

High-Resolution Airborne

Geophysical Report on the JP Ross Claims (Groups 1, 2 and 3)

See Appendix 1 for full list of claims

NTS 1150- 06/07/10 and 11

Claims centered on UTM:

595000E, 7035000N

Nad 83, Zone 7N

Registered Owner: Selene Holdings LLP.

Dawson Mining District

Yukon Territory

Dates work performed:

Between June 22nd and August 2nd 2010

Prepared for Kinross Gold Corporation by Lucy Hollis

Submitted February 2011

Contents

High-Resolution Airborne	1
Geophysical Report on the JP Ross Claims (Groups 1, 2 and 3).....	1
List of Figures	5
List of Tables	5
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	7
1.0 INTRODUCTION	7
2.0 SUMMARY OF PREVIOUS INVESTIGATIONS.....	7
3.0 PROPERTY INFORMATION.....	8
3.1 Adjacent Claims.....	9
3.2 Physiography.....	11
3.3 Climate	11
3.4 Accessibility.....	13
4.0 REGIONAL GEOLOGY.....	13
4.1 Property Geology	13
5.0 DESCRIPTION OF GEOPHYSICAL DATA COLLECTED.....	16
6.0 PERSONNEL	17
6.1 Field Operations.....	17
6.2 Office data processing and Offsite QA/QC.....	18
6.3 Project Management	18
7.0 METHOD OF COLLECTION.....	18
7.1 Aircraft and Equipment.....	18
7.2 Airborne Geophysical System	18
7.2.1 Magnetometer	18
7.2.2 Magnetic Compensation	18
7.2.3 GPS Navigation	19
7.2.4 Altimeter	19
7.2.5 Geophysical Flight Control System	19
7.2.6 Spectrometer.....	19
7.2.7 Idasdigital recording	19
7.2.8 Pressure and Temperature.....	19
7.2.9 Spectrometer Digital Recording	19

7.3	Ground Monitoring System.....	19
7.3.1	Base Station Magnetometer	19
7.3.2	Recording	20
7.3.3	Field Compilation System	20
8.0	PRE-SURVEY SPECTROMETER CALIBRATIONS.....	20
8.1	Energy Windows	20
8.2	Calibration Pad Test	21
8.3	Cosmic Flight Test	21
8.3.1	Setup and measurement procedure.....	21
8.3.2	Results from Cosmic Flight Test	22
8.4	Altitude Attenuation Test	22
8.4.1	Results from Altitude Attenuation Test.....	22
8.5	Radon Hover Test.....	23
9.0	OPERATIONS AND PROCEDURES	24
9.1	Flight Planning and Flight Path.....	24
9.2	Base Station	24
9.3	Airborne Magnetometers	25
10.0	DATA COMPILATION	26
10.1	Flight Path Corrections.....	26
10.2	Thorium Resolution Tests	26
10.2	Digital Terrain Model (DTM)	28
10.3	Magnetic Corrections.....	31
10.3.1	Diurnal Corrections.....	31
10.3.2	Lag Corrections.....	31
10.3.3	Heading Corrections.....	31
10.3.4	IGRF Corrections.....	32
10.3.5	Leveling Corrections	32
10.4	Vertical derivative	33
10.5	Radiometric Corrections	34
10.5.1	Live Time Corrections	34
10.5.2	Pre-Filtering.....	34
10.5.3	Aircraft and Cosmic Background.....	34

10.5.4	<i>Radon Correction</i>	35
10.5.5	<i>Compton Stripping</i>	35
10.5.6	<i>Attenuation Corrections</i>	36
11.0	RESULTS AND INTERPRETATION	38
11.1	Magnetics.....	38
11.2	Radiometrics	41
12.0	DISCUSSION & CONCLUSIONS.....	47
	Statement of Expenditures on the JP Ross Claims.....	48
	References	49
	APPENDIX A: Background and Cosmic Test Charts	51
	Height Attenuation Test Charts	54
	APPENDIX B: Fom Results	55

List of Figures

Figure 1: Location map showing the study area: JP Ross Group Claims (in black) located approximately 75 km south of Dawson City, Yukon	9
Figure 2: Map showing the JP Ross and Maisy Claim Groupings and all adjacent claims	10
Figure 3: Map showing the JP Ross Claim Groupings (Group 1, Group 2 and Group 3)	12
Figure 4: Regional Geology Map collated for the JP Ross Claim block	15
Figure 5: Base Station setup located at the JP Ross Camp	25
Figure 6: Flight Line Path for Airborne Geophysical survey over the White Block Claims (Groups 1, 2 and 3)	27
Figure 7: Digital Terrain Model (DTM) Contours produced for the JP Ross Claim Block	29
Figure 8: Map showing the final contoured Digital Terrain Model (DTM) map for the JP Ross Claim Block (Groups 1, 2 and 3).....	30
Figure 9: Map of the JP Ross Block showing the final 1st-Order Vertical Derivative (VDV) Map	33
Figure 10: Total Magnetic Intensity (TMI) contour map for the JP Ross Claims.....	39
Figure 11: Final Total Magnetic Intensity (TMI) image for the JP Ross Block. Traverse lines: 75m, 56/236 deg. From true North;	40
Figure 13: Final Potassium (K) map for the JP Ross Claim Block (Groups 1, 2 and 3). Traverse lines: 75 m, 56/236 deg. From true North; Control lines: 750 m, 146/326 deg. From true North. Average Sample Interval: 20.0 m/sample (1Hz). Average Sensor Height From Ground: 36.0 m.	42
Figure 14: Final Potassium (K) map for the JP Ross Claim block, overlain by regional geology from 2010 field season.	43
Figure 15: Final Thorium (Th) map for the JP Ross Claim Block. Traverse lines: 75m, 56/236 deg. From True North; Control Lines: 750 m,	44
Figure 16: Final Uranium (U) map for the JP Ross Claim Block. Traverse lines: 75m, 56/236 deg. From True North; Control Lines: 750 m,	45
Figure 17: Final Ternary (K-eU-eTh) image map for the JP Ross Block. Traverse lines: 75m, 56/236 deg. From True North; Control Lines: 750 m, 146/326 deg. From True North. Average Sample Interval: 20.0 m/sample (1Hz). Average Sensor Height From Ground: 36 m.	46

List of Tables

Table 1: Block coordinates for the JP Ross Claim Block	16
Table 2: Downward Spectrometer Energy Windows.....	21
Table 3: Compton Stripping Coefficients	21
Table 4: Cosmic Test Data	22
Table 5: Calculated Cosmic and Aircraft Background Coefficients	22
Table 6: Calculated Height Attenuation Coefficient	23
Table 7: JP Ross Block a_1 and a_2 factors.....	24
Table 8: DTM microlevelling parameters.....	28
Table 9: Base Station Project Averages Per Block.....	31
Table 10: Lag Corrections for White Block.....	31
Table 11: Heading Test Flight Results: June 24th, 2010.....	32
Table 12: Heading Test Flight Results: June 29th, 2010.....	32

Table 13: IGRF Averages for the JP Ross Block.....	32
Table 14: Uranium microlevelling parameters	37
Table 15: Thorium microlevelling parameters.....	37
Table 16: Potassium microlevelling parameters.....	37
Table 17: Total Count microlevelling parameters.....	37

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The JP Ross Claims (divided here into 3 separate groupings: 1, 2 and 3), are owned by Selene Holdings LLP. (100%) and cover approximately 45,600 Ha. The property is located approximately 70 km south of Dawson City, in the Yukon Territory of Canada. The project area is primarily underlain by deformed and regionally metamorphosed greenschist to amphibolite facies metasedimentary and metaigneous rocks of Palaeozoic and Proterozoic age (Mortensen, 1992; Dusel-Bacon, 2006). During 2010 Kinross Gold Corporation contracted a high sensitivity airborne magnetic and gamma-ray spectrometric survey out to New-Sense Geophysics Ltd. over the JP Ross Claim Block. A total of 7,847 km of magnetic lines were flown over the JP Ross claim block. The magnetic survey yielded a number of magnetic anomalies and magnetic lineaments. The spectrometric survey, comprising the radioelements K, U and Th produced several high areas on the Claim Block which require further correlation with bedrock geology. Further geological mapping and prospecting, in conjunction with geochemical surveys are warranted to define further mineralized targets on the JP Ross claims.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report describes the logistics of the survey, equipment used, field procedures, data acquisition and results and interpretations for a high sensitivity helicopter magnetic and gamma-ray spectrometric survey carried out on the JP Ross Claims, located in the Yukon Territory, Canada. The survey was conducted between June 22nd and August 2nd 2010 by New-Sense Geophysics Ltd. The survey was conducted in a Northwest-Southeast direction. A total of 8,214 km of survey line was flown over the JP Ross Claims. Data processing undertaken by New-Sense Geophysics Ltd. involved data compilation, gridding and contouring of geophysical data collected. The proximity and similarity of geology of the JP Ross Group Claims to the recently discovered Golden Saddle Deposit makes the area prospective for mineral exploration.

Unless otherwise stated the coordinate system used is NAD 83, North America (all Canada and USA subunits), Zone 7N.

2.0 SUMMARY OF PREVIOUS INVESTIGATIONS

No drilling was done at JP Ross prior to 2010. Limited historic exploration has occurred; this included prospecting, stream sediment, soil and rock sampling.

Klondike Reef Mines Ltd. staked the CL claims on the currently producing placer creek Henderson Creek and conducted a small soil survey that did not return any significant results (P. Southam 1995).

J. P. Ross staked the Nina claims in 1999 between Henderson Creek and Maisy Creek, which were optioned by Copper Ridge Exploration Inc. the following year. Results include gold anomalous soils and rock samples of mineralized quartz veins containing up to 1.6 g/t Au (Ross 2000)(Doherty 2001)(Ross 2002).

Other work on the current JP Ross claim area includes two grassroots projects funded by the Yukon Mining Incentive Program (YMIP); the Goretex project on Moosehorn Creek and the Vlad claims on

“Russian Creek”. No quartz claims were staked during the course of the Goretex project. Several gold-in-soil and stream sediment anomalies were however outlined (Glynn 2000)(Glynn 2001).

Prospecting on the Vlad claims included limited soil sampling, extensive stream sediment and rock sampling. The stream sediment sampling identified several creeks with anomalous Au, Ag, and elevated Cu, Pb, and Zn. A north-northeast trending breccia zone in the metamorphic rocks near one of several regional intrusive bodies was also discovered (Nedechev 2000).

The most extensive work carried out on the JP Ross property was completed by Underworld Resources during the 2009 field season. The main focus was soil sampling, with a total of 6, 207 grid and ridge-and-spur soil samples collected. A total of 181 rock grab samples were also collected during limited prospecting. The results from this program outlined several areas of interest that formed the base for the Kinross Gold Corporation 2010 exploration program.

No historic hard rock mining has occurred at JP Ross. However, the area has a rich history of placer production. Henderson and North Henderson Creek placer claims at JP Ross have a recorded production of 87, 000 oz Au, while the Maisy May Creek has a recorded production of 25, 500 oz Au since 1980 (data from YGS placer database).

3.0 PROPERTY INFORMATION

The JP Ross Group Claims are located in the Dawson Mining District of the Yukon Territory, Canada (Figure 1). The Claims are located approximately 75 km south of Dawson City, Yukon, Canada.

The JP Ross Groups of claims consist of 750 full-size quartz claims for group 1, a further 750 quartz claims for group 2, and 750 quartz claims for group 3 (Figure 3). The claims are registered with the Dawson Mining Recorder and are now owned 100% by Selene Holdings LP. All work on the claims was carried out by Kinross Gold Corporation. The JP Ross Groups of quartz claims are shown on Yukon Quartz NTS mapsheets 1150/06/07/10 and 11. A full list of claim data is outlined in Appendix 1.



Figure 1: Location map showing the study area: JP Ross Group Claims (in black) located approximately 75 km south of Dawson City, Yukon

3.1 Adjacent Claims

The JP Ross Group Claims are surrounded by numerous adjacent claims. These adjacent claims are highlighted in Figure 2 below:




Figure 2: Map showing the JP Ross and Maisy Claim Groupings and all adjacent claims

3.2 Physiography

The property is located in the White Gold District of the Yukon Territory, Canada. It is characterized by plains incised by streams into V-shaped valleys with interconnecting ridges. Elevation ranges from 1100 feet at the sides of the rivers (Yukon River) to 4100 feet at ridge tops. Treeline is at approximately 1200 metres. The JP Ross Group claims are located approximately 25 km northeast of the Golden Saddle Deposit. The Stewart River is located approximately 5 km south of the property. The area escaped the last two episodes of glaciation.

3.3 Climate

The climate in the Yukon is characterized by low precipitation and a wide temperature range. Winters are cold, and temperatures of -30°C to -40°C are common. Summers are moderately cool to hot, with daily high temperatures of 10°C to 25°C. The property is typically free of snow from late May to the end of September, and the creeks keep flowing from May until October.




Figure 3: Map showing the JP Ross Claim Groupings (Group 1, Group 2 and Group 3)

3.4 Accessibility

Access to the property is good; with an airstrip located within 150 m from camp, and gravel road access from Dawson City. The drive takes between 2.5 to 3.5 hours depending on conditions. The first 75 km from Dawson City are on public highway maintained by the Yukon Government, whilst the latter 65 km are on placer roads which are maintained by local placer miners. At the start of the season bulk gear was brought in by transport truck. Fixed-wing aircraft were used for lighter supplies and to fly personnel from Dawson City. The flight time between Dawson City and JP Ross camp is approximately 20 minutes. Helicopters were used throughout the field season to support the field crews.

4.0 REGIONAL GEOLOGY

The JP Ross and Maisy Claims are located within the Yukon-Tanana Terrain (YTT), which spans part of the Yukon Territory and east-central Alaska. This terrane is part of the Intermontane Superterrane, and is bounded to the northeast by the right-lateral Tintina-Kaltag and to the southwest by the Denali-Farewell fault systems. The Yukon-Tanana Terrane is composed of deformed and regionally metamorphosed greenschist to amphibolite facies metasedimentary and metaigneous rocks of Palaeozoic and Proterozoic age (Mortensen, 1992; Dusel-Bacon, 2006).

4.1 Property Geology

The basement rocks in the White Gold District consist of Palaeozoic schist and gneisses that underwent Palaeozoic deformation, metamorphism, and pervasive recrystallization (Mackenzie *et al.*, 2010). The JP Ross Group Claims (groups 1, 2 and 3) are underlain by an interlayered Paleozoic sequence that includes Devonian - Mississippian clastic sedimentary rocks, mafic and felsic volcanic rocks, and intrusive rocks (Mortensen 1990). Regionally the rocks have a strong pervasive foliation made up of at least one early foliation (S1) that has been isoclinally folded and transposed into a second penetrative S2 foliation (Mackenzie 2010). The peak of metamorphism was in the late Permian.

Regional-scale mapping undertaken by Ryan and Gordey (2001) shows large areas of orthogneiss and amphibolite gneiss interlaminated with quartzites. Late metamorphic, latest Permian cm to m-scale felsic dikes cut the foliation at low angle and are only weakly foliated (Mortensen, pers. comm.). These dikes and the foliation have been locally folded on the 10-50 m scale by north-plunging tight to isoclinal folds with spaced cleavage, ductile shears and or breccia zones parallel to fold axial surfaces. These rocks have been intruded by late metamorphic felsic dikes and lower metamorphic grade early Jurassic pyroxenite dikes and sills. Further deformation occurred during semi-ductile folding and shearing parallel to a well defined north to northwest-trending corridor. This fold axis represents a ductile deformation zone and/or thrust fault (Mackenzie 2010). The fold axis is intermittently marked with discontinuous lenses of highly-altered rocks and variably magnetic pyroxenite bodies, marble layers and talc-actinolite assemblages.

Bimodal pyroxenite and felsic granitoid dikes and sills up to 20 m thick have intruded parallel to the metamorphic foliation. These sills have been locally affected by ductile folding and shearing along their margins. Structural relationships suggest that these sills are related to larger late Triassic-early Jurassic

intrusive rocks of pyroxenite and granitoid composition that outcrop at Pyroxene Mountain and Walhalla Creek, respectively (Mackenzie 2010).

The basement gneisses at JP Ross include ultramafic and felsic rocks that have been cut by brittle normal faults striking predominantly north/south and east/west. These faults juxtapose different rock types and can be locally coincident with fault scarps that are visible in air photos (e.g. Henderson Creek fault). Major east-west faults offset rock units and regional-scale magnetic anomalies with an apparent sinistral motion.

At Henderson Dome and on the hills north of Montana Creek several tuffaceous layers rest unconformably (dip 20-30 °N) on top of the gneissic sequence. Tuff layers have been dated elsewhere in the region at 108 Ma (Mortensen, pers. comm). Overlying these tuffaceous layers is a basal conglomerate, that correlates with the early Cretaceous Tantalus Formation (Ryan and Gordey 2005). The conglomerate marks the base of the Carmacks sequence. This basal conglomerate likely post-dates the tuff layer by at least 40 Ma (Mortensen, pers. comm). These units are overlain by shallow intrusive dikes of andesitic and basaltic composition which are also part of the Carmacks Group.

Lithological contacts are rarely exposed and are locally chlorite-epidote altered, especially in areas of strong ductile deformation. A zone of strong ductile folding and shearing, localized pyroxenite intrusions and small discontinuous pods of actinolite schist and marble extend on the east side of the property from Maisy May Creek to North Henderson Creek. This zone may represent an early Jurassic thrust fault along which imbrication has occurred in the basement rocks. Other highly folded zones are located at the (brecciated) margin of the marble body near the headwaters of Tenderfoot Creek, and south of the large layered ultramafic body near Montana Creek. A massive and locally sheared, porphyritic feldspar granitoid has intruded the zone at Tenderfoot Creek. These areas may represent Jurassic thrust faults or deformation zones along which significant juxtaposition has occurred.

Carmacks Group volcanic rocks are mapped crossing the claim block in the northeastern margin. A large northwest/southeast trending marble unit is mapped at the lower central portion of the claim block. Regional metamorphism formed overturned, tight to isoclinal outcrop-scale folds with shallowly-dipping, NNW-trending axial planes). Serpentinite bodies have also been affected by greenschist facies metamorphism, producing a fabric that formed in association with the regional thrust faults (Mackenzie and Craw, 2009).




Figure 4: Regional Geology Map collated for the JP Ross Claim block

5.0 DESCRIPTION OF GEOPHYSICAL DATA COLLECTED

A high sensitivity helicopter magnetic and gamma-ray spectrometric survey was carried out for Kinross Gold Corp. over a project area and series of claims known as the JP Ross Group claims (now grouped into JP Ross Groups 1, 2 and 3) which are located ~75 km south of Dawson City, Yukon, Canada.

The survey was flown by New-Sense Geophysics (NSG) under the terms of an agreement with the client dated June 1st, 2010. The survey was flown between June 22nd and August 2nd 2010. A total of 8,214 km of magnetic and radiometric survey lines were flown over the JP Ross claim block.

The JP Ross claim block Coordinates are presented below in Table 1:

UTM Nad 83 Zone 7N			
NAD 83_X	NAD 83_Y	WGS84_X	WGS84_Y
570851	7008154	570851	7008154
576708	7015741	576708	7015741
581283	7016132	581283	7016132
582566	7009158	582566	7009158
589707	7010442	589707	7010442
604491	6990414	604491	6990414
596290	6984891	596290	6984891
591994	6990023	591994	6990023
578996	6990023	578996	6990023
570683	7008154	570683	7008154

Table 1: Block coordinates for the JP Ross Claim Block.

Survey Parameters:

Traverse Line spacing:	75 m
Control Line spacing:	750 m
Nominal Terrain clearance:	30 m
Average Terrain clearance:	35.7 m (JP Ross block)
Navigation:	Global Positioning System
Traverse Line Direction:	56, 236 deg.
Control Line Direction:	146, 326 deg.
Measurement Interval:	0.1 sec for magnetic 1.0 sec for radiometrics and GPS

Ground speed (average):	104 km/h (JP Ross block)
Measurement spacing (average):	3.2 metres/0.1 sec, 29.0 metres/1 sec (JP Ross block)
Airborne Digital Record:	Line Number
	Flight Number
	Radar Altimeter
	Total Field Magnetism
	Live Time
	Thorium counts
	Potassium counts
	Uranium counts
	Upward looking Uranium counts
	Cosmic counts
	Down Spectrum
	Total Counts
	Time (System and GPS)
	Raw Global Positioning System (GPS)
	Magnetic compensation parameters (fluxgate mag.)
Base Station Record:	Ambient Total Field Magnetism
	Raw Global Positioning System (GPS) data
	Time (System and GPS)

6.0 PERSONNEL

6.1 Field Operations

New-Sense Geophysics Ltd., Geophysicist:	Sean Plener
New-Sense Geophysics Ltd., Geophysicist:	Chris Evans
Fireweed Helicopter, Pilot:	Brent Vansickle

Fireweed Helicopters, Pilot: RJ Price

6.2 Office data processing and Offsite QA/QC

QA/QC, Maps, Logistics Report (NSG): Andrei Yakovenko

QA/QC (Bob Ellis EGG Inc.): Bob Ellis

Data Processing and Grids: Andrei Yakovenko, Sean Plener, Chris Evans

6.3 Project Management

New-Sense Geophysics Ltd.: Andrea Yakovenko

Bob Ellis EGG Inc. (client representative): Bob Ellis

Kinross Gold Corp.: Jean-Pierre Londero

7.0 METHOD OF COLLECTION

7.1 Aircraft and Equipment

The aircraft used was a Bell 206B 3 helicopter (C-FFWH) equipped with a Cesium magnetometer mounted in a fixed stinger assembly and RS-500 airborne spectrometer mounted in the storage compartment. Fireweed Helicopters based in Dawson City, Yukon, Canada provided the aircraft service.

7.2 Airborne Geophysical System

7.2.1 Magnetometer

One Scintrex CS-3 optically pumped Cesium split beam sensor was mounted in a fixed stinger assembly. The magnetometer's Lamor frequency output was processed by a KMAG-4 magnetometer counter, which provides resolution of 0.15 ppm (in a magnetic field of 50,000 nT, resolution equivalent to 0.0075 nT). The raw magnetic data was recorded at 50 Hz, anti-aliased with 51 point COSINE filter and re-sampled at 10 Hz.

7.2.2 Magnetic Compensation

The proximity of the aircraft to the magnetic sensor creates a measurable anomalous response as a result of the aircraft's movement. The orientation of the aircraft with respect to the sensor and the motion of the earth's magnetic field are contributing factors to the strength of this response. A special calibration flight, Figure of Merit (i.e. FOM) was flown to record the information necessary to compensate for those effects.

A three-axis Bartington fluxgate magnetometer (recorded at 50 Hz) was used to measure the orientation and rates of change of the magnetic field of the aircraft, away from localized terrestrial magnetic anomalies. The QC Tools digital compensation algorithm was then applied to generate a correction factor to compensate for permanent, induced, and eddy current magnetic responses generated by the aircraft's movements.

7.2.3 GPS Navigation

A U-BLOX RCB-LJ sixteen channel GPS receiver, which is an integral component of the iNav V3 computer system, was used to run the flight control system and provide precise positioning of the aircraft.

7.2.4 Altimeter

A TRA 3500 radar altimeter was mounted inside with stinger. This instrument operates with a linear performance over the range of 0 to 2,500 feet and records the terrain clearance of the sensors. The raw radar altimeter data was recorded at 50 Hz, anti-analyzed with a 21 point COSINE filter and re-sampled at 10 Hz.

7.2.5 Geophysical Flight Control System

New Senses's iNAW V3 geophysical flight control system monitored and recorded magnetometer, spectrometer, altimeter, and GPS equipment performance. Input from the various sensors was monitored every 0.005 seconds for the precise coordination of geophysical and positional measurements. The input was recorded fifty times per second.

7.2.6 Spectrometer

The RS-500 Airborne Spectrometer with RSX-5 detector pack, manufactured by Radiation Solutions Inc. (RSI) was used for the survey. The RS-500 spectrometer has a multi-peak gain stabilization algorithm and is capable of recording 1024 channels with accuracy of 0.1 to 10 counts/second.

7.2.7 Idasdigital recording

The output of the CS-3 magnetometer, fluxgate magnetometer, altimeter, temperature, pressure, GPS coordinates, and time (system and GPS), were recorded digitally on a Compact Flash drive at a sample rate of fifty times per second (one time per second for GPS) by the iNAV V3 system.

7.2.8 Pressure and Temperature

A Honeywell Precision Pressure Transducer, model PPT0020AWN2V A-A, was used to record the ambient pressure and temperature during the survey. The device was mounted in the helicopter stinger. The pressure and temperature outputs units were mbar and degrees Celsius respectively.

7.2.9 Spectrometer Digital Recording

The output of the RS-500 spectrometer, GPS coordinates and time (UTC) were recorded digitally on an internal RS-500 flash drive at a sample rate of 1Hz. After each flight the data were copied and synchronized using UTC clock with the iDAS digital records.

7.3 Ground Monitoring System

7.3.1 Base Station Magnetometer

A Scintrex CS-3 optically pumped cesium split beam sensor was used at the base of operations within the airport boundaries, in an area of low magnetic gradient and low/free from cultural

electric and magnetic noise sources. The sensitivity and absolute accuracy of the ground magnetometer is ± 0.01 nT. Data was recorded continuously at least every one second throughout all survey operations in digital form on a TC-10 data acquisition system. Both the ground and airborne magnetic readings were synchronized based on the GPS clock.

7.3.2 Recording

The outputs of the magnetic and GPS monitors were recorded digitally on a dedicated TC-10 computer. A visual record of the last three hours was graphically maintained on the computer screen to provide an up-to-date appraisal of magnetic activity. At the conclusion of each production flight raw GPS and magnetic data were transferred to the main field compilation computer.

7.3.3 Field Compilation System

A field laptop computer was used for field data processing and presentation. The raw data was imported to Geosoft Oasis montaj for QA/QC and processing purposes. After checking for quality control, the database and uncompensated magnetic readings were exported to QC Tools software package for magnetic compensation and base station merging purposes.

8.0 PRE-SURVEY SPECTROMETER CALIBRATIONS

Pre-survey calibrations and testing of the RS-500 (SN 5516) airborne gamma-ray spectrometry system were carried out on June 21st, 2010 (at the installation base in Dawson, YT) and June 24th, 25th, 2010 (in the vicinity of the White Gold project property). For these calibration and tests the survey aircraft and configurations were selected to conform to contract technical specifications. Calibration of the spectrometer involved:

- **Calibration Pad measurements**, which are used to determine the “spectral overlap” coefficients. The calibration test was performed within a 12 month period before the survey by the manufacturer (Radiation Solutions Inc.).
- **Cosmic Flight Test**, which was used to determine the aircraft background values and cosmic coefficients (conducted on June 24th, 2010).
- **Height Attenuation Test**, which determined the altitude attenuation coefficients.

8.1 Energy Windows

The airborne radiometric technique requires measurement of count rates for specific energy regions or windows in the natural gamma-ray spectrum. The standard energy regions (in accordance with the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), and their corresponding channel limits are shown in Table 2:

Designation	Energy Limit (keV)		Channel Limit (inclusive)	
	Lower	Upper	Unit Values	
			Lower	Upper
Total Count (TC)	410	2810	137	937
K	1370	1570	457	523
U	1660	1860	553	620
Th	2410	2810	803	937
U (Upward)	1660	1860	553	620
Cosmic	3200	Infinity		

Table 2: Downward Spectrometer Energy Windows

8.2 Calibration Pad Test

The Compton stripping coefficients as provided by RSI are listed in Table 3:

Stripping Ratios	Spectrometer (SN 5516)	'normal' values
Th into U ($\alpha = a_{23}/a_{33}$)	0.271	0.250
Th into K ($\beta = a_{13}/a_{33}$)	0.396	0.400
U into K ($\gamma = a_{12}/a_{22}$)	0.75	0.810
U into Th ($a = a_{32}/a_{22}$)	0.045	0.060
K into Th ($b = a_{31}/a_{11}$)	0	0
K into U ($g = a_{21}/a_{11}$)	0	0.003

Table 3: Compton Stripping Coefficients

8.3 Cosmic Flight Test

In each of the spectral windows, the radiation increases exponentially with height due to radiation of cosmic origin. As well, the aircraft contributes a constant background to the count rate. By completing a series of flights within the same region, over a range of altitudes, these background contributions can be determined (Appendix A).

8.3.1 Setup and measurement procedure

A resolution check was completed at the aircraft base using a Thorium source prior to the cosmic test to insure the sensitivity and accuracy of the spectrometer.

Once the aircraft reached the desired altitude (first at ~8000 feet), survey data were recorded for approximately ten minutes. This was then repeated at 9 000, 10 000, 11 000, and 12 000 feet above sea level (Table 4).

Cosmic Test Flight Data (average counts)						
Altitude (ft)	Cosmic	UU	K	U	Th	TC
8144	172	3	18	10	11	243
9132	223	4	22	13	15	309
10135	259	4	25	15	17	353
11136	304	5	28	18	21	405
12074	353	6	32	20	24	463

Table 4: Cosmic Test Data

8.3.2 Results from Cosmic Flight Test

At each altitude, the raw data for the five windows of interest (Th, K, U, TC, and U Upward) were evaluated for quality. The mean values were then extracted and plotted against the cosmic background window. The results from the graphs (Appendix A) are summarized in Table 5.

Cosmic Flight Test Result from		
	Cosmic stripping	Aircraft Background
K	0.0767	4.8767
U	0.0564	0.4234
Th	0.0674	0
TC	1.2101	37 313
UU	0.0159	0.2207

Table 5: Calculated Cosmic and Aircraft Background Coefficients

8.4 Altitude Attenuation Test

The height attenuation of the spectrometer systems was calculated by flying a series of passes across a line over flat ground with uniform radioelement ground concentration. The test range was flown by acquiring data on a series of seven passes over a set path, at the following altitudes: 100, 150, 200, 250, 300, 400, 600, 800 and 1000 feet above ground.

8.4.1 Results from Altitude Attenuation Test

The airborne data from the altitude attenuation test was checked for quality. The radiometric windows were then corrected for background (aircraft and cosmic) and stripped of Compton contributions. After averaging the data for each line, the four windows of interest (K, U, Th, and Total Count) were plotted against the altimeter in order to obtain the height attenuation (Appendix A).

The results were obtained using an exponential regression where the slope represents the attenuation coefficient and the 'y' intercept represents the counts at 0 feet (Table 6).

Element	Altitude attenuation coefficients
K	-0.0079
U	-0.005
Th	-0.0081
TC	-0.0062

Table 6: Calculated Height Attenuation Coefficient

8.5 Radon Hover Test

The determination of calibration constants that enable the stripping of the effects of the atmospheric radon from the downward-looking detectors through the use of an upward looking detector is divided into two parts:

- 1) Determining the relationship between the upward and downward looking detector count rates for radiation due to atmospheric radon. Two test areas were established over an area of flat ground near the base of operations at the White Camp. Each day the aircraft hovered over the test area for ~5 minutes.

a_{uu}	0.2633	Upward Uranium vs down Uranium slope
a_k	0.9498	Potassium vs down Uranium slope
a_T	0.1179	Thorium vs down Uranium slope
a_i	15.302	Total Count vs down Uranium slope
b_{uu}	-0.8826	Upward Uranium background
b_k	39.014	Potassium background
b_T	6.7828	Thorium background
b_i	255.86	Total Count background

- 2) Determining the relationship between the upward and downward looking detector count rates for radiation originating from the ground using complete survey dataset.

The Upward detector ground component is related to the downward detector ground components by a linear equation:

$$u_g = a_1 x U_g + a_2 x T_g$$

Where:

- u_g , U_g and T_g are contribution in the windows that originate from the ground.
- a_1 and a_2 are empirically determined calibration factors.

The procedure, as per IAEA Report # 323, in determining the a_1 and a_2 factors was applied to the survey block dataset with the following results (Table 7):

a_1	0.04071
a_2	0.023506

Table 7: JP Ross Block a_1 and a_2 factors

9.0 OPERATIONS AND PROCEDURES

9.1 Flight Planning and Flight Path

The block outline coordinates (Table 1) were used to generate pre-calculated navigation files. The navigation files were used to plan flights at the designated traverse line spacing of 75 m and control lines of 750 m.

9.2 Base Station

Magnetic base stations were established in magnetically quiet areas in the vicinity of the Ross camp (at latitude 63.433016; Longitude: -139.121826) (Figure 5).



Figure 5: Base Station setup located at the JP Ross Camp

9.3 Airborne Magnetometers

An FOM test performance of the CS-3 and fluxgate magnetometers was performed in order to monitor the ability of the system to remove the effects of aircraft motion on the magnetic measurement. The FOM maneuvers consisted of a series of calibration lines flown at high altitude (10,000ft+ above sea level). During this procedure, pitch, roll and yaw maneuvers were performed on the aircraft.

The following ranges were used:

Pitch: 10-15°

Roll: 10-15°

Yaw: 10-15°

See Appendix B for the FOM results as flown on June 22nd, June 29th, 2010 and were used to compensate the magnetic data.

10.0 DATA COMPILATION

10.1 Flight Path Corrections

The navigational correction process yields a flight path expressed in WGS84, World and transformed to correspond to NAD 83 UTM ZONE 7N, North America. The flight line path for the airborne geophysical survey is shown in Figure 6.

10.2 Thorium Resolution Tests

A daily resolution test was carried out in order to monitor the resolution of the spectrometer. The results from the resolution tests were always found to be within the contract specifications.




Figure 6: Flight Line Path for Airborne Geophysical survey over the White Block Claims (Groups 1, 2 and 3)

10.2 Digital Terrain Model (DTM)

The DTM data was produced by first adjusting the GS sensor height to that of the radar altimeter height (lowering GPS height by 2.1 m). Next the radar altimeter channel (in metres) was subtracted from the GPS height data producing a raw DTM channel. Next the radar altimeter channel (in metres) was subtracted from the GPS height data producing a raw DTM channel. Because of inherent errors, the raw DTM channel required leveling. The following key microlevelling parameters were used (Table 8):

Block Name	Line Spacing (m)	Line Direction (deg.)	Grid Cell Size (m)	Decorrugation Cutoff (m)	Amplitude Limit (nT)	Amplitude Limit Mode	Naudy Filter Limit
JP Ross	75	56	15	300	15	Clip	0

Table 8: DTM microlevelling parameters

The DTM contours produced from data collected during the airborne geophysical survey across the JP Ross Claim Group Block are shown in Figure 7 and the final contoured and coloured DTM image shown in Figure 8.




Figure 7: Digital Terrain Model (DTM) Contours produced for the JP Ross Claim Block




Figure 8: Map showing the final contoured Digital Terrain Model (DTM) map for the JP Ross Claim Block (Groups 1, 2 and 3)

2011 Assessment Report on the JP Ross Claims, Yukon Territory, Canada

10.3 Magnetic Corrections

The 50 Hz aeromagnetic data from Cesium 3 and Fluxgate magnetometers were filtered with a 51 cosine anti-aliasing algorithm and re-sampled at 10 Hz.

10.3.1 Diurnal Corrections

The compensated magnetic data were adjusted to account for diurnal variations. When the magnetic variation recorded at the base station recognized to be caused by manmade sources, such as equipment, vehicles passing by the sensor), they were removed and gaps interpolated. Diurnal variations recorded by the base station were filtered with a 101-point low pass filter. The filtered data was then subtracted directly from the aeromagnetic measurements to provide first order diurnal correction.

After base station removal, the total magnetic field values become very small. To bring the total magnetic measurements back to 'normal' values, project averages were added back to the magnetic data (Table 9).

Block Name	Average Readings (nT)
JP Ross	57199.60

Table 9: Base Station Project Averages Per Block

10.3.2 Lag Corrections

There are two potential types of Lag offsets when collecting airborne data: time lag and distance lag. The distance lag is determined by dividing the distance from the GPS antenna to the sensor head by the averaged sample rate distance (Table 10).

Block Name	Distance from GPS Antenna to Sensor Head (m)	Average Sample Interval (m)	Lag Applied to Magnetic Data (records)
JP Ross	9.2	3.2	-3

Table 10: Lag Corrections for JP Ross Block

10.3.3 Heading Corrections

Optically pumped magnetic sensors have an inherent heading error, typically 1 to 2 nT peak-to-peak, as the sensor is rotated through 360 degrees.

Two heading test flights were flown at magnetically quiet area at 10,000 +ft above sea level altitude on June 24th and June 29th, 2010 with the following results (Table 11 and Table 12):

Line	Direction (deg.)	Mean on line (nT)	Mean in direction (nT)	Mean on heading (nT)	Error (nT)
	0				2.547
15	56	57157.66	57157.26	57155.40	-1.86
17	56	57156.85			
14	236	57153.20	57153.55		1.86
16	236	57153.89			
24	146	57160.55	57160.58	57155.36	-5.22
26	146	57160.61			
25	326	57150.24	57150.14		5.22
27	326	57150.04			

Table 11: Heading Test Flight Results: June 24th, 2010

Line	Direction (deg.)	Mean on line (nT)	Mean in direction (nT)	Mean on heading (nT)	Error (nT)
					-3.55
22	56	57235.40	57235.36	57232.95	-2.41
24	56	57235.32			
23	236	57228.86	57230.55		2.41
25	236	57232.23			
14	146	57227.91	57227.61	57231.85	4.24
16	146	57227.30			
13	326	57235.21	57236.09		-4.24
15	326	57236.97			

Table 12: Heading Test Flight Results: June 29th, 2010

10.3.4 IGRF Corrections

The total field strength of the International geomagnetic Reference Field (IGRF) was calculated for every data point, based on spot values of Latitude, Longitude and altitude. This IGRF was removed from the measured survey data on a point-by-point basis from the lag corrected channel. After IGRF correction the total magnetic field values become negative. The bring the total magnetic measurements back to 'normal' values an average of IGRF values based on the whole project were added back to the magnetic data (Table 13).

Block Name	Average Readings (nT)
JP Ross	57375.1

Table 13: IGRF Averages for the JP Ross Block

10.3.5 Leveling Corrections

After the data were corrected for IGRF, a survey control line intercepts matrix was created for determining differences in magnetic field at the intersection points. The same key parameters were used as shown in Table 8.

10.4 Vertical derivative

A 1-st Order vertical Derivative (VDV) data were calculated using 2D FFT2 algorithm based on final TMI grid. The resulting VDV grid was filtered with a Hanning 3x3, with 2 passes, filter and sampled back to the database (Figure 9).




Figure 9: Map of the JP Ross Block showing the final 1st-Order Vertical Derivative (VDV) Map

10.5 Radiometric Corrections

10.5.1 Live Time Corrections

The spectrometer uses the notion of 'live time' to express the relative period of time the instrument was able to register new pulses per sample interval.

The live time correction is applied to the total count, potassium, uranium, thorium and upward uranium channels.

The formula used to apply the correction is as follows:

$$C_{LT} = C_{raw} \times \left(\frac{1000}{LT} \right)$$

Where:

- C_{LT} is the live time corrected channel
- C_{raw} is the raw channel
- LT is the Live Time channel

10.5.2 Pre-Filtering

The cosmic channel data were processed with a 15-point low pass filter to remove spikes. When radon corrections were applied, live time, background and cosmic corrected uranium, thorium, and upward uranium were pre-filtered with 11, 11 and 21 point low pass filters respectively. The radar altimeter channel while recorded at 50Hz was filtered with 21-point COSINE filter and then sampled to 1Hz.

10.5.3 Aircraft and Cosmic Background

Aircraft background and cosmic stripping corrections were applied to the live corrected total count, potassium, uranium, thorium and upward uranium channels using the following formula:

$$C_{ac} = C_{LT} - (ac + bc \times cof)$$

Where:

- C_{ac} is the background and cosmic corrected channel
- C_{LT} is the live time corrected channel
- ac is the aircraft background for this channel
- bc is the cosmic stripping coefficient for this channel
- cof is the filtered cosmic channel

All negative counts after this correction step were replaced with zeroes.

10.5.4 Radon Correction

The relationships between the counts in the downward uranium window and on the four other windows (i.e., upward uranium, thorium, potassium and total count) due to atmospheric radon were determined using linear regression for the test site

The equations solved were:

$$u_r = a_u \times U_r + b_u$$

$$K_r = a_K \times U_r + b_K$$

$$T_r = a_T \times U_r + b_T$$

$$I_r = a_I \times U_r + b_I$$

Where:

- u_r is the radon component in the upward uranium window
- K_r , U_r , T_r and I_r are the radon components in the various windows of the downward detectors
- The various “a” and “b” coefficients are the required calibration constants

The radon component in the downward uranium window was then determined using the following formula:

$$U_r = \frac{(u_f - a_1 \times U_f - a_2 \times Th_f + a_2 \times b_r - b_u)}{(a_u - a_1 - a_2 \times a_r)}$$

Where:

- U_r is the radon component in the downward uranium window
- u_f is the filtered upward uranium
- U_f is the filtered uranium
- Th_f is the filtered thorium
- a_1, a_2 are proportionality factors
- b_u and b_r are background constants

10.5.5 Compton Stripping

Following the background and cosmic corrections (above) the potassium, uranium and thorium were corrected for spectral overlap.

The stripping corrections are then carried out using the following formulas:

$$ar = \frac{I}{1 - a\alpha h}$$

$$Th_c = (Th_{bc} - aU_{rc}) \times ar$$

$$U_c = (U_{rc} - Th_{bc}\alpha h) \times ar$$

$$K_c = K_{bc} - \beta h Th_c - \chi h U_c$$

Where:

- U_c , Th_c , and K_c are corrected Uranium, Thorium and Potassium
- $a\alpha h$, βh , and χh are the height corrected Compton stripping coefficients
- U_{rc} , Th_{bc} and K_{bc} are background and cosmic corrected Uranium, Thorium and Potassium
- ar is the backscatter correction
- a is the reverse stripping ratio U into Th

All negative counts after this correction were replaced with zeroes.

10.5.6 Attenuation Corrections

The Total Count, Potassium, Uranium and Thorium data were then corrected to a nominal survey altitude of 30 m using the following equation:

$$C_a = C \times e^{-\mu(h - h_0)}$$

Where:

- C_a is the output altitude corrected channel
- C is the input channel
- μ is the attenuation correction for that channel
- h_e is the STP height
- h_0 is the nominal survey altitude

10.5.6.1 Leveling of Attenuation Corrected data

The altitude attenuation corrected data for all the Traverse lines were further microleveled with the following key parameters:

Block Name	Line Spacing (m)	Line Direction (deg.)	Grid Cell Size (m)	Decorrugation Cutoff (m)	Amplitude Limit (nT)	Amplitude Limit mode	Naudy Filter Limit
JP Ross	75	56	15	300	15	Clip	100

Table 14: Uranium microlevelling parameters

Block Name	Line Spacing (m)	Line Direction (deg.)	Grid Cell Size (m)	Decorrugation Cutoff (m)	Amplitude Limit (nT)	Amplitude Limit mode	Naudy Filter Limit
JP Ross	75	56	15	300	15	Clip	100

Table 15: Thorium microlevelling parameters

Block Name	Line Spacing (m)	Line Direction (deg.)	Grid Cell Size (m)	Decorrugation Cutoff (m)	Amplitude Limit (nT)	Amplitude Limit mode	Naudy Filter Limit
JP Ross	75	56	15	300	30	Clip	100

Table 16: Potassium microlevelling parameters

Block Name	Line Spacing (m)	Line Direction (deg.)	Grid Cell Size (m)	Decorrugation Cutoff (m)	Amplitude Limit (nT)	Amplitude Limit mode	Naudy Filter Limit
JP Ross	75	56	15	300	200	Clip	100

Table 17: Total Count microlevelling parameters

10.5.6.2 Ternary Map

The radioelement ternary map was produced by creating individual grids for the three radioelements (potassium, thorium and uranium), then assigning a colour to each. Cyan represents thorium, yellow uranium, and magenta potassium. The relative concentrations of the radioelements are represented by the blending of the three aforementioned colours (Figure 17).

11.0 RESULTS AND INTERPRETATION

The results and maps included with this report display the magnetic and radiometric properties of the geophysical survey area. The combination of geophysical data and regional geological information allows some general correlations to be made.

11.1 Magnetism

The Total Magnetic Intensity (TMI) final map was one of the main products from the high resolution airborne survey flown across the JP Ross Claim block (Figure 11). Anomalies identified from the colour-shaded TMI map are presented herein: There appears to be three regions of contrasting magnetic responses in the JP Ross Claim Block. Firstly, the northern portion of the map produces a mixed response, showing little structural continuity (Figure 11). The Southwestern corner of the JP Ross Block produces a relatively high magnetic response with North-Northeast trending lineaments, possibly representative of the strike of the units. The Southeastern corner of the JP Ross Claim block is dominated by a high magnetic response that grades to the north, several lineaments can be interpreted, typically trending to the northwest (Figure 11).

Amphibolite gneiss units typically crop out on ridge tops, the northwest-southeast trending magnetic high observed in the northwest corner of the JP Ross Claim block may be attributed to such outcrops (Figure 11).

Using the 1st-Order Vertical Derivative (Figure 9) the survey is potentially less-sensitive to noise in the data. When compared to the TMI image for the JP Ross Claim Block (Figure 11), the 1st-Order VDV image (Figure 9) provides a close association between distinctively magnetic high areas; particularly in the Southeastern part of the claim block and the eastern margins.

Strong, diffuse magnetic highs, such as those observed in the southern corner of the claim block are likely a result of host bedrock geology. For the purposes of interpretation when the TMI image (Figure 11) is overlain over the regional geology as mapped during 2010 several correlations stand out. Firstly intense magnetic responses correlate with areas of the claim block mapped as Carmacks Volcanic Group rocks. Such intense magnetic responses can be invoked by ultramafic rocks, such as serpentinite bodies and amphibolite gneiss units. Regional geology studies (Ryan and Gordey, 2002; Paulsen et al., 2010) have mapped ultramafic bodies.

The geology of the JP Ross claim block is structurally complex with several lineaments interpreted to cut through areas that invoke magnetically-high responses (Figure 11). These are likely attributed to regional -scale faults that disrupt the local geology or to contrasting lithological packages. A strong, linear magnetic low in the centre of the JP Ross Claims could be interpreted as a regional-scale thrust fault.




Figure 10: Total Magnetic Intensity (TMI) contour map for the JP Ross Claims.




Figure 11: Final Total Magnetic Intensity (TMI) image for the JP Ross Block. Traverse lines: 75m, 56/236 deg. From true North; Control Lines: 750 m, 146/326 deg. from true North. Average Sample Interval: 2.0 m/sample (10Hz), Average Sensor Height From Ground: 35.7 m.

2011 Assessment Report on the JP Ross Claims, Yukon Territory, Canada

11.2 Radiometrics

High-sensitivity, quantitative gamma-ray spectrometry has been applied to the JP Ross Claim Block to aid mineral exploration on the property. The method depends upon the fact that absolute and relative concentrations of radioelements K, U and Th vary measurably and significantly with lithology.

The radiometric survey provided good resolution the JP Ross Claim Block (Figure 13). In particular, the Potassium (K) map shows well-defined potassium highs. These distinct high areas are located in the central northern part of the JP Ross Claim Block; this corresponds well with a large granite intrusive body and smaller intrusive bodies across the property (Figure 13). The potassium highs (anomalies) identified from the radiometric survey provided a good tool for potentially imaging felsic intrusive bodies across the claim area.

The Radiometric Potassium (K) map also images the relatively strong response of the biotite-feldspar-quartz gneiss (central-west portion of the claim block) (Figure 13). The Carmacks Volcanic group, which is known to outcrop to the east of the claim block, invokes a relatively strong response in potassium. In addition the block image of Thorium (Th) across the JP Ross Claim Block correlates well with the aforementioned Potassium map (Figure 15). The Thorium Total Count map (Figure 15) shows a strong correlation between the thorium response and the mapped marble unit in the southwestern portion of the JP Ross claims (Figure 4). Serpentine bodies present a similar high response (pink hues) (Figure 4 and Figure 15) The Uranium (U) map produced for the JP Ross claims (Figure 16) presents a relatively subdued radiometric response in comparison to the potassium and Thorium maps (Figure 14 and Figure 15).

The Ternary Image map (Figure 17) for the JP Ross Claim Block emphasizes subtle distinctions in the relative concentrations of the radioactive elements, thereby 'fingerprinting' formations (Grasty et al., 1984). The ternary map shows a single colour image representing the relative concentrations of K (magenta), eU (cyan) and eTh (yellow) (see Figure 17). The method was effective at subdividing relatively acid igneous rocks and metamorphic rocks when they were not covered by impermeable transported material. The Ternary map (Figure 17) shows areas relatively anomalous in K83, these are located to the South of the claim block and may correspond to areas mapped as crowded feldspar porphyry and biotite-quartz-feldspar gneiss. There are no coincident potassium anomalies and magnetic highs interpreted from the K map (Figure 13) and TMI map (Figure 11).




Figure 12: Final Potassium (K) map for the JP Ross Claim Block (Groups 1, 2 and 3). Traverse lines: 75 m, 56/236 deg. From true North; Control lines: 750 m, 146/326 deg. From true North. Average Sample Interval: 20.0 m/sample (1Hz). Average Sensor Height From Ground: 36.0 m.




Figure 13: Final Potassium (K) map for the JP Ross Claim block, overlain by regional geology from 2010 field season.




Figure 14: Final Thorium (Th) map for the JP Ross Claim Block. Traverse lines: 75m, 56/236 deg. From True North; Control Lines: 750 m, 146/326 deg. From True North. Average Sample Interval: 20.0 m/sample (1Hz). Average Sensor Height From Ground: 36 m.




Figure 15: Final Uranium (U) map for the JP Ross Claim Block. Traverse lines: 75m, 56/236 deg. From True North; Control Lines: 750 m, 146/326 deg. From True North. Average Sample Interval: 20.0 m/sample (1Hz). Average Sensor Height From Ground: 36 m.




Figure 16: Final Ternary (K-eU-eTh) image map for the JP Ross Block. Traverse lines: 75m, 56/236 deg. From True North; Control Lines: 750 m, 146/326 deg. From True North. Average Sample Interval: 20.0 m/sample (1Hz). Average Sensor Height From Ground: 36 m.

12.0 DISCUSSION & CONCLUSIONS

The JP Ross Claim Block is a helicopter- and road-accessible property located in the White Gold District of the Yukon Territory. It comprises 3 groups of full-size quartz claims (750 claims each); Group 1 JP Ross, Group 2 Maisy May and Group 3 JP Ross. The claim block represents a recently explored part of the White Gold District; high-profile interest in the nearby Golden Saddle Deposit makes the JP Ross groups of claims an attractive piece of the Yukon. Owing to vegetation cover, and lack of good surface outcrop the high-sensitivity airborne geophysical survey represents an additional tool aiding in mineral exploration and the development of a geological context for the property.

The high-sensitivity airborne geophysical survey conducted across the JP Ross Claim Block during summer 2010 provides the only geophysical data for the JP Ross property. The 2010 survey provided detailed images of potassium, uranium and thorium enrichment or depletion across the survey block. These images will be used as exploration tools to further aid mineral exploration at the property and regional-scale.

Further data processing and refined presentation methods may enhance interpretations made herein. The magnetic and radiometric data collected must be applied to a constrained geological model in order to fully process the results. But as a first pass, the final TMI, DTM, VDV and K, U and Th, Ternary maps are a good basis for further exploration, especially when used in conjunction with regional historical mapping (Figure 4).

The radiometric K-U-Th image maps and the ternary map for the JP Ross Claim Block provided good image resolution for interpretation of property-scale host rocks and particularly intrusive rock types, (K-high areas) as shown by the correlation of intrusive rocks mapped during the 2010 exploration season corresponding to areas of high potassium (Figure 17).

The gradient from higher values in the south to lower in the north indicates a lithologic change in bedrock composition. The magnetic high signatures may represent lithologic contacts or intrusive relationships and require more-detailed follow-up ground work (Figure 11, Figure 12).

Statement of Expenditures on the JP Ross Claims

Details of Contract Services for all work performed on claims owned by Selene Holdings LLP.

Field Personnel: New-Sense Geophysics Ltd. Equipment/Survey & Final Report



<i>Equipment/Survey & Final Report</i>	<i>\$717,286.00</i>
<i>Helicopter Fuel 231 helicopter hours x 100 liter/ hour x \$2.50/ liters</i>	<i>\$57,750.00</i>
<i>Room and Board 42 Days at \$75/day/person x2</i>	<i>\$6,300.00</i>
<i>Ellis Geophysical Consultant Inc. Set Up Preparation of preliminary results</i>	<i>\$11,143.00</i>
<i>Ellis Geophysical Consultant Inc. Preparation of Final results</i>	<i>\$4,778.51</i>
Cost Breakdown per group:	
Group 1 (750 claims)	\$134,048.15
Group 2 (750 claims)	\$134,048.15
Group 3 (750 claims)	\$134,048.15
Total Expenditure for 2010 High-Sensitivity Airborne Survey/JP Ross Claim Block	<u>\$402,144.46</u>



References


- Dusel-Bacon, C. (2006): Paleozoic tectonic and metallogenic evolution of the pericratonic rocks of east-central Alaska and adjacent Yukon. In *Paleozoic evolution and Metallogeny of Pericratonic Terranes at the Ancient Pacific Margin of North America*, by M.Colpron and J.Nelson, 25-74. Geological Association of Canada Special Paper 45.
- Grasty, R.L., Carson, J.M., Charbonneau, B.W., and Holman, P.B. (1984): Natural background radiation in Canada. *Geological Survey of Canada Bulletin* 360, 39pp.
- Mackenzie, D. and Craw, D. (2009): Structural controls on hydrothermal gold mineralization in the White River area, Yukon. *Yukon Exploration and Geology*: 253-263.
- Mortensen, J.K. (1992): Pre-mid Mesozoic tectonic evolution of the Yukon-Tanana terrane, Yukon and Alaska. *Tectonics* 11: 836-853.
- Paulsen, H-K., Gibson, J., Fleming, A., and King, N. (2010): Technical Report on the White Gold Property, Dawson Range, Yukon. Unpublished Underworld Resources Inc, in 2009 Annual Report.
- Ryan, J.J., and Gordey, S.P. (2002): Bedrock geology of Yukon-Tanana terrane in southern Stewart River map area, Yukon Territory. *Geological Survey of Canada Current Research 2002-A1*.
- Ryan, J.J., and Gordey, S. (2004): *Geology, Stewart River Area* (Parts of 115N/1,2,7,8, and 115-O/2-12, Yukon Territory, Geological Survey of Canada, Map 1772A, scale 1:100 000.
- Yakovenko, A. (2010): Logistics Report for the high Resolution Helicopter Magnetic and Gamma-ray Spectrometric Airborne Geophysical Survey. New-Sense Geophysics Ltd. Report published for Kinross Gold Corp.

Appendix 1: List of all Claims for JP Ross Groupings:



APPENDIX A: Background and Cosmic Test Charts









Height Attenuation Test Charts



APPENDIX B: Fom Results

Kinross Gold, Yukon, FOM, June 22nd, 2010					
Line	Direction	Pitch	Roll	Yaw	Total
1000	236	0.100	0.100	0.100	0.300
2000	56	0.150	0.100	0.100	0.350
3000	146	0.100	0.085	0.130	0.315
4000	326	0.110	0.125	0.125	0.360
Total		0.460	0.410	0.455	1.325



Statement of Qualifications: Lucy Hollis, M.Sc, M.Sci

I, Lucy Hollis, of Vancouver, British Columbia do hereby certify that:

1. I am a Geologist in the employment of Kinross Gold Corporation, within the Vancouver office at 1380 West Georgia, Vancouver, B.C.
2. I am a graduate of the University of Birmingham, UK, with an M.Sci in Geology (with an International Year) and University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada with a M.Sc in Geological Science.
3. I have been involved in exploration geology in Canada for the past four years and have been employed by Kinross Gold Corp since April 2010.
4. This report is compiled from the given references and the authors personal experience.

Dated at Vancouver, British Columbia this 10th day of February 2011

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read 'L Hollis', is written above a horizontal line.

L.Hollis, M.Sc, M.Sci