

MAP NO.: ASSESSMENT REPORT X
116 B 3 PROSPECTUS CONFIDENTIAL X
OPEN FILE

DOCUMENT NO: 092851
MINING DISTRICT: Dawson
TYPE OF WORK: Geochemistry

REPORT FILED UNDER: R. Truswell/E. Nelson

DATE PERFORMED: July 1989

DATE FILED: April 30, 1990

LOCATION: LAT.: 64° 07'N

AREA: Lepine Creek

LONG.: 139° 12'W

VALUE \$: 4236.00

CLAIM NAME & NO.: GREAT EASTERN APEX 1-4 YB 05580 - YB 05583
EASTEN 1-10 YB 17920 - YB 17929

WORK DONE BY: J. Kowalchuck (Placer Dome Exploration Ltd.)/R. Truswell

WORK DONE FOR: R. Truswell/E. Nelson

DATE TO GOOD STANDING:

REMARKS: # 8 LEPINE Exploration in 1989 consisted of 113 contour soil samples. A few samples returned values of 10 to 30 ppb gold and up to 270 ppm arsenic. A 100 foot adit dating back to the turn of the century is present on the property. Mineralization consists of veins associated with quartz porphyry dykes cutting chlorite-quartz muscovite schist.

indexed June 22/90

Summarized Feb 25/91

W. B. ...
...
...
...
...

GEOCHEMICAL REPORT

on the

LEPINE PROPERTY

Dawson Mining District

Yukon Territory

NTS 116B/3

64°07'N

139°12'W

092851

CLAIMS

GREAT EASTERN APEX 1-4 (YB05580 - YB05583)
EASTEN 1-10 (YB17920 - YB17929)

FOR

ROBERT TRUSWELL

BY

J.M. KOWALCHUK, B.Sc. F.G.A.C.

April 20, 1990



This report has been examined by
the Geological Evaluation Unit
under Section 53 (4) Yukon Quartz
Mining Act and is allowed as
representation work in the amount
of \$ 4236.00.

W. H. Bayne
Regional Manager, Exploration and
Geological Services for Commissioner
of Yukon Territory.

1961

SUMMARY

Soil and rock sampling on the Lepine Creek property was negative. The only samples that detected any gold values were those taken below an old adit and some samples taken downhill from some old trenches containing altered rhyolite dykes. These samples were probably contaminated by mineralization from the mine and the old trenching. Except for some high lead geochemistry coming from the mine and high arsenic coming from the trenches, all other geochemistry was negative.


More prospecting and geochemical from around the trenches might prove useful.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
SUMMARY	
INTRODUCTION	2
LOCATION AND ACCESS	2
TOPOGRAPHY AND VEGETATION	2
MINERAL PROPERTY AND OWNERSHIP	2
HISTORY	4
GEOLOGY AND MINERALIZATION	4
1989 FIELD PROGRAM	4
SAMPLE PROCEDURE AND HANDLING	5
SOIL RESULTS	5
CONCLUSIONS	6
RECOMMENDATIONS	6
STATEMENT OF EXPENDITURES	6
REFERENCES	7
STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS	7

LIST OF FIGURES

	PAGE
FIGURE 1 - LOCATION MAP	1
FIGURE 2 - CLAIM MAP	3
FIGURE 3 - Sample Location Map	IN POCKET



PLACER DOME INC.

LOCATION MAP

N.T.S.: 116 B/3	TECH: JMK	DATE: JAN 29/90
SCALE: 1"=112.5ml.	DRAFTING: HANDSIGN	FIGURE: 1

INTRODUCTION

Robert Truswell, financed by a prospectors grubstake agreement with Placer Dome Inc. took 113 contour soil samples on his Lepine Creek property. Except for a few samples running 10 to 30 ppb gold the results were all negative. Most of the he weakly anomalous samples were all taken below an old adit. A few samples taken below several trenches containing altered rhyolite were carried up to 30 ppb gold and 270 ppm arsenic. All samples were probably contaminated by mineralized rock coming from the old workings.

LOCATION AND ACCESS (Figure 1)

The Lepine Property on map sheet 116 B/3 about 15 km northeast of Dawson City in the Yukon. Access to the property is by helicopter from Dawson. Late in the summer the property can be reached by motor bike or all terrain vehicle along an abandoned pipeline road going over the Midnight Dome.


TOPOGRAPHY AND VEGETATION

Topographic relief on the property is quite moderate with maximum relief at 500 feet. Vegetation in the valleys is quite swamping with the development of extensive permafrost. Scrub spruce and dwarf birch grows over all of the property.

MINERAL PROPERTY AND OWNERSHIP (Figure 2)

The property consists of 10 Easten claims owned by Robert Truswell and 4 Great Eastern Apex claims owned by Eric Nelson. The claim information is as follows:

<u>CLAIM NAME</u>	<u>GRANT NUMBER</u>	<u>ANNIVERSARY DATE</u>
Easten 1-10 12	YB17920-YB17929	September
Great Eastern/ Apex 1-4	YB05580-YB05583	May 20



PLACER DOME INC.

LEPINE PROJECT

DRAWN BY : JMK
 DATE : JAN 29, 1990
 SCALE 1 : 31,680
 REVISED :

CLAIM LOCATION

FILE N° : 116 B/3

FIGURE 2

HISTORY

The area was first staked between 1899 and 1900, the first claim being Elkhorn, Dynamo etc. Over 100 mineral claims were staked. Several of the claims were surveyed and taken to lease. The area was extensively explored during this period with over 230 shallow shafts, pits and open cuts completed by 1914. A 100 foot adit was also completed. A small cyanide plant was built on Ruiter Creek but there is no record of any production.

In March 1980, Clarke Ashley staked the Spec Claim to the southwest. He performed mapping, geochemistry and VLF EM surveys over the ground.

In 1983, Dawson Eldorado Gold restaked part of the ground as the Klep Claim. In 1984, they staked more ground to cover the adit.

In 1988, Robert Truswell and his partner staked the ground as the Great Eastern and the Easton Claims.

GEOLOGY and MINERALIZATION

The area is underlain by medium-green chlorite-quartz-muscovite and rusty-tan quartz-muscovite schist with minor carbonaceous quartzite, cut by dykes of extensively altered, unfoliated quartz porphyry. The schists are locally silicified adjacent to the dykes. The nature of the mineralization is thought to be vein mineralization related to the altered dykes. The mill test ore from the adit reportedly returned 0.2 to 0.25 oz/ton gold. Samples of mineralized material from the adit taken in 1989, gave only background levels of gold. One galena rich grab sample gave 0.2% lead and 5 ppm silver. Samples of altered dyke material gave very low values for all elements.

1989 FIELD PROGRAM

Under the direction of the author, Robert Truswell took 113 soil samples. Samples were taken at 25 metre intervals both along the claim lines and contoured above the shore of Ruiter Creek.

A total of 15 rock samples were taken from the property. No location map was provided for these samples so they are not discussed. Most of the samples were taken as grabs from the mine dump below the Tapper tunnel and from the old trenches.

SAMPLE PROCEDURE AND HANDLING

The samples were taken using a shovel in order to get below the thick peat moss layer. Samples were generally taken at a 30 to 50 cm depth. An attempt was made to sample the reddish brown B-horizon at all sample locations. Soils were generally well developed with a thick (30 cm) A-horizon, a 5-10 cm B-horizon and sometimes a light brown C-horizon. In a few cases permafrost made digging difficult, however Mr. Truswell persevered and reached the B-horizon.

The samples were stored in kraft paper bags and sent to the Placer Dome Laboratory in Vancouver where they were dried and a minus 80 mesh sample was analyzed for silver, arsenic, gold, copper, lead and zinc. The analytical techniques are described in Appendix 1.

SOIL RESULTS

The soil sample locations and gold analyses greater than 5 ppb are plotted on Figure 3. The analyses are tabulated in Appendix 2.

The results are generally negative with all metals recording near detection levels except below known mineralized areas indicated by old workings.

Below the old Tapper tunnel, a few gold values several samples run up 10 to 20 ppb gold in the soils. Most of the soils in this area are also slightly anomalous in lead with analyses between 30 to 60 ppm lead recorded.

Below the trenches and cyanide plant on Ruitter Creek, three samples gave values up to 30 ppb gold and up to 270 ppm arsenic.

A set of four soil samples gave values of 10 to 40 ppb gold below a gossanous area possibly related to the same structure along which the Tapper tunnel was run.

In all cases, copper, silver and zinc were at background levels.

CONCLUSIONS

The soil geochemistry failed to extend known mineralization and find new areas of mineralization. The levels of anomalous geochemistry over the mineralized areas was quite low with a maximum gold value in soils of 40 ppb, either indicating that the mineralization is quite weak or that soils are not a good tool for searching in this particular location.

Rock samples taken from mineralized material were also quite low in value (10-20 ppb gold) indicating that the mineralization is quite weak.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The soil geochemistry on the Lepine Property suggests that the property is not extensively mineralized. Some question does remain about the usefulness of soils in this particular surficial environment. Detailed trenching of the areas of known mineralization with sampling of fresh mineralized material will determine whether this area is worthy of further exploration.

STATEMENT OF EXPENDITURES**PERSONNEL**

Robert Truswell	
6 days @ \$150/day	\$ 900
John Kowalchuk	
1 day @ \$200/day	200

CAMP COSTS

7 days @ \$40/day	280
-------------------	-----

VEHICLE EXPENSE

6 days @ \$50/day	300
-------------------	-----

HELICOPTER

1 hour @ \$675	675
----------------	-----

ASSAYING


113 soils (Ag,As,Au,Cu,Pb,Zn) @ \$12	1356
15 rocks (Ag,As,Au,Cu,Pb,Zn) @15	225

REPORT AND DRAFTING

300

TOTAL**\$4236****REFERENCES**

Archer Cathro and Associates, 1989; Northern Mineral Inventory, 116 B/3
Lepine




REFERENCES

Archer Cathro and Associates, 1989; Northern Mineral Inventory, 116 B/3 Lepine

STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS


I, JOHN M. KOWALCHUK, do hereby certify that:

1. I am a Geologist, resident at 3086 Mariner Way, Coquitlam, British Columbia.
2. I am a graduate of Mc Master University, Hamilton, Ontario, with a B.Sc. in geology in 1970.
3. I am a Fellow of the Geological Association of Canada.
4. I have practised my profession across Canada over the past 20 years.
5. This report is based on a field examination and supervision of work performed by Robert Truswell.



John M. Kowalchuk BSc, FGAC

Whitehorse, Y.T.
April 20, 1990.



PLACER DOME INC.	
LEPINE PROJECT	
DRAWN BY: <u>HANDESIGN</u>	SAMPLE LOCATION MAP
DATE: <u>JANUARY 29/90</u>	
SCALE: <u>1: 5000</u>	
REVISED:	
FILE N°: <u>116 B/3</u>	FIGURE 3

MAP# 116 B/3 Doc# 092851 (158)

092851

APPENDIX NO 1

Analysis Techniques

GENERAL TEST

Elements: Mo Cu Zn Pb Cd Ni Co Ag Mn

Procedure:

1. Weigh 0.50 g of -80 mesh soil, sediment or -100 mesh pulverized rock into numbered 16 x 150 test tubes. Every tenth sample should be a duplicate sample or an internal known reference standard.
2. Add 1 mL of HNO_3 followed by 2 mL HClO_4 . Samples containing carbonates may react vigorously at first so add 1 mL HNO_3 and let stand until the reaction stops before adding 2 mL HClO_4 .
3. Place tubes in test tube block on hot plate at 160°C . The samples will boil vigorously at first and then decrease as the HNO_3 boils away. Organic samples should be watched to see that they do not foam. If they do foam, then take the test tube out of the block and gently tap the bottom of the tube on an asbestos pad. Highly organic soils can be handled by adding the acid and letting them stand overnight.

The temperature of the hot plate should be set so that after the HNO_3 boils away (45 min \longrightarrow 1 h), then the HClO_4 boils gently and refluxes down the sides of the test tube. Total digestion time is 4 hours.

4. Cool the sample by adding 6 mL demineralized water and immersing the test tube rack in cold water for 2 min. After cooling, bring the volume up to 10 mL, cap, and shake.
5. Read on AA using air/acetylene flame for all elements except Mo which should be run using N_2O /acetylene flame. Background correction should be used on Pb, Cd, Ag. Turn burner head for Zn.

Mo, Cu, Zn, Pb, Ag
GEOCHEM.

GENERAL TEST
Page 2 of 2

Standards:

- all standards are made in 15% HClO₄
- factor is 20

	Standard Concentrate (µg/ml)	AA Setting (ppm)
Cu Zn Pb Co Ni Mn	5.0	100
	10.0	200
Mo	1.0	20
	2.0	40
	4.0	80
Cd	0.10	2.0
	0.50	10.0
	1.00	20.0
Ag	0.50	1.0
	0.10	2.0
	0.20	4.0

add 2ml per 100l
20% AlCl₃ to
STI

Samples giving a reading above the high standard are diluted 1 to 10 with 15% HClO₄ and re-analyzed.

Wavelengths:

Mo	313.3 nm
Cu	324.7
Zn	213.8
Pb	283.3
Cd	228.0
Ni	232.0
Co	240.7
Mn	279.5
Ag	328.0

/ojt
1988-01-15

GOLD TEST

Procedure:

1. Weigh 10.0 g sample into #07 crucible.
2. Heat in furnace for 1 1/2 h @ 600°C.
3. Cool, transfer to 150 mL glass beaker and add 30 mL Aqua Regia (3 parts HCl, 2 parts H₂O, add 1 part HNO₃).
4. Digest at just off the boil for 2 hours.
5. Cool, and bulk up to 110 mL mark on beaker.
6. Stir and leave overnight to settle.
7. Decant 50 mL of sample solution into 25 x 200 mm test tube.
8. Add 7 mL MIBK, cap, and shake in shaker for 3 min.
9. Read organic layer on A.A.

Standards:

1. In 250 mL separate funnel add 10 mL H₂O, 1 mL HCL, 2 drops of HNO₃ and the following amounts of Au:
0.1 mL of 1000µg/mL Au standard = 1 ppm
0.2 mL of 1000µg/mL Au standard = 2 ppm
0.4 mL of 1000µg/mL Au standard = 4 ppm
2. Add 100 mL_A^{mibk} and shake for 3 min.
3. Drain aqueous layer.

For higher samples, standards can be made in 30% aqua regia and the remaining half of the sample can be run in the aqueous phase.

DETERMINATION OF AU BY FIRE ASSAY &

AU
ASSAY METHOD

ATOMIC ABSORPTION

1. Weigh out 25 g sample into a 40 g fire assay crucible containing 150 g flux (2 parts PbO:1 part Na₂CO₃), and 25 g silica flour.
2. Add 1 1/2 tsp flour (~4.5 g) and 1 silver inguart.
3. Mix thoroughly with a large spatula until homogeneous and cover with a thin layer of flux.
4. Place the crucible in a preheated (2000°F) furnace for 45 min.
5. Remove the molten assay from the furnace and pour into an iron mold. Allow to cool for ~20 min.
6. Break the slag from the lead button and hammer into a cube for cupellation.
7. Place the lead button on a preheated cupel.
8. When cupellation has been completed, the cupel is removed from the furnace and allowed to cool.
9. The prill is removed from the cupel and transferred to a graduated test tube.
10. 5 mL 25% HNO₃ is added to each test tube and the test tube rack is placed in a boiling water bath.
11. Continue heating until all Ag is in solution (no bubbling, and Au appears as black speck.)
12. Cool, decant off acid solution. Wash once with 10 mL deionized H₂O, and decant off H₂O.
13. To each test tube add 0.3 mL acid mixture (5 HCl:1 HNO₃) using a pipette.

. . . . /2

HCW/LDO:ojt
1988-09-16
File No. M-002

14. Return test tube rack to water bath and heat until all Au is in solution.
15. Wash down the side of test tube, heat for another 15 min.
16. Remove, cool, and bulk to appropriate volume; making sure the final acid strength is 5% HCl and 1% HNO₃.
17. Stopper test tube & mix by shaking.
18. Run on Atomic Absorption instrument vs Au standards (0-15 ppm).
19. Calculate amount of gold present in sample.

$$\text{ppm Au} = \frac{(\text{AA Reading } [\mu\text{g/mL}]) (\text{Volume [mL]})}{\text{Wt Sample}^x (\text{g})}$$

HCW/LDO:ojt
1988-09-16
File No. M-002

APPENDIX NO 2

Assays

PDI GEOCHEM SYSTEM: Data From: 71-04A LEPINE CREEK

GRID	SAMPLE	PROJECT	Aq PPM	As PPM	Au1 PPB	Cu PPM	Pb PPM	Sb PPM	Zn PPM
116B3		L1R 9180	0.4	3	<5	6	152	<2	14
116B3		L2R 9180	5.0	<2	10	10	2130	4	17
116B3		L3R 9180	<0.2	2	20	6	29	<2	17
116B3		L4R 9180	<0.2	<2	20	2	16	<2	11
116B3		L5R 9180	<0.2	<2	20	2	26	<2	6
116B3		L6R 9180	<0.2	<2	20	3	44	<2	15
116B3		L7R 9180	<0.2	8	20	2	19	<2	12
116B3		L8R 9180	<0.2	<2	20	2	22	<2	8
116B3		L9R 9180	<0.2	<2	20	11	18	<2	70
test	STD P1	9180	0.2	16		22	51	6	120
116B3		L10R 9180	<0.2	<2	<5	3	33	<2	70
116B3		L11R 9180	<0.2	<2	<5	5	24	<2	90
116B3		L11R* 9180	<0.2	<2	10	5	25	<2	92
test	STD AU4	9180			305				

END OF LISTING - 14 RECORDS PRINTED Run on: 89:07:19 at 10:34:25

PDI GEOCHEM SYSTEM: Data From: W CAN 71-04A LEPINE CR

DATE: 8

GRID	SAMPLE	PROJECT	Ag PPM	As PPM	Au1 PPB	Cu PPM	Pb PPM	Zn PPM
116B3	LPF	1R 9274	<0.2	16	<5	14	18	2
116B3	LPF	2R 9274	<0.2	9	<5	5	16	3
116B3	LPF	3R 9274	<0.2	19	<5	4	16	3
116B3	LP	4TR 9274	<0.2	2	<5	5	15	6
116B3	LP	4TR* 9274	<0.2	<2	<5	5	13	6

END OF LISTING - 5 RECORDS PRINTED Run on: 89:08:11 at 11:35:05

PDI GEOCHEM SYSTEM: Data From: 71-04A LEPINE

D

GRID	SAMPLE	PROJECT	Ag PPM	As PPM	Au1 PPB	Cu PPM	Pb PPM	Zn PPM
11683		L15	9190	4	15	6	49	58
test	STD P1	9190	<0.2	19		22	51	120
test	STD AU4	9190	0.2		285			

END OF LISTING - 3 RECORDS PRINTED Run on: 89:07:19 at 13:22:07

GRID	SAMPLE	PROJECT	Ag PPM	As PPM	Au1 PPB	Cu PPM	Pb PPM	Zn PPM	
116B3	LP	1S	9281	<0.2	12	<5	26	21	86
116B3	LP	2S	9281	<0.2	14	<5	19	19	74
116B3	LP	3S	9281	<0.2	12	<5	16	29	65
116B3	LP	4S	9281	<0.2	11	<5	15	27	60
116B3	LP	5S	9281	<0.2	7	10	16	20	66
116B3	LP	6S	9281	0.2	5	10	16	19	70
116B3	LP	7S	9281	<0.2	6	10	15	23	56
116B3	LP	8S	9281	<0.2	4	<5	15	9	47
116B3	LP	9S	9281	<0.2	7	<5	21	10	58
116B3	LP	9S*	9281	<0.2	7	<5	21	11	58
116B3	LP	10S	9281	<0.2	2	<5	20	9	66
116B3	LP	11S	9281	<0.2	3	<5	10	33	46
116B3	LP	12S	9281	<0.2	<2	<5	8	45	64
116B3	LP	13S	9281	<0.2	13	<5	5	31	38
116B3	LP	14S	9281	<0.2	<2	<5	7	39	54
116B3	LP	15S	9281	<0.2	3	<5	8	56	55
116B3	LP	16S	9281	<0.2	7	<5	7	68	50
116B3	LP	17S	9281	<0.2	6	<5	19	23	74
116B3	LP	18S	9281	<0.2	9	<5	14	44	60
test	STD P1		9281	0.2	17		22	52	104
116B3	LP	19S	9281	<0.2	13	<5	10	41	48
116B3	LP	20S	9281	<0.2	12	<5	9	37	46
116B3	LP	21S	9281	<0.2	16	<5	16	32	76
116B3	LP	22S	9281	<0.2	12	<5	19	25	70
116B3	LP	23S	9281	<0.2	10	<5	17	23	70
116B3	LP	24S	9281	<0.2	4	10	31	30	98
116B3	LP	25S	9281	0.4	5	10	26	24	93
116B3	LP	26S	9281	0.2	10	<5	13	25	90
116B3	LP	27S	9281	<0.2	7	<5	21	25	81
116B3	LP	27S*	9281	<0.2	8	5	21	25	76
116B3	LP	28S	9281	<0.2	5	5	17	24	72
116B3	LP	29S	9281	<0.2	8	<5	19	26	61
116B3	LP	30S	9281	<0.2	8	<5	14	48	42
116B3	LP	31S	9281	<0.2	10	<5	18	22	50
116B3	LP	32S	9281	<0.2	11	<5	16	48	43
116B3	LP	33S	9281	<0.2	14	<5	11	30	32
116B3	LP	34S	9281	<0.2	10	<5	10	27	39
116B3	LP	35S	9281	<0.2	20	<5	10	23	43
116B3	LP	36S	9281	<0.2	7	<5	11	26	64
116B3	LP	36S*	9281	<0.2	5	<5	10	23	60
116B3	LP	37S	9281	<0.2	4	15	8	11	60
116B3	LP	38S	9281	<0.2	174	<5	18	27	54
116B3	LP	39S	9281	<0.2	270	30	15	27	55
116B3	LP	40S	9281	<0.2	12	20	18	11	40
116B3	LP	41S	9281	<0.2	5	<5	10	17	42
116B3	LP	42S	9281	0.2	14	<5	16	28	62
116B3	LP	43S	9281	<0.2	11	<5	10	36	44
116B3	LP	44S	9281	<0.2	14	<5	10	44	53
116B3	LP	45S	9281	0.2	13	<5	11	28	51
116B3	LP	45S*	9281	0.2	12	<5	11	27	50
116B3	LP	46S	9281	<0.2	2	<5	13	23	46
116B3	LP	47S	9281	0.4	73	<5	19	22	79
116B3	LP	48S	9281	<0.2	<2	<5	13	21	60
116B3	LP	49S	9281	0.2	5	<5	10	36	40
116B3	LP	50S	9281	0.2	18	<5	7	57	37
116B3	LP	51S	9281	0.4	8	<5	5	45	30
116B3	LP	52S	9281	0.4	10	<5	9	44	30

GRID	SAMPLE	PROJECT	Ag PPM	As PPM	Au1 PPB	Cu PPM	Pb PPM	Zn PPM
11683	LP	53S 9281	0.2	6	<5	14	21	47
11683	LP	54S 9281	<0.2	<2	<5	6	32	28
11683	LP	54S* 9281	<0.2	3	<5	5	32	29
11683	LP	55S 9281	<0.2	8	5	19	28	86
11683	LP	56S 9281	<0.2	10	<5	19	18	70
11683	LP	57S 9281	<0.2	8	<5	18	13	73
11683	LP	58S 9281	<0.2	14	<5	16	15	57
11683	LP	59S 9281	<0.2	7	<5	13	15	43
11683	LP	60S 9281	<0.2	6	<5	12	11	42
11683	LP	61S 9281	<0.2	10	<5	15	16	52
11683	LP	62S 9281	<0.2	7	<5	11	11	42
11683	LP	63S 9281	<0.2	13	<5	16	11	50
test	STD P1	9281	0.2	17		22	52	110
11683	LP	64S 9281	<0.2	8	<5	26	9	50
11683	LP	65S 9281	<0.2	10	<5	13	9	50
11683	LP	66S 9281	<0.2	10	<5	22	10	54
11683	LP	67S 9281	<0.2	7	<5	22	9	56
11683	LP	68S 9281	<0.2	6	<5	26	10	55
11683	LP	69S 9281	<0.2	12	<5	22	18	70
11683	LP	70S 9281	<0.2	5	10	18	8	56
11683	LP	71S 9281	<0.2	12	15	24	11	62
11683	LP	72S 9281	<0.2	9	30	27	10	63
11683	LP	72S* 9281	<0.2	11	40	28	10	65
11683	LP	73S 9281	<0.2	11	<5	23	8	54
11683	LP	74S 9281	<0.2	6	<5	18	9	46
11683	LP	75S 9281	<0.2	8	<5	24	12	62
11683	LP	76S 9281	<0.2	11	<5	20	11	45
11683	LP	77S 9281	0.4	8	<5	25	12	60
11683	LP	78S 9281	<0.2	5	<5	13	12	50
11683	LP	79S 9281	<0.2	3	<5	14	14	62
11683	LP	80S 9281	<0.2	2	<5	10	6	22
11683	LP	81S 9281	0.4	14	<5	7	12	24
11683	LP	81S* 9281	0.4	12	<5	7	11	22
11683	LP	82S 9281	<0.2	3	<5	7	11	26
11683	LP	83S 9281	0.2	6	<5	21	8	45
11683	LP	84S 9281	0.2	3	<5	8	6	29
11683	LP	85S 9281	0.4	3	<5	16	8	38
11683	LP	86S 9281	0.4	12	<5	27	16	50
11683	LP	87S 9281	0.2	12	<5	25	16	63
11683	LP	88S 9281	<0.2	6	<5	15	14	47
11683	LP	89S 9281	0.2	3	<5	23	15	62
11683	LP	90S 9281	0.4	8	<5	20	9	48
11683	LP	90S* 9281	0.3	8	<5	20	10	48
11683	LP	91S 9281	<0.2	4	<5	23	9	44
11683	LP	92S 9281	<0.2	7	<5	28	11	60
11683	LP	93S 9281	0.2	6	<5	26	20	64
11683	LP	94S 9281	0.3	<2	<5	21	11	80
11683	LP	95S 9281	0.2	5	<5	25	15	75
11683	LP	96S 9281	0.2	6	<5	16	11	47
11683	LP	97S 9281	0.2	7	<5	20	23	62
11683	LP	98S 9281	0.2	8	<5	10	32	36
11683	LP	99S 9281	0.4	11	15	27	32	92
11683	LP	99S* 9281	0.4	12	10	25	32	91
11683	LP	100S 9281	<0.2	10	<5	18	23	43
11683	LP	101S 9281	<0.2	18	15	16	31	48
11683	LP	102S 9281	0.6	8	20	8	56	103
11683	LP	103S 9281	0.4	10	10	30	26	87

PDI GEOCHEM SYSTEM: Data From: W CAN 71-04A LEPINE CR

DATE:

GRID	SAMPLE	PROJECT	Ag PPM	As PPM	Au1 PPB	Cu PPM	Pb PPM	Zn PPM	
116B3	LP	104S	9281	0.4	14	<5	26	23	80
116B3	LP	105S	9281	0.4	<2	<5	12	15	63
116B3	LP	106S	9281	0.4	6	<5	20	35	96
116B3	LP	107S	9281	<0.2	4	<5	11	13	65
116B3	LP	108S	9281	<0.2	22	<5	15	18	72
test	STD P1		9281	0.2	20		21	50	105
116B3	LP	109S	9281	0.2	<2	10	22	18	65
116B3	LP	110S	9281	<0.2	8	<5	12	18	76
116B3	LP	111S	9281	<0.2	57	<5	9	21	82
116B3	LP	112S	9281	0.4	26	<5	26	30	88
116B3	LP	113S	9281	0.2	21	5	18	24	66
test	STD P1		9281	0.2	20		22	54	108
test	STD AU5		9281			360			
test	STD AU5		9281			480			
test	STD AU5		9281			400			
test	STD AU5		9281			400			

END OF LISTING - 130 RECORDS PRINTED

Run on: 89:08:22 at 14:13:58