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INTRODUCTION

Placer gold production in the general area has occurred since 1898 or earlier. Dublin Gulch, 5 miles northeast of Swede Creek, has close to 20,000 ounces of recorded production. An 80 foot deep exploration shaft was sunk on lower Swede Creek many years ago according to people living in Mayo. It never reached bedrock and because of this the creek was ignored for a long time. Trenching and drilling by Canada Tungsten Mining Corporation Limited in 1980 and 1982 confirmed the existence of the deep gravels in a buried channel but also indentified a buried right limit bedrock bench with encouraging gold results. Depths to bedrock over this bench were determined to be generally less than fifteen feet. Preliminary trenching in 1986 confirmed the encouraging gold results but added no new information. All of this previous testing had problems in producing reliable gold grades because of the various sampling techniques used.

The author reviewed all available data, studied government of Canada aerial photographs and had conversations with people involved in the previous testing programmes in order to describe and interpret the results and make recommendations for further work. Bulk sampling aided by drilling is recommended in the shallow gold bearing gravels lying on the bedrock bench. A budget of $648,000.00 is proposed.

PLACER CLAIMS

The placer property consists of nineteen placer claims, the RGA #0 to RGA #15 inclusive, which were staked on placer lease #6839 August 29, 1986 and three other claims, number P15555, P15519 and P15556, see Figure 2.

The RGA claims are owned by Grandex Resources Ltd. and have expiry dates of September 11, 1987. The three other claims are held by Grandex Resources Ltd. through an agreement with the owner and have expiry dates of June 11, 1987 for P15555 and P15556 and September 27, 1987 for P15519. Work contemplated in this report will be applied as assessment work to extend expiry dates. All of this information was confirmed by the Mining Recorder for the Mayo Mining Division by telephone on January 12, 1987.

LOCATION AND ACCESS

Swede Creek is a right limit tributary to Haggart Creek situated 25 miles north of Mayo in central Yukon Territory, see Figure 1. The property is accessible by about 40 miles of road from Mayo. A rough 4-wheel drive road runs up Swede Creek from its junction with Haggart Creek.

Mayo is linked to Whitehorse by 253 miles of good all-weather road and during the summer months by regularly scheduled air service.

TOPOGRAPHY AND VEGETATION

Swede Creek is an easterly to south easterly flowing right limit tributary of Haggart Creek which in turn flows into the South McQueston River. The valley bottom of Swede Creek is flat over three hundred to six hundred feet. Over the lower three thousand feet of the creek the right limit bank is steep and the left limit bank is gentle, an important feature in planning for the disposal of waste gravels prior to mining and tailings during mining. The upper 5,000 feet of Swede Creek has a gently sloping right limit bank and a steeply sloping left limit bank. Haggart creek valley bottom is 1,800 feet wide. There is little organic soil present, generally less than a foot, but dense buck brush with few conifers cover the valley floor. There are no natural outcrops except on steeper hillsides. Permafrost can be expected in most areas of the valley bottom.
GEOLOGY AND PREVIOUS WORK

The area is underlain by the "Grit Division" of the Yukon Group made up of a wide assemblage of quartzites, conglomerates, shales, slates, phyllites and schists (memoir 357, L.H. Green 1971). The Mayo region is best known for the lead-zinc-silver mines of the Keno Hill area. Several quartz veins yielded high grade lead-silver-antimony ore on the Peso Silver Mine two miles northeast of Swede Creek.

The property lies in an area of several past and present gold producing placer creeks. The most notable and closest is Dublin Gulch, presently being mined by Canada Tungsten Mining Corporation Limited, some 3 miles northeast of Swede Creek. "Gold production from Dublin Gulch since 1898 is approximately 17,500 ounces of crude gold. The deposits at this site are up to 60 feet deep, and consist of glaciofluvial gravel, and pre-glacial gravel. The gold-bearing portion of the pre-glacial channel was partially removed and reworked by glacial action, and partially buried by glaciofluvial deposits". (Debicki, R.L.-1983, page 79, 80.) The target on Swede Creek is similar in that the drainage has been glaciated and there is some evidence of the basal gravels being older "undisturbed" pre-glacial gravels carrying economic concentrations of gold.

This report deals with placer gold potential of Swede Creek and therefore only surficial geology is described below. Source of gold in the gravels is unknown and no attempt is made to find a bedrock source if it still exists.

The cheapest and most helpful aid in exploring for placer gold in the Yukon is to find old workings and follow them with exploration tests. These old workings date as far back as pre-1900 and are usually old shafts now filled with water and accompanying tailing piles, cabins and equipment. There are two old shafts visible on air photographs on the left limit of Haggart Creek across from the mouth of Swede Creek. Mr. Grant Crooker reported seeing another shaft 800 feet up Swede Creek near the claim line. Mr. Arno Ritter described an old cabin and several shafts a few hundred feet downstream from the mouth of Swede Creek. People from Mayo mention a shaft sunk many years ago on Swede Creek that was 80 feet deep yet failed to reach bedrock. Such a depth of gravel made any hope for a placer gold mine seem impossible and for that reason the creek was ignored until Canada Tungsten Mining Corporation Limited tested the ground as described below.

Secret Creek, a left limit tributary to Swede Creek about one and one-half miles up Swede Creek, has been mined but its production is unknown. Swede Creek has not been mined. Gold fineness on Dublin Gulch is 860-923 and on Haggart Creek 885-895. Fineness of gold on Swede Creek is probably similar.

The following description of the geology of Swede Creek drainage is based on three previous studies:

1) a 1980 trenching and sampling programme carried out by Bema Industries Ltd. for Canada Tungsten Mining Corporation Limited and described in a report dated December, 1980;

2) a 1982 drilling and sampling programme also carried out by Bema Industries Ltd. for Canada Tungsten Mining Corporation Limited and described in a report of early 1983;

3) a 1986 trenching programme carried out by Mr. Arno Ritter, sampled by Mr. Ritter and a geologist, Grant Crooker and samples treated by Bacon, Donaldson & Assoc. Limited.

This last study was not well recorded except the work by Bacon, Donaldson & Assoc. Limited and therefore relies on conversations with people involved. Another report written in July 1986 by Charles of Pamicon Developments Ltd. for Lone Jack Resources, summarizes much of the pre 1986 work and was referred to in writing this report.

1980 trenching was done in three areas as shown on Figure 3. Trenches T1 to T4 were dug about 800 feet above the mouth of Swede Creek. Two trenches T1 and T3 encountered graphitic phylite bedrock at depths of 12 feet and 14 feet. Overburden in all four samples was mixed boulders, gravel and sand with a thin (1 ft.) veneer of soil. Trench T4 displayed some iron oxide stained gravel.

A second area some 2200 feet long, from 2400 feet to 4600 feet above the mouth of Swede Creek, was sampled by trenches T5 to T14 many of which intersected bedrock at depths of 12 to 20 feet. An interesting feature of these trenches is that all of the trenches up the creek from T8 have basal gravel sections stained with iron oxides. Trenches T5 to T8 have no such gravels. Six drill holes forming a section across the creek are located between trenches T5-T6 and trenches T7-T8. This drill section shows a deep channel approximately 200 feet deep on the left limit. Drill hole T10 in the centre of the valley indicates bedrock is 30 feet deep and that the two feet of gravel above bedrock is "brown limonite gravel...containing good gold colours
More significantly, trenches T9 to T14 contain a much thicker section of iron-stained gravel similar to the basal iron-stained gravels in trenches T9 to T14. More than the 2 feet of iron stained gravel in the total thirty foot thick gravel section in hole #10. The iron-stained gravel could be preglacial gravel that has not had its gold content diluted by mixing and reworking by glacial activity. Such pre-glacial gravel is the target sought as it is these gravels that have the best chance of providing economic grade placer gold, such as found elsewhere in western Canada and Alaska in placer gold camps that lie in glaciated terrains.

A third area of trenching occurs one and one-half miles up Swede Creek at the mouth of Secret Creek. Here, trenches T15 to T17 were dug as deep as 25 feet but did not encounter bedrock, although they did cut iron stained gravels.

Interpretation of the 1980 trenching results is difficult because of the sampling method, particularly the small sample size and the lack of determining a gold weight for each sample. A total of 102 (85 pound) uniform volume samples of 0.0261 cubic yards (0.198 cubic meters) were taken in 5-gallon metal buckets from the backhoe bucket during the trench excavations. The 0.0261 cubic yard (85 pound) samples were concentrated by the Doodle Bug sampler or 10 mesh screen and panned to produce a 5 to 10 pound heavy mineral fraction sample. Due to the small proportion of heavy minerals in the samples it was decided to selectively combine the samples to produce a fewer number of aggregate samples. The aggregate samples, averaging 15 to 20 pounds, were washed and screened through a -20 mesh and run over a 'Wilfley Shaker table' to obtain a two to five ounce heavy and a two to five ounce light mineral fraction sample. The final heavy and light fraction samples were sent to Chemex Labs. Ltd. in North Vancouver for geochemical analysis for Au, Ag, W, Sn. "A total of 102 (85 pound) uniform volume samples of 0.0261 cubic yards (0.198 cubic meters) were taken in 5-gallon metal buckets from the backhoe bucket during the trench excavations. The 0.0261 cubic yard (85 pound) samples were concentrated by the Doodle Bug sampler or 10 mesh screen and panned to produce a 5 to 10 pound heavy mineral fraction sample. Due to the small proportion of heavy minerals in the samples it was decided to selectively combine the samples to produce a fewer number of aggregate samples. The aggregate samples, averaging 15 to 20 pounds, were washed and screened through a -20 mesh and run over a 'Wilfley Shaker table' to obtain a two to five ounce heavy and a two to five ounce light mineral fraction sample. The final heavy and light fraction samples were sent to Chemex Labs. Ltd. in North Vancouver for geochemical analysis for Au, Ag, W, Sn" (Nordin, 0.0261 cubic yard (85 pound) samples were concentrated by the Doodle Bug sampler or 10 mesh screen and panned to produce a 5 to 10 pound heavy mineral fraction sample. Due to the small proportion of heavy minerals in the samples it was decided to selectively combine the samples to produce a fewer number of aggregate samples. The aggregate samples, averaging 15 to 20 pounds, were washed and screened through a -20 mesh and run over a 'Wilfley Shaker table' to obtain a two to five ounce heavy and a two to five ounce light mineral fraction sample. The final heavy and light fraction samples were sent to Chemex Labs. Ltd. in North Vancouver for geochemical analysis for Au, Ag, W, Sn" (Nordin, G.D. - 1980)

Twelve of the 29 samples yielded gold results given as "greater than 20,000ppb Au" and ten more samples were in the range 8000 to 20,000ppb Au. Although the sample method was complicated, the authors of the covering report, Mr. G.D. Nordin B.Sc. and Mr. K.E. Northcote Ph.D., P.Eng., concluded, "An approximate calculation on a value of 20,000ppb gold from a 1 ounce heavy mineral sample obtained from 0.0261 cubic yards of material and $600/ounce gold gave a value per cubic yard of twelve dollars ($12.00)". Based on this statement many of the samples yielded economic to subeconomic results even at today's lower gold price. The small original sample size (85 pounds) and the fire assay technique used for determining total gold content and not determining a weight of "free gold" make the trenching results inadequate for accurate grade determination but do indicate appreciable gold over much of the tested ground.

The 1986 trenching and sampling programme began in August with the digging by backhoe of nine trenches. Completion of some of these trenches was done in standing water — an unavoidable but poor sampling procedure. Trenches sampled in 1986 are shown on Figure 3. Notes on these trenches were provided by Mr. Grant Crooker, geologist in charge of sampling and summarized in Table 1.

The piles of gravel were left standing for several weeks before they were sampled in mid September. From ten to thirty percent of each pile was sampled by a Caterpillar 930 loader in order to sluice an estimated ten yards of material. What part of the trench material these ten yard samples represented is not known. As no allowance was made for swelling of "in place" bank yards to loose yards in the piles, each sample is assumed to be about eight yards for purposes of determining grade. These eight yard samples were fed by loader into a Long Tom. According to Mr. G. Crooker, the material was poorly washed, was often fed into the box too quickly, and the riffles became packed on several occasions thus prohibiting proper retention of gold.

The sluice box was cleaned and mats washed after every sample with the concentrate saved and shipped for processing in Vancouver at Bacon, Donaldson and Assoc. Limited. Sample reduction produced gold weights from which grades can be determined.

Interpretation of 1986 results is difficult owing to the random nature of selecting the "10 yard" samples from the piles and by the lack of fine gold in the final gold sample produced by Bacon, Donaldson & Assoc. Limited. The highest value was .058 Troy oz Au. Using eight yards as the sample size yields a grade of 0.007 raw oz/yard, a grade too low to be considered economical. However, all the samples reduced by Bacon, Donaldson and Assoc. Limited contained no gold less than 0.5 mm in diameter and only 10% of the gold was less than one mm diameter. A concentrate submitted by Arno Ritter and obtained by panning the backhoe piles showed that 90% of the concentrate was less than one millimeter. This discrepancy in gold particle size is probably the result of the sampling procedure carried out in the field. It is thought that all fine gold less than 0.5 mm was somehow lost as well as much of the slightly coarser gold and that the actual grade should be several times that actually calculated from the
TABLE 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PIT</th>
<th>SIZE</th>
<th>SAMPLE</th>
<th>WEIGHT (TROY OZ)</th>
<th>NOTES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>9mX9m</td>
<td>#1</td>
<td>.029</td>
<td>-orangy well rounded gravel - boulders to 40 cm diam.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>9mX9m</td>
<td>#2</td>
<td>.030</td>
<td>-other side pit - rustier than #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>10mX4m</td>
<td>#5</td>
<td>.006</td>
<td>surface sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>4mX4m</td>
<td>#7</td>
<td>.044</td>
<td>-10' to bedrock, many rounded boulders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>5mX30m</td>
<td>#6</td>
<td>.014</td>
<td>-greyish brown soil with few rocks, 20' deep to bottom of hole, no bedrock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>5mX30m</td>
<td>#8</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>-surface sample taken beside #6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>6mX6m</td>
<td>#3</td>
<td>.058</td>
<td>-8'-10' to bedrock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>9mX18m</td>
<td>#4</td>
<td>.022</td>
<td>-no notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no information</td>
<td>#9</td>
<td>.025</td>
<td>-10' to bedrock, much silt, up to 40cm boulders</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no information</td>
<td>#10</td>
<td>.016</td>
<td>-bottom of hole 30' deep, gravelly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no information</td>
<td>#11</td>
<td>.015</td>
<td>-no notes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

weights recovered.

From the location of the 1986 sample sites and Table 1, it can be seen that the best results are from trenches that reached bedrock and/or are on the right limit away from the deeply buried left limit channel.

CONCLUSIONS

Swede Creek is in an area of Yukon that contains numerous gold placer creeks most notable and closest being Dublin Gulch with past production of 17,500 ounces raw gold up to 1982.

Swede Creek has not been mined but has been tested for placer gold in 1980 by trenching, in 1982 by drilling and in 1986 by trenching. Sampling techniques have not been satisfactory and have yielded difficult to interpret results, although there is some evidence in the results that economic grades of placer gold are present. A 200 foot deep buried channel probably exists on the left limit one kilometer up the creek and probably extends along the length of the creek. The best gold grades in both trenching programmes and in the drilling appear to be on an old buried bedrock bench on the right limit within the lower kilometer of creek and possibly upstream from there adjacent to the deep channel.

Assuming the approximate 8000 feet of Swede Creek lying within the claims has an old bench averaging 300 feet wide and 12 feet deep with a grade of .02 ounces/yard then there are 21,000 ounces of raw gold recoverable in approximately one million yards, a five year mining reserve at 2,000 yds/day for 100 sluicing days per year. Considerable exploration is required to confirm such potential.

from G. Crooker personal communication and gold weights from Bacon, Donaldson & Assoc. Limited report.
RECOMMENDATIONS

Three phases of exploration are recommended for 1987 to locate and measure areas of economical gold reserves. Phase I involves air rotary drilling. Phase II and Phase III involve trenching and bulk sampling. Total budget is $648,404, which breaks down as follows: Phase I $39,100.; Phase II $333,330.; Phase III $222,974. These recommendations are based on the model of gold distribution described in this report and may have to be altered to suit field conditions not presently known and as information is developed. A dozer, backhoe and loader are required to carry out this work. The dozer should be a Caterpillar D8K or larger machine, the loader a Caterpillar 960 or larger and the backhoe a Caterpillar 235 or larger.

An air rotary drilling program is recommended to locate and evaluate the buried channel. Four drill sections across the creek requiring about 400 feet of drilling on each section and spaced 1000 to 2000 feet apart would be adequate to locate the buried channel. Fill-in drilling between sections would make up the balance of the 2000 feet and be used to locate the point at which bedrock becomes deeper than about twenty feet. Locating this point would be used for determining the position of the bedrock drain in the next phase of work. From Point A, on the right limit where previous trenching has shown bedrock to be at right angles. The trenches are planned to be about two hundred feet long, fifteen feet deep and two to three feet wide. About two feet of bedrock should be included in the bulk sample. Each trench should be divided into separate samples from different depths based on panning as the trench is dug. A Caterpillar 235 backhoe, or equivalent, equipped with a rock bucket will be required for digging the trenches. A ripper tooth should be available to be mounted on the back of the bucket to assist in ripping permafrost if it becomes necessary. In any event material excavated from the trenches should be stacked carefully for eventual sluicing. Trenches should be measured after every sample is removed to make an accurate calculation of yardage.

Following the stacking of samples and allowing enough time for the samples to thaw, they should be sluiced, panned and amalgamated to yield a weight of raw gold from which a grade in ounces of raw gold per cubic yard can be determined.

As confirmation of the above testing results, a small cut should be processed at the most downstream point that grades from the bulk sampling programme show to be sensible. Size of this cut should be about 100 feet by 150 feet and should be stripped of upper waste gravels as determined by the trenching results. The loader and dozer would be required for this test.

Design of Phase III testing is dependent upon Phase II results. If the Phase II testing has indicated economic gold grades then this style of testing should be extended another 3000 feet up the creek, as shown on Figure 3, in order to prove up several years mining reserves. If the Phase II testing yields low gold grades then the approximately 6000 feet of creek remaining to be tested should be evaluated by digging trenches away from the deep buried channel that was outlined in the Phase I drill program. These trenches could be stripped by dozer, dug by backhoe and sluiced with aid of the loader. They would be about 200 feet long, 15 feet deep and 3 feet wide. As a bedrock drain might not be feasible some standing water in some of the trenches would be unavoidable. As many trenches would be dug as the Phase III budget allowed.
All bulk samples should be processed in a sluice box. After every sample has been sluiced, the box should be thoroughly cleaned so that the material could be further reduced by additional sluicing in a "Long Tom", and amalgamation to ultimately yield pure raw gold for weighing. Combining this weight and the volume of the sample, will produce grades in Troy ounces of raw gold per cubic yard. A compilation of all data produced from the above exploration would then be made to provide a mining feasibility study.

Respectfully submitted

Gordon G. Richards P.Eng

1987 BUDGET

PHASE I
DRILLING & SAMPLING

Mob-demob drilling equipment $ 8,800
Drill 2000 ft. x $30/ft. 60,000
Moving time 15 holes x 1 hr. x $195/hr. 2,925
Dozer support and road building 20 hr. x $100/hr. 2,000
Engineer 15 days x $350/day 5,250
Helper 15 days x $200/day 3,000
Room & Board 4 men x 15 days x $100/day 6,000
Truck 15 days x $75/day 1,125

$ 89,100

PHASE II
TRENCHING & BULK SAMPLING

Mob-Demob

Backhoe $15,000
Loader 15,000
Dozer 15,000
Trommel, pump, pipes, etc. 8,000
2 pickups x 14 days x $75/day 2,100
3 men x 7 days x $200/day 4,200
Cook x 7 days x $125/day 875
Foreman x 14 days x $300/day 4,200
Helper x 14 days x $200/day 2,800
Food & Accom 70 days x $100/day 7,000
Airfare 2 men Vcr-Wks return 1,500

75,675

Road Construction

Doser 35 hrs. x $100/hr. $3,500
Operator 4 days x $200/day 800
Food 4 days x $40/day 160
Truck 4 days x $75/day 300

4,760

Creek Diversion
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**Dozer 80 hrs X $100/hr**  $8,000
**Operator 8 days X $200/day**  1,600
**Food 8 days X $40/day**  320
**Truck 8 days X $75/day**  600

**Tailings Ponds, Stripping and Bedrock Drain**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Hours/Days</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dozer 150 hrs X $100/hr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operator 15 days X $200/day</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loader 150 hrs X $100/hr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operator 15 days X $200/day</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backhoe 150 hrs X $100/hr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operator 15 days X $200/day</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truck 15 days X $75/day</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisor 30 days X $300/day</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cook 30 days X $125/day</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helper 30 days X $200/day</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food 135 days X $40/day</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>79,275</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Preparation, trenching and bulk sampling next 3000' of creek or spot trenching**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Hours/Days</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dozer 450 hrs X $100/hr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$45,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operator 45 days X $200/day</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loader 400 hrs X $100/hr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operator 40 days X $200/day</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backhoe 200 hrs X $100/hr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operator 20 days X $200/day</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cook 45 days X $125/day</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5,625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisor 45 days X $300/day</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helper 45 days X $200/day</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineer 20 days X $350/day</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food 250 days X $40/day</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truck 45 days X $75/day</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>174,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reports** $2,000
**Contingency** 20,000 22,000
**Total Phase III** 196,500
**Management 15%** 29,475 $225,975

**Phase I** $89,100
**Phase II** 276,230
**Management for Phase I & II** 55,100
**Phase III** 196,500
**Management for Phase III** 29,475
**Program Total** 648,605

**Demobilization** $25,000
**Reports** 10,000
**Contingency** 30,000
**Total Phase I and II** $367,330
**Management 15%** 55,100
**Total** $422,430
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STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

I, Gordon G. Richards, of Richmond, in the Province of British Columbia, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT:

1. I am a Consulting Geological Engineer with offices at 5700 Forsythe Crescent, Richmond, British Columbia, V7C 2C3; Phone 270-6862

2. I am a graduate of the University of British Columbia with degrees of B.A.Sc 1968 and M.A.Sc 1974

3. I am a member in good standing of the Association of Professional Engineers of the Province of British Columbia

4. Although I have not visited the Swede Creek property, I have for the past three years been directly involved in a placer gold mine in the Yukon Territory and understand the type of deposit sought.

5. I have no interests in Grandex Resources Ltd. or in the property reported on herein nor do I expect to receive any.

6. I hereby consent to the use of this report by Grandex Resources Ltd. in a prospectus or any other document that may be required by any regulatory authority.


Gordon G. Richards P.Eng
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PURPOSE

The purpose of this Addendum I is to:
1) clarify the phasing of the recommended work program.
2) alter the 1987 Budget, Phase II by an increase of $2,570 in the REPORT ON THE PLACER GOLD POTENTIAL of the SWEEDE CREEK PROSPECT, Mayo, Yukon Territory.

CLARIFICATION

The drilling program is necessary for the successful commencement of the Phase II trenching and bulk sampling program but will not develop information that would determine if Phase II should or should not be undertaken.

It is intended by the writer that both Phase I and Phase II should commence simultaneously, with the drilling program proceeding quickly ahead of Phase II over a fourteen to twenty day period while Phase II is estimated to take forty to fifty days plus reporting time. Further, the Drilling Program cannot stand on its own as physical support, machine time, stripping, road building and drill site preparation, all related to the Drilling Program, is budgeted into and forms part of Phase II.

The exact plan for Phase III will be developed as the Phase II work progresses but basically is as follows:
1) either, continue the work in the same manner as laid out in Phase II, extending the bedrock drain and side trenching an additional 3000 feet, or,
2) evaluate the approximately 6500 feet of creek remaining by bulk sampling of trenches targeted by the drilling program.

1987 BUDGET

For ease of the reader, the entire Budget is reproduced herein with the affected changes marked with an asterisk (*) on the bottom of pages 3 and 4 herein.
1967 BUDGET

PHASE I
DRILLING & SAMPLING

Mob-demob drilling equipment $ 8,800
Drill 2000 ft. X $70/ft. 60,000
Moving time 15 holes X 1hr. X $195/hr. 2,925
Doser support and road building 20hr. X $100/hr. 2,000
Engineer 15 days X $350/day 5,250
Helper 15 days X $200/day 3,000
Room & Board 4 men X 15 days X $100/day 6,000
Truck 15 days X $75/day 1,125

$ 89,100

PHASE II
TRENCHING & BULK SAMPLING

Mob-Demob
Backhoe $15,000
Loader 15,000
Doser 15,000
Trommel, pump, pipes, etc. 8,000
2 pickups X 11 days X $75/day 2,100
3 men X 7 days X $200/day 4,200
Cook X 7 days X $125/day 875
Foreman X 11 days X $300/day 4,200
Helper X 11 days X $200/day 2,800
Food & Accom 70 days X $100/day 7,000
Airfare 2 men Vor-Mtns return 1,500

75,675

Road Construction
Doser 35 hrs. X $100/hr. $3,500
Operator 4 days X $200/day 800
Food & days X $40/day 160
Truck 4 days X $75/day 300

4,760

Creek Diversion
Doser 80 hrs X $100/hr $8,000
Operator 8 days X $200/day 1,600
Food 8 days X $40/day 320
Truck 8 days X $75/day 600

10,520

Tailings Ponds, Stripping and Bedrock Drain
Doser 150 hrs X $100/hr $15,000
Operator 15 days X $200/day 3,000
Loader 150 hrs X $100/hr 15,000
Operator 15 days X $200/day 3,000
Backhoe 150 hrs X $100/hr 15,000
Operator 15 days X $200/day 3,000
Truck 15 days X $75/day 1,125
Supervisor 30 days X $300/day 9,000
Cook 30 days X $125/day 3,750
Helper 30 days X $200/day 6,000
Food 135 days X $40/day $5,400

79,275

Trenching & Bulk Sampling
Doser 90 hrs X $100/hr $ 9,000
Operator 9 days X $200/day 1,800
Backhoe 100 hrs X $100/hr 10,000
Operator 10 days X $200/day 2,000
Loader 60 hrs X $100/hr 6,000
Operator 10 days X $200/day 2,000
Helper 10 days X $200/day 2,000
Engineer 10 days X $350/day 3,500
Cook 10 days X $125/day 1,250
Food 40 days X $40/day 1,600
Truck 10 days X $75/day 750
Supervisor 10 days X $300/day 3,000

42,900

Demob $25,000
Reports 10,000
Contingency 25,333* 67,333*

Total Phase I and II $369,565*
Management 15% 55,433* $425,000*

ADDENDUM I
D5-33

ADDENDUM I
D5-33
PHASE III

Preparation, trenching and bulk sampling next 3000' of creek or spot trenching

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Hours</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Doser 45 hrs X $100/hr</td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loader 400 hrs X $100/hr</td>
<td></td>
<td>400</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operator 40 days X $200/day</td>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backhoe 200 hrs X $100/hr</td>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operator 20 days X $200/day</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cook 45 days X $125/day</td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>$5,625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisor 45 days X $300/day</td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>$13,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helper 45 days X $200/day</td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>$9,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineer 20 days X $350/day</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>$7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food 250 days X $60/day</td>
<td></td>
<td>250</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truck 45 days X $75/day</td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>$3,375</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Phase III Management 15% $225,975

Total Contingency $22,000

$174,500

SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phase I</td>
<td>$89,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase II</td>
<td>280,465*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management (Field)-Phase I &amp; II</td>
<td>53,435*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHASE III</td>
<td>196,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management for Phase III</td>
<td>225,975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROGRAM TOTAL per Addendum I</td>
<td>$650,975*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ANNEXED

ADDENDUM I
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