few years and was mapped and sampled by the Geological Survey of Canada (GSC) in 1921. Further development took place in the late 1940's and early 1950's before the property was taken to lease in 1956, which was taken over by Falconbridge.

High density regional geochemical stream sediment sampling was carried out over a wide area surrounding Keno Hill by the GSC in 1964. The result of this sampling, which included creeks in this area, were released in 1964 and 1965 and the resultant followup lead to the discovery and staking of the Clark occurrence (Minfile Occurrence #106D 011) in 1967. Extensive exploration including prospecting, soil sampling, road construction, stripping and trenching was carried out during 1968 and 1969.

Bullion Mountain Mining Ltd purchased the property in 1970 and carried out addition geological, geochemical and geophysical exploration and drilled the first of many holes on the Clark deposit in September of that year. Bullion Mountain and other companies completed extensive fringe staking of the area and by 1972 the Clark and Cameron occurrences were widely surrounded by newly staked claims.

Scurry-Rainbow Oil Ltd optioned the Clark property in 1972 and in addition to mapping, trenching and geophysical surveying, drilled over 5,700 m on the deposit. Bullion Mountain in a joint-venture with Scurry-Rainbow carried out extensive surface work and underground development of the deposit from 1973 to 1974, before optioning the adjoining Cameron prospect and intersecting significant Pb-Zn-Ag mineralization in drilling in 1974.

Both properties reverted to their original owners and most claims in the area were subsequently allowed to lapse. By the mid 1980's only a handful of claims secured the two occurrences. In 1987 NDU Resources Inc, an Archer Cathro company, optioned the Clark deposit and immediately surrounded both occurrences with over 100 claims before carrying out mapping, sampling and two years of drilling on the deposit.

The Clark deposit was briefly restaked by Manson Creek Resources in 1997 and upon lapsing was staked by Tanana Exploration Inc in June 2002. The nearby Cameron prospect which had been held under lease by Falconbridge for over 40 years, had earlier been restaked by Tanana in February 2001. Noranda Inc briefly optioned the Cameron prospect in 2001 and drilled 3 holes before dropping the option.

Tanana Exploration has subsequently consolidated the area surrounding both occurrences into a single contiguous claim block with the staking of the Cam 49-62 claims in August 2004. Following the recording of these claims, the company carried out trail rehabilitation, prospecting, geochemical sampling, GPS surveying and secured field stored core in the vicinity of the adit. This recent work is described and discussed in this report.

GEOLOGY

Regional and Property Geology

The area lies near the north-central boundary of the Selwyn Basin, a predominantly off-shelf metasedimentary and metavolcanic sequence deposited west of ancestral North America. Regionally the area consists of three major tectonostratigraphic elements. These elements are, from north to south: Middle-Proterozoic shelf sequence carbonate rocks of the Wernecke Supergroup (that are unconformably overlain by Lower to Middle Paleozoic carbonate shelf sedimentary rocks); Upper Proterozoic to Lower Cambrian off-shelf rocks of the Hyland Group; and Devonian to Mississippian rocks of the Earn Group and Keno Hill Quartzite.

Three major fault structures control the geometry of the major stratigraphic units. The northern-most fault, the Dawson Thrust, separates off-shelf rocks of the Selwyn Basin (Keno Hill Quartzite, Earn Group and Hyland Group) from shelf rocks of the Wernecke Supergroup. The central Tombstone Thrust imbricates rocks of the Keno Hill Quartzite and the Earn Group, host of the Marg deposit located approximately 15 km to the southeast (Minfile Occurrence #016D 009). The southern-most fault, the Robert Service Thrust carries rocks of the Hyland Group, which host the Clark/Cameron occurrences, onto the Earn Group and Keno Hill Quartzite. Only the latter two structures are present in the vicinity of the occurrences.

Rocks in the area have been deformed by at least two and locally three, phases of deformation. The structures are generally composed of varying degrees of ductile and brittle deformation, compatible with the lower-to middle greenschist facies metamorphic grade of the region and vary depending upon proximity to the thrust faults. Deformation, metamorphism and imbrication of the varying stratigraphic units occurred during Jurassic to Early Cretaceous (190 to 120 million years ago).


The claimed area, as mentioned lies within one of several thrust panels south of the regional scale Dawson Fault (Figure 3) and is underlain by northwest-southeast striking, moderately to steeply south dipping Upper Proterozoic to Lower Cambrian aged sequence of quartzite, phyllite and limestone of the Hyland Group.

Mineralization

Mineralization at both occurrences, which are located 4.8 km apart, is remarkably similar and in both cases trends north-northeast and dips steeply to the east-southeast. Fault brecciated limestone is an important host in both cases; mineralization at the Cameron prospect is found along a strong zone of faulting, while at the Clark deposit mineralization is in the form of a feeder vein (probably fault controlled) and an irregular manto replacing a graphitic horizon in the limestone.






In both cases galena and sphalerite occur with pyrite, chalcopyrite and arsenopyrite in a gangue of siderite and quartz. A character sample collected from the Cameron adit dump by the GSC assayed 1 388.5 g/t Ag, 40.9% Pb, 10.8% Zn, 0.3% Cu, 0.09% Sb and 0.17 g/t Au. Reserves calculated for the Clark deposit in 1975 totaled 327 373 tonnes grading 5.64% Pb, 4.60% Zn and 254.79 g/t Ag. While this figure has not been updated in accordance with National Instrument 43-101 standards it is considered reliable and relevant and conforms with the definition of an indicated and inferred mineral resource.

It is worthy of note at this point that despite the near proximity of these two occurrences and similarity of the trend and character of the mineralization, that due in large part to the fact that ownership of the individual occurrences has remained mostly separate; exploration has never been carried out in conjunction; the possibility that the mineralization may show continuity between the two occurrences has not been



GEOLOGY AND MINERAL OCCURRENCES IN THE AREA OF THE CLARK/CAMERON PROPERTY

FIGURE 3

-  Devonian to Mississippian Earn Group
-  Mississippian Keno Hill Quartzite
-  Cretaceous Roop Lake Batholith
-  Upper Proterozoic Hyland Group
-  Triassic meta-diorite and gabbro

105M 057

Known mineral occurrence

0 18 km

Scale

investigated; and previous indications of similar mineralization peripheral to each occurrence has apparently never been followed up.

2004 WORK PROGRAM

A total of 10 days was spent in this area from August 4th to August 13th, 2004 during which a total of 13.5 man days was spent carrying out assessable work on the newly staked claims (Cam 49-62) and the previously staked claims (Clark 1-2). The program involved prospecting, geochemical rock, silt and till sampling and infrastructure work, consisting of clearing the discovery showing, rehabilitation of field stored core and minor clearing of existing trails in the showing and adit area. Details are provided in a crew log following this report in Appendix A.

Prospecting was carried out on the Clark 1 and 2 claims, Cam 61 and Cam 53 to 56 claims and along the creek just west of the claim block. Representative rock samples were collected from the vicinity of the adit and waste dump and from the area surrounding the discovery showing and old trenches located in this vicinity. No analysis was carried out on these samples, as there are an abundance of analyses reported in the historic assessment reports referenced herein.

Geochemical silt sampling was completed along the length of the south flowing creek paralleling the western side of the newly staked claims and the gulch above the discovery showing. Till samples were collected from the central portion of the new claims in the area of the low saddle located approximately 3.5 km south of the Clark deposit adit. A total of 11 stream silt and 12 till samples were collected and subsequently submitted for geochemical analysis. *(Note: No costs associated with the collection of stream sediment samples from or prospecting of the creek just west of the claim block have been included for assessment purposes in calculating the attached Statement of Expenditures.)*

2004 RESULTS

Results of stream sediment sampling of the creek adjacent to the west of the newly staked claims supports the idea that mineralization in the area is primarily structurally controlled. The sampled stream which downcuts through the stratigraphy in the area did not return any significantly anomalous results, suggesting that if there is an extension or continuation of mineralization in between the Clark and Cameron occurrences

that it lies east of the stream under the newly staked claims. It also shows that stratigraphically controlled mineralization in the manto of the Clark deposit does not extend west of the feeder zone, as previously observed.

The sample (04S-71) collected in the gulch south of the adit and the discovery (#1) showing did return weakly anomalous values for Mo, Cu, Pb and U. This sample location would lie close to the known trend of the Clark deposit mineralization, although stratigraphically higher, should the known mineralization extend south of the deposit.

Analysis of till samples collected across the low saddle south of the Clark adit and roughly midway between it and the Cameron occurrence returned two weakly anomalous zinc and two weakly anomalous Sb values, all from separate samples sites. The extensive meadow which is found in this area is underlain by silty clay rich material, with no outcrop anywhere in the vicinity. Given the nature and depth of the material sampled and the absence of any indications of nearby bedrock, the weak results do not allow for any conclusion regarding the potential for mineralization in the underlying area.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

While certain conclusion have been drawn from preliminary work on the newly staked Cam claims, the only certainty is where mineralization will likely not be found. This work has provided little conclusive evidence one way or another as to whether additional mineralization will be found underlying the area between the two developed occurrences in this area. Geophysics, particularly EM surveying, has historically been shown to be effective in delineating mineralized zones in this area and is recommended. Continued prospecting is also certainly warranted, as a review of available assessment reports suggests that almost all previous activity in this area has been tightly focused in the immediate vicinity of the Clark and Cameron occurrences.

Now that the two occurrences are held together by a single owner, the establishment of a cut and chained baseline between them followed by EM geophysical surveying, possibly in conjunction with soil sampling, on crosslines spaced initially at 200 m along its length should be carried out. Alternately, if funding could be arranged, an airborne magnetometer and EM survey covering approximately 50 square kilometers surrounding the the two occurrences may be a more effective initial approach.

REFERENCES

BULLION MOUNTAIN MINING LTD, Mar/71. Assessment Report #060945 by D.C. Malcolm.

BULLION MOUNTAIN MINING LTD, Jan/72. Assessment Report #060946 by D.C. Malcolm.

BULLION MOUNTAIN MINING LTD, Sep/72. Assessment Report #062020 by D.C. Malcolm.

BULLION MOUNTAIN MINING LTD, Oct/72. Assessment Report #091303 by G. Schwarz.

BULLION MOUNTAIN MINING LTD, May/74. Assessment Report #062022 by D.W. Tully.

BULLION MOUNTAIN MINING LTD, Feb/75. Assessment Report #062020 by L.S. Trenholme.

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY OF CANADA Map 27-1964, 28-1964, 45-1965, 46-1965, 47-1965, 48-1965, 49-1965, 50-1965, 51-1965, 52-1965, 53-1965, 54-1965, 55-1965, 56-1965.

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY OF CANADA Memoir 357, p. 63-64.

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY OF CANADA Summary Report 1921, Part A, p. 5-6, 113.

GORDEY, S.P. and MAKEPEACE, A.J., 2003. Yukon Digital Geology, version 2.0, S.P. Gordey and A.J. Makepeace (comp); Geological Survey of Canada, Open File 1749 and Yukon Geological Survey, Open File 2003-9(D).

MANSON CREEK RESOURCES LTD, Mar/99. Assessment Report #093987 by J. Eaton.

MINERAL INDUSTRY REPORT 1969-70, p. 19-20; 1971, p.31; 1973, p.15-16; 1974, p. 16-17.

MORIN, J.A., 1989. Yukon Gold-Silver File. Exploration and Geological Services Division, Yukon, Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, Open File 1989-3.

NDU RESOURCES LTD, Mar/88. Assessment Report #092121 by W.D. Eaton.

NDU RESOURCES LTD, Dec/88. Assessment Report #092669 by R.J. Cathro.

NORANDA INC, Jan/2002. Assessment Report #094276 by A.L. Smith.

NORTHERN MINER, 5 Aug/76.

SCURRY-RAINBOW OIL LTD, Mar/73. Assessment Report #019879 by D.C. Malcolm.

SCURRY-RAINBOW OIL LTD, Mar/73. Assessment Report #019880 by T.R. Gallant.

SCURRY-RAINBOW OIL LTD, Mar/73. Assessment Report #019881 by T.R. Gallant.

SCURRY-RAINBOW OIL LTD, Mar/73. Assessment Report #019882 by T.R. Gallant.

SCURRY-RAINBOW OIL LTD, Mar/73. Assessment Report #019883 by G. Schwarz.

SCURRY-RAINBOW OIL LTD, Mar/73. Assessment Report #019884 by D.C. Malcolm.

SCURRY-RAINBOW OIL LTD, Apr/73. Assessment Report #019885 by M.J. Lewis and P.J. Fominoff.

SCURRY-RAINBOW OIL LTD, Sep/73. Assessment Report #091307 by T.R. Gallant.

YUKON EXPLORATION 1984, p.158; 1988, p. 146, 149.

YUKON EXPLORATION AND GEOLOGY 2001, p. 12, 24, 25.

APPENDIX A

Statement of Qualifications

STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

I, Wade Carrell of 27 Tutshi Road, Whitehorse in the Territory of the Yukon,
DO HEREBY CERTIFY:

1. THAT I am a Prospector working independently in Whitehorse, Yukon.
2. THAT I have successfully completed the Yukon Chamber of Mines Basic Prospecting Course (1993) and the Advanced Prospecting Course (1994 and 1998).
3. THAT I have been engaged in mineral exploration and mining for 13 years in the Yukon and B.C. and have worked extensively on both hard rock and placer projects for myself and in the past for 15053 Yukon Inc and Tanana Exploration Inc, both of Whitehorse and for Klondike Gold Corp of Vancouver, B.C.
4. THAT this report is based on property work that I have completed and/or supervised during August 2004 on the Clark/Cameron property.

SIGNED at Whitehorse, Yukon Territory, this 16 day of JUNE 2005.


Wade S. Carrell

APPENDIX B

Waypoint List and GPS Location Coordinates

Waypoint List

Map Name : 106D02.GIF
Map File : C:\OziExplorer\Maps\Maps\50000\106D02.MAP

Datum : NAD83

Waypoint File : C:\OziExplorer\Data\Clark_Cameron.wpt

2005/06/13 12:30:38 PM

Num	Name	Zone	Easting	Northing	Alt(ft)	Description
1	035	8W	502444	7109586	2218	See sample #04TCAM-01 for analysis
2	036	8W	502212	7108995	3995	See sample #04TCAM-02 for analysis
3	04S-71	8W	502120	7110317	4056	
4	04S-72	8W	500835	7111144	2718	
5	04S-73	8W	500920	7110921	2863	
6	04S-74	8W	500923	7110591	3075	
7	04S-75	8W	501024	7110269	3284	
8	04S-76	8W	501118	7109842	3473	
9	04S-77	8W	501203	7109632	3597	
10	04S-78	8W	501357	7109310	3657	
11	04S-79	8W	501390	7109010	3880	
12	04S-80	8W	501286	7108702	3976	
13	04S-81	8W	501220	7108387	4153	
14	037	8W	502115	7109014	3995	See sample #04TCAM-03 for analysis
15	038	8W	502018	7109040	4010	See sample #04TCAM-04 for analysis
16	039	8W	501925	7109047	3995	See sample #04TCAM-05 for analysis
17	040	8W	501845	7109064	4021	See sample #04TCAM-06 for analysis
18	041	8W	502095	7109131	4019	See sample #04TCAM-07 for analysis
19	042	8W	502017	7109159	4004	See sample #04TCAM-08 for analysis
20	043	8W	501943	7109226	4013	See sample #04TCAM-09 for analysis
21	044	8W	501850	7109262	4015	See sample #04TCAM-010 for analysis
22	045	8W	501746	7109267	4016	See sample #04TCAM-011 for analysis
23	046	8W	501585	7109290	3884	See sample #04TCAM-012 for analysis
24	047	8W	502172	7109065	4000	Not submitted for analysis
33	C-ADIT	8W	502148	7110974	3314	
34	C-BOX1	8W	502162	7110786	3454	
35	C-BOX2	8W	502013	7110748	3538	
36	C-BOX3	8W	501994	7110823	3520	
37	C-CAMP	8W	502003	7109132	4012	
38	CAM-49	8W	501773	7107709	4428	
39	CAM-51	8W	501866	7108153	4253	
40	CAM-53	8W	501980	7108591	4093	
41	CAM-55	8W	502113	7109019	4011	
42	CAM-57	8W	502288	7109434	4169	
43	CAM-59	8W	502442	7109865	4436	
44	CAM-61	8W	502602	7110297	4160	
45	CAM-62	8W	502803	7110684	3373	
46	CLRK-1	8W	501948	7111033	3443	
47	CLRK-2	8W	501967	7110601	3588	
105	SHOW-1	8W	502214	7110722	3415	

Map Feature Waypoints

APPENDIX C


Geochemical Analysis Certificates

GEOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS CERTIFICATE

Tanana Exploration Inc. File # A404858
P.O. Box 32100, Whitehorse YT Y1A 5P9




SAMPLE#	Mo	Cu	Pb	Zn	Ag	Ni	Co	Mn	Fe	As	U	Au	Th	Sr	Cd	Sb	Bi	V	Ca	P	La	Cr	Hg	Ba	Tl	B	Al	Na	K	W	Hg	Sc	Tl	S	Ga	Se	Sample
	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	%	ppm	ppm	ppb	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	%	%	ppm	ppm	%	ppm	%	%	%	%	%	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	%	ppm	ppm	gm
045 71	1.4	27.0	11.4	68	.1	19.4	12.1	1066	2.32	12.9	1.1	5.0	1.9	12	.1	.8	.3	34	.17	.058	24	20.4	.43	135	.023	1	1.11	.004	.05	.2	.04	1.6	.2	<.05	4	<.5	30
045 72	.5	10.4	8.1	52	<.1	17.4	8.4	439	2.12	5.2	.5	1.6	7.2	15	.1	.3	.1	21	.39	.044	26	14.7	.43	67	.030	1	.57	.003	.05	.1	<.01	1.2	.1	<.05	2	<.5	30
045 73	.6	14.9	9.0	59	<.1	21.2	9.1	562	2.10	6.2	.5	3.9	6.3	18	.2	.3	.2	22	.43	.049	25	15.5	.46	89	.030	1	.70	.004	.07	.1	.02	1.4	.1	<.05	2	<.5	30
045 74	.6	12.1	8.5	54	<.1	19.3	8.7	550	2.06	6.2	.5	1.0	6.4	16	.2	.3	.1	21	.34	.048	26	15.0	.41	84	.029	1	.62	.004	.05	.2	.01	1.2	.1	<.05	2	<.5	30
045 75	.4	11.8	7.3	55	<.1	18.5	9.0	513	2.07	6.4	.5	1.0	6.6	14	.2	.3	.2	22	.31	.052	25	16.5	.40	73	.027	<1	.62	.004	.05	.1	.14	1.3	.1	<.05	2	<.5	30
045 76	.6	13.8	8.0	60	<.1	21.3	9.9	607	2.11	7.5	.6	1.2	6.4	17	.3	.3	.2	21	.41	.054	25	16.5	.46	82	.032	<1	.71	.005	.05	.1	.02	1.4	.1	<.05	2	<.5	30
045 77	.5	14.5	9.0	70	<.1	23.2	10.9	726	2.43	8.1	.7	5.4	7.4	15	.4	.4	.3	26	.27	.051	29	21.8	.45	91	.038	<1	.79	.003	.08	.1	.02	1.6	.1	<.05	3	<.5	30
045 78	.4	14.8	7.7	65	<.1	19.1	10.1	390	2.11	4.9	.6	.5	6.3	12	.2	.3	.2	22	.24	.045	23	17.6	.46	79	.037	<1	.80	.002	.11	.1	.01	1.5	.1	<.05	3	<.5	30
045 79	.4	17.4	9.6	73	<.1	24.3	11.8	641	2.39	6.0	.9	2.0	7.2	13	.2	.3	.2	24	.21	.048	25	20.3	.54	103	.043	<1	1.07	.004	.12	.1	.01	1.8	.2	<.05	3	<.5	15
045 80	.3	12.8	7.1	66	<.1	19.6	9.4	373	2.25	3.9	.8	2.5	8.0	10	.3	.3	.1	21	.15	.047	28	17.5	.43	82	.040	<1	.87	.003	.12	.1	.01	1.4	.1	<.05	3	<.5	15
045 81	.3	13.9	7.7	65	<.1	20.9	8.6	375	2.15	4.8	1.1	1.3	6.5	9	.2	.2	.1	20	.11	.033	25	18.8	.42	79	.038	<1	.90	.002	.12	.1	.01	1.3	.2	<.05	3	<.5	30



GROUP 1DX - 30.0 GM SAMPLE LEACHED WITH 180 ML 2-2-2 HCL-HNO3-H2O AT 95 DEG. C FOR ONE HOUR, DILUTED TO 600 ML, ANALYSED BY ICP-MS.
(>) CONCENTRATION EXCEEDS UPPER LIMITS. SOME MINERALS MAY BE PARTIALLY ATTACKED. REFRACTORY AND GRAPHITIC SAMPLES CAN LIMIT AU SOLUBILITY.
- SAMPLE TYPE: SEDIMENT S80 6 Samples beginning 'RE' are Reruns and 'RRE' are Reject Reruns.

Data h FA _____ DATE RECEIVED: AUG 23 2004 DATE REPORT MAILED: Sept. 8/04.....



AA
LLAA
LL

GEOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS CERTIFICATE

Panana Exploration Inc. File # 2404855

P.O. BOX 25100, VANCOUVER, B.C. V6Z 1R6

FIELD #	SAMPLE	Hg	Cd	Pb	Zn	Ag	Ni	Co	Mn	Fe	As	U	Mo	Rh	Sr	Os	Sb	Bi	V	Ca	P	La	Cr	Mg	Ba	Tl	B	Al	Mg	K	R	Sc	Ti	S	Hg	Se	Te	De	Sample	
		ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppb	ppm	ppm	ppm	%	ppm	ppm	ppb	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	%	%	ppm	ppm	%	ppm	%	ppm	%	%	%	%	ppm	ppm	ppm	%	ppb	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm

035	DATCH-01	.93	31.10	9.73	96.5	156	25.0	7.4	256	2.20	9.8	.8	4.2	4.7	20.1	.28	.92	.29	37	.32	.083	22.1	26.8	.41	192.2	.041	<1	.95	.037	.05	.1	3.0	.09	.01	49	.2	.02	3.2	30
036	DATCH-02	.57	22.27	7.44	88.1	74	23.8	9.2	358	2.40	5.9	.8	2.2	2.2	6.1	.21	.80	.21	27	.91	.063	17.6	29.8	.46	63.1	.023	1	1.28	.003	.05	<1	1.5	.09	<0.01	34	.4	.02	3.6	30
	RE DATCH-02	.66	21.15	7.12	82.7	70	22.3	8.6	345	2.32	6.7	.8	1.7	2.4	6.1	.20	.81	.22	26	.08	.059	16.6	28.8	.44	62.3	.022	1	1.74	.002	.05	<1	1.4	.08	<0.01	36	.4	<0.02	3.8	30
037	DATCH-03	1.06	22.02	11.78	125.8	95	25.0	11.6	591	3.69	10.2	.9	3.3	3.0	4.1	.25	.81	.35	32	.05	.068	19.7	26.0	.51	63.3	.041	<1	1.37	.002	.12	<1	1.4	.15	.01	36	.6	.03	4.9	30
038	DATCH-04	.87	29.61	12.36	68.1	27	27.6	12.9	556	2.57	10.6	.8	1.7	4.5	11.0	.15	.82	.23	37	.15	.069	16.6	22.3	.46	108.8	.039	1	1.27	.004	.06	.1	2.5	.13	<0.01	29	.6	.03	3.3	30
039	DATCH-05	.83	33.56	12.66	83.5	25	34.1	16.6	833	3.04	8.7	1.0	1.4	5.8	8.5	.17	2.36	.31	31	.12	.062	24.2	22.9	.49	110.2	.026	2	1.91	.020	.68	<1	2.5	.16	<0.01	29	.5	.03	3.5	30
040	DATCH-06	.64	45.56	12.30	93.9	51	33.5	15.1	794	3.12	7.8	.6	2.3	11.5	8.0	.23	.79	.36	33	.09	.045	31.8	22.5	.69	253.1	.033	1	1.64	.005	.12	<1	3.2	.16	<0.01	35	.1	.03	4.4	30
041	DATCH-07	.81	22.67	10.33	84.7	69	26.3	9.5	328	2.31	7.9	1.1	2.4	3.0	13.5	.33	.64	.19	35	.15	.056	18.9	21.4	.45	88.8	.032	1	1.30	.004	.04	<1	2.8	.09	<0.01	49	.7	.03	4.8	30
042	DATCH-08	.91	51.82	13.97	100.3	90	37.5	16.4	772	3.25	10.3	.7	3.0	17.3	.36	.98	.35	40	.21	.072	26.4	26.6	.69	250.7	.042	1	1.58	.006	.13	.1	3.4	.15	<0.01	36	.1	.03	4.4	30	
043	DATCH-09	.60	30.89	11.42	73.4	37	27.9	13.9	569	2.85	5.9	.7	1.9	7.9	5.7	.10	.63	.30	30	.07	.047	28.4	21.7	.53	110.6	.029	1	1.46	.003	.07	<1	2.0	.14	<0.01	22	.1	<0.02	4.9	36
044	DATCH-10	.80	26.68	12.71	73.6	32	25.1	10.7	503	2.37	8.0	.9	2.1	2.1	9.1	.18	.71	.28	29	.13	.071	25.8	23.3	.50	116.0	.037	1	1.41	.003	.07	.1	2.5	.15	<0.01	36	.3	<0.02	4.2	30
045	DATCH-11	.32	36.19	11.34	73.6	42	26.7	12.1	522	2.85	7.4	.8	3.5	6.3	6.7	.09	.68	.30	32	.09	.053	29.0	20.4	.54	102.8	.028	1	1.29	.003	.07	<1	2.0	.13	.01	30	.3	.02	3.9	30
046	DATCH-12	.76	30.35	11.72	91.5	27	22.3	13.6	530	2.50	12.0	.7	2.9	4.9	14.8	.26	1.07	.21	39	.23	.055	10.7	22.2	.43	83.0	.041	2	1.30	.005	.05	.1	2.3	.09	<0.01	39	.5	<0.02	3.3	30
	STANDARD 055	12.59	146.17	25.27	234.1	284	24.9	12.0	792	3.82	18.0	6.3	43.6	2.8	46.4	5.56	1.99	1.22	64	.76	.093	12.2	194.7	.68	133.9	.039	16	2.82	.935	.14	5.1	3.4	1.07	.02	187	5.0	.07	6.5	30

GROUP 1F30 - 30,000 GH SAMPLE LEACHED WITH 180 ML 2-2-2 HCL-HNO3-H2O AT 95 DEG. C FOR ONE HOUR, DILUTED TO 600 ML, ANALYSED BY ICP/ES & NS.
 (>) CONCENTRATION EXCEEDS UPPER LIMITS. SOME MINERALS MAY BE PARTIALLY ATTACKED. REFRACTORY AND GRAPHITIC SAMPLES CAN LIMIT AN SOLUBILITY.
 - SAMPLE TYPE: TILL SS80 60C Samples beginning 'RE' are Retuns and 'RRE' are Reject Retuns.

Data *de* FADATE RECEIVED: AUG 23 2004 DATE REPORT MAILED: *Sept 9/04*

APPENDIX D

Statement of Expenditures

STATEMENT OF EXPENDITURES

CANADA - In the matter of prospecting, sampling and property infrastructure rehabilitation carried out as assessment work filed on the Clark 1-2 and Cam 49-62 quartz mineral claims.

I, Wade Carrell a prospector working in Whitehorse, Yukon do solemnly declare that a program consisting of prospecting, sampling and property infrastructure rehabilitation was carried out on the Clark 1 and 2, Cam 53 to 56 and 61 quartz mineral claims during the period from August 7 to August 12, 2004.

The following expenses were incurred during the course of this work and in the compilation and reporting of the results.

Project supervision, prospecting and sampling:

Wade Carrell, Prospector,	4.5 days @ \$250.00	\$ 1,125.00
	2 days @ \$100.00	200.00

Sampling, prospecting and property infrastructure rehabilitation:

Ivan Elash, Prospector	4.5 days @ \$200.00	900.00
	2 days @ \$100.00	200.00

Property infrastructure rehabilitation, sampling and prospecting:

Dwayne Nykolaychuk, Field Assistant	4.5 days @ \$150.00	675.00
	2 days @ \$100.00	200.00

Camp, supplies and support: 13.5 man days @ \$60.00 810.00

Transportation: Vehicle, 702 km @ \$0.42/km 294.84


Assay and Analysis: Analysis and shipping costs of samples on claims 249.31

Report Preparation and Maps: 772.85

TOTAL COST \$ 5,247.00

And I make this solemn declaration conscientiously believing it to be true and knowing that it is of the same force and effect as if making oath and by virtue of the Canada Evidence Act.

Dated at Whitehorse in the Territory of the Yukon this 16 day of JUNE, 2005.


Wade Carrell, Prospector

APPENDIX E

Crew Log


CREW LOG

Crew: Wade Carrell, Project manager/Prospector
Ivan Elash, Sampler/Prospector
Dwayne Nykolaycuk, Field assistant




<u>Date</u>	<u>Description of work</u>
August 4, 2004	Wade leaves Whitehorse and mobilizes with crew to Mayo
August 5, 2004	Wade flies to area and stakes Cam 49-62 claims, returns to Mayo
August 6, 2004	Wade records claims in Mayo and moves crew to camp on Clark claims
August 7, 2004	Wade and crew complete infrastructure reconnaissance and begin clearing #1 (original discovery) showing
August 8, 2004	Wade and Ivan prospect Clark 1 and Cam 61 claims, Dwayne continues clearing and starts field stored core rehabilitation
August 9, 2004	Wade prospects Clark 1 and 2 claims, Ivan and Dwayne cut access trail to #1 showing and complete clearing of same
August 10, 2004*	All prospect and stream sediment sample Clark 2 claim in the morning and creek adjacent to west of claim block in the afternoon
August 11, 2004	Rain day in camp, sick
August 12, 2004	Wade prospects Cam 53-56 claims, while Ivan and Dwayne carry out till sampling of Cam 53-56 claims.
August 13, 2004	Crew demobilizes to Mayo and returns to Whitehorse.

*Note: Only ½ the costs for this day were claimed as expenditures, due to the fact that this creek lies just off the claim block and therefore the afternoon was not spent doing work on claim.


Costs associated with this report have been
approved in the amount of \$ 5,200⁰⁰
for assessment credit under Certificate of
Work No. QM 00570



Mining Recorder
Mayo Mining District



TANANA EXPLORATION INC.
 Sample Location Map
 Clark/Cameron Property



Way point, see way point list for description

FIGURE 4

094769

C.2

**PROSPECTING AND GEOCHEMICAL
REPORT
ON THE
CLARK/CAMERON PROPERTY
(CLARK 1-2 and CAM 49-62 CLAIMS)**

Mount Cameron Area

NTS 106 D/2
approx. 64° 30' N Lat., 134° 57' W Long.
Mayo Lake Mining District
Yukon, Canada

PREPARED FOR:
TANANA EXPLORATION INC.
c/o 27 Tutshi Road
Whitehorse, Yukon
Y1A 3R4

BY:
WADE S. CARRELL

June 2005