

GEOLOGICAL AND GEOCHEMICAL ASSESSMENT REPORT
ON THE HUGO CREEK AREA (PART OF THE
ANDREW PROPERTY), MAYO MINING DISTRICT
YUKON TERRITORY

CLAIM NAME	GRANT NO.
Andrew 1-2	YB65796-797
AMB 49-50	YC02403-404
AMB 65-66	YC02419-420
AMB 105-112	YC02776-783
AMB 149-150	YC10008-009

(OWNED BY 18526 YUKON INC. & OVERLAND RESOURCES YUKON LTD.)

FOR WORK UNDERTAKEN IN JUNE-AUGUST 2007
BY OVERLAND RESOURCES YUKON LTD.

NTS MAP SHEET: 105K/16
~LAT./LONG.: 132° 13' 7" N / -62° 55' 33" W
UTM CO-ORD: 6980000 N, 640000 E (NAD 83, Zone 8).

AUTHOR: Jo van Randen, B.Sc.

DATE: January 2008

SUMMARY

This report documents geological and geochemical surveys undertaken in June through August 2007 on the Hugo Creek area of the Andrew Property, a group of mineral claims in central Yukon Territory, owned jointly by Overland Resources Yukon Ltd. and Mr. Ron Berdahl. The property was optioned in late 2006 after examinations and reviews of historical data confirmed its favorable geological setting and indications of significant zinc mineralization.

The property is underlain by fault-bounded slices of Devono-Mississippian Earn Group black clastics and Proterozoic to Lower Cambrian Hyland Group slate, sandstone, conglomerate, and limestone. The rocks have been folded along north-northwest trending axes, and faulting has been localized mostly within less competent, carbonaceous shaley units. A few kilometers west of the property, these sediments are in contact with a Cretaceous granitic batholith. Evidence suggests that areas on the property have been intruded and effected by this batholith at depth.

Geological and geochemical surveys were undertaken to assess previously identified occurrences, soil geochemical anomalies, and targets detected by an airborne electromagnetic and magnetic survey commissioned by Noranda in early 2001 as well as Noranda's exploration and drill programs in 2001 and 2002. This work was designed to aid in identifying mineralized structures while mapping the Andrew property and planning of targets for future diamond drill testing.

In the Hugo Creek area, Overland Resources Yukon Ltd. conducted mapping and rock sampling surveys and given the mineralized outcrop and float samples collected, a later soil program of grid and contour soils were designed to help focus further exploration in the coming seasons. A total of 10 man days were spent mapping and rock sampling and 12 man days were utilized to collect the soils. From the Hugo Creek area, 33 rocks and 234 soils were obtained and sent into Eco Tech Laboratories for analysis.

Significant values (locally greater than the 10000 ppm detection limit) for lead, zinc and copper were returned for both rock chip and soil samples in the Hugo Creek area. A program of continued mapping/prospecting and rock sampling is recommended along with site –specific follow up of the up-slope areas around the anomalous 2007 soil and rock samples. Air photo studies and possibly land sat imagery could assist in unraveling the structurally complex geology of the Hugo Creek area. Tapping into the expertise of the Yukon Geological Survey and Geological Survey of Canada regional-scale mappers is recommended as the Hugo Creek area (and Andrew property in general) is a poorly constrained part of the metalliferous Selwyn Basin.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TEXT

	Page
1.0 INTRODUCTION4
2.0 PROPERTY DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION4
3.0 ACCESS, CLIMATE, INFRASTRUCTURE AND PHYSIOGRAPHY7
4.0 HISTORY8
5.0 GEOLOGICAL SETTING9
6.0 DEPOSIT TYPES11
7.0 MINERALIZATION13
8.0 EXPLORATION13
8.1 Geology And Litho geochemistry13
8.2 Soil Geochemistry14
9.0 SAMPLING METHODOLOGY23
9.1 Rock Samples23
9.2 Soil Samples23
10.0 SAMPLE PREPARATION, ANALYSIS, SECURITY23
10.1 Rock Samples23
10.1 Soil Samples23
11.0 DATA VERIFICATION24
11.1 Control Standards and Blanks24
12.0 INTERPRETATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS24
13.0 RECOMMENDATIONS24
14.0 REFERENCES25

TABLES

1 Claim Data4
2 Geological Formations9
3 Hugo Creek Area Mineral Occurrence.13

FIGURES

1 Location Map5
2 Claim Map6
3 Andrew Property Regional Geology Map10
4 Hugo Creek Area Geology Compilation Map12
5 Rock Sample Location Map15
6 Rock Sample Lead Thematic Anomaly Map16
7 Rock Sample Zinc Thematic Anomaly Map17
8 Rock Sample Copper Thematic Anomaly Map18
9 Soil Sample Location Map19
10 Soil Sample Lead Thematic Anomaly Map20
11 Soil Sample Zinc Thematic Anomaly Map21
12 Soil Sample Copper Thematic Anomaly Map22

APPENDICES

I Authors' Statement of Qualifications	
II Analytical Procedures	
III Certificates of Analysis	
IV Sample Descriptions	
V Statement of Costs	

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report was prepared to document the geological and geochemical surveys undertaken in 2007 on the Hugo Creek area of the Andrew property to satisfy internal company good practices and government assessment requirements.

For assessment purposes, only the geological and geochemical work completed up to June 20th was used in the application for a certificate of work for those claims filed on July 10th, however all data collected in the Hugo Creek area is discussed in this brief report.

All information and data documented in this report was obtained by employees of Overland Resources Yukon Ltd., except for geochemical and assay analyses, which were contracted to Eco Tech Laboratories Ltd. of Kamloops, B.C. The author was directly involved in the management of the field program and supervised the exploration team in the field. Conclusions and recommendations are those of the author, after discussion of findings with other geologists working on the project in 2007.

2.0 PROPERTY DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION

The Andrew property consists of a two large groups of quartz mineral claims (and four smaller isolated blocks near the main claim groups) totaling 377 full and fractional quartz mineral claims. The Andrew property claims have an approximate total area in excess of 6900 hectares within a localized region near the prominent topographical feature of Mt. Selous. The property is located ~110 air kilometers north of the community of Ross River in the Mayo Mining District, Yukon, on NTS map sheet # 105K/16 (figure 1). The center of the main claim block is located at lat./long. 132° 13' 7" N / -62° 55' 33" W, or UTM co-ordinates 6980155 N, 641070 E (NAD 83, Zone 8).

At the time of the report writing, the Hugo Creek area consisted of the following claims:

Table1. Claim Data

Claim Name	Grant Number	Area	Owners	Recording Date	Expiry Date
Andrew 1-2	YB65796-797	Clearwater Creek	Overland	16/08/1996	16/08/2010
AMB 49-50	YC02403-404	Clearwater Creek	Resources	28/08/2000	28/08/2010
AMB 65-66	YC02419-420	Clearwater Creek	Yukon Ltd. &	28/08/2000	28/08/2012
AMB 105-112	YC02776-783	Clearwater Creek	18526 Yukon Inc.	10/07/2001	10/07/2010

Note that the indicated expiry dates are based on assessment work with this report for the AMB 105-112 claims (and diamond drilling filed on August 28th, 2007 for the majority of the rest of the claim block filed under a separate report). Figure 2 displays the Hugo Creek area claim locations.

Overland Resources Yukon Ltd. has the responsibility of permitting, claim maintenance, assessment filing and reporting, and all associated fees.

The claims lie on crown land, and surface rights belong to the crown. They do not lie within or near any park, special management zones, first nation settlement lands or land selections. However, they are situated within lands considered as traditional hunting and trapping areas by several first nation bands.



Location Map




Figure 2

The property has not been legally surveyed. The majority of claim posts were located by Noranda using GPS units and several post locations were spot field checked by Overland Resources crew in 2007. The 2007 Ozzie and Bridge claims were staked using GPS handheld units to triangulate claim post locations designed on the Noranda digital representation of the existing Andrew and AMB claims. As a result of this a number of fractions and gaps within the now larger main claim block were plotted on the government idealized claim map. In the field, to the best of Overland Resources claim stakers knowledge, there are no gaps where the Ozzie or Bridge claims adjoin the main AMB and Andrew claim block on the ground (this adjustment has been brought to the attention of the Mayo mining recorder and a more accurate depiction of the claims is pending on the government maps). Figure 2 shows the approximate position of the claims based on the best known data (both Noranda digital data and the 2007 GPS surveys). Note that the geology and geochemical maps in this report show the boundary of the claims as indicated in a compilation of existing data and GPS locations of the 2007 posts.

There has been no prior mineral extraction on the property. There are several known mineral zones on the property which were partially exposed by previous operators using bulldozer and hand trenching. Some of these have been tested by diamond drilling. Locations and descriptions of these known mineralized zones are provided on Noranda assessment report maps.

Previous operators were responsible for construction of approximately 20 kilometers of bulldozer trails and trenches in the late 1960's. Other than a few areas on bare rock, these have revegetated naturally and no potential erosional problems were observed. A 1000-meter airstrip was also constructed by previous operators, and was rehabilitated to provide access by single and twin otter craft with large wheels for supporting the 2001 and 2002 Noranda work programs. More than 50 empty fuel drums that were abandoned by previous operators at various parts of the property were collected by Overland Resources Ltd. personnel and flown to Twin Creeks Airstrip where Mike Mickey with Esso agreed to remove and salvage the drums on the 2007 fuel backhauls from Twin Creeks to Ross River.

A tent camp accommodating up to 25 people, utilizing lumber frames and plywood floors was constructed adjacent to the airstrip for the current program in part utilizing the existing Noranda tent floors. The camp was left in place and secured for winter in anticipation of future exploration programs by Overland Resources Yukon Ltd.

This 2007 exploration program was conducted pursuant to the Yukon Quartz Mining Act and Regulations and conditions specified in Mining Land Use Permit No. LQ00203, granted on June 5, 2007 and expiring on June 4th, 2012.

3.0 ACCESS, CLIMATE, INFRASTRUCTURE AND PHYSIOGRAPHY

Access for the current program was provided by helicopter. A B2 AStar helicopter was onsite during the entire exploration program and was used to transport all equipment, camp supplies and personnel to and from the project. The existing airstrip (which saw single and twin otter traffic during Noranda's 2001/2002 exploration programs) next to camp was utilized as a landing strip for the helicopter and was cleared of small regeneration of saplings in 2007 but did not see use by wheeled aircraft during the 2007 exploration season. For the 2007 work program, personnel and equipment were transported by a helicopter chartered from Canadian Helicopters based in Edmonton, Alberta.

Previous operators to Noranda Inc., hauled fuel and heavy equipment into the property on a winter bulldozer trail constructed from the North Canol road at Dragon Lake, about 60 kilometers from the claims. This same winter route is currently under application for future permitted use in 2008.

Owing to its high latitude, central Yukon has short summers, and long, severe winters, which are slightly tempered by its proximity to the Gulf of Alaska. Permafrost is common on north and east facing slopes.

Vegetation below 1500 meters is typical of the northern boreal forest. In the valley immediately east of the claims, there are spruce trees with trunk diameters over 1 meter, unusually large for this latitude.

The property lies within the South Fork Range of the Yukon Plateau, east of the Tintina Trench and west of the Mackenzie Mountains. Elevations range from about 1000 to 1800 meters on the property, which can be described as the east facing side of a wide valley with moderate slopes, cut by several east flowing creek valleys.

Near Faro, the Anvil district was once a significant base metal producing district, and is the nearest community with sufficient infrastructure to support a large mining operation. Concentrate was shipped by truck to tidewater at Skagway, Alaska, a distance of about 500 kilometers. Future development of base metal deposits at the Tom/Jason or Howard's Pass area east of the property could have a positive impact on the Andrew project, as the likely access route would also use the North Canol road.

4.0 HISTORY

Between 1967 and 1969, Atlas Exploration staked the area and undertook an exploration program consisting of 63 kilometers of linecutting, evidence of which is still visible. Magnetic, electromagnetic, and soil geochemical surveys were completed on these gridlines, and the work was filed as assessment. A helicopter-borne airborne electromagnetic and magnetic survey with flight lines spaced at 305 meters was flown over the property in 1969. Bulldozer trenching exposed several mineral occurrences, but none were thought significant enough to warrant additional work and the claims were allowed to lapse. In 1977, Cima Exploration drilled two short holes in the "Lad" showing, one of which encountered sulfide mineralization assaying 4.7% Zn, 5.3% Pb, and 133.7 g/t Ag over 1.2 meters, but later abandoned the area.

There is no recorded production or evidence of production from the property.

Prospector Ron Berdahl's association with the property dates to 1996, when he staked the Andrew 1-10 claims to cover a prominent gossan associated with zinc and lead mineralization while on a grubstake funded by Cominco. Cominco turned down a proposal to acquire the property. In 1999, Ron returned to the property to undertake assessment work consisting of hand and dynamite trenching and sampling of the gossan.

Noranda was invited to examine the data, and a visit to evaluate the property followed in the summer of 2000. Noranda entered into an option agreement and conducted two extensive exploration projects in 2001 and 2002 including drilling 23 diamond drill holes (totaling 4556m) as well as mapping, rock and soil sampling, and conducting airborne and ground magnetic and gravity geophysical surveys. Noranda Inc. returned the Andrew property to Ron Berdahl during a period of corporate takeover by Falconbridge Inc.

In February, 2007 Overland Resources Yukon Ltd. secured an option to acquire a 90% interest in the Andrew project, which it exercised in July 2007, after completing data compilation, a JORC-compliant (Australian Stock Exchange code) resource calculation and commencing a program of infill and extensional drilling around the Andrew deposit. Overland Resources employees conducted a work program of property scale mapping and sampling in addition to the 10 hole (2859m) diamond drill program and collected >1932 soils and >270 rock chip samples from areas around the known mineralization at the Andrew deposit.

5.0 GEOLOGICAL SETTING

The property lies within the ancestral North American Terrane of the northern Canadian Cordillera. This is composed of a thick prism of Proterozoic to Triassic sedimentary rocks that accumulated on and along the western margin of the Archean rocks of the Canadian Shield and known as the Selwyn Basin. This terrane has been divided into a series of fault and unconformably bounded assemblages or mappable sedimentary packages. In the area of the Andrew property, the following assemblages have been identified in the recent compilation of the regional geology of the Yukon, GSC Openfile 3754, released in January 2001:

Table 2. Geological Formations

AGE	MAP CODE	FORMATION OR GROUP NAME	LITHOLOGIES
Carboniferous to Permian	CPMC	Mount Christie	green cherty shale, shale and chert, black siltstone; minor quartzite, limestone, dolostone
Mississippian	MK	Keno Hill	quartz arenite, black shale, phyllite
Upper Devonian and Mississippian	DME	Earn	black shale and chert, chert pebble conglomerate, barite
Ordovician to Lower Devonian	ODR	Road River	black shale and chert, siltstone or limestone
Lower Cambrian	IEG1	Gull Lake	shale, siltstone, mudstone; minor sandstone, local volcanics
Upper Proterozoic to Lower Cambrian	PEH3	Hyland	maroon and green slate
Upper Proterozoic to Lower Cambrian	PEH2	Hyland	grey limestone
Upper Proterozoic to Lower Cambrian	PEH1	Hyland	brown to green shale, sandstone, grit, quartz pebble conglomerate; minor limestone, phyllite

Figure 3 shows the GSC geological Regional Geology for the Andrew Property area.

The Andrew property area has not seen any detailed 1:50 000 scale regional mapping and the 1:250 000 sheet mapped by Gordey and Irwin in 1987 is currently being correlated with GSC geologist Charlie Root's mapping of the 1:250 000 scale map sheet directly north of the Andrew property area. Further work is required in the area to resolve several rock type correlation difficulties with units across the map sheet boundary. This part of Selwyn Basin is poorly understood according to Dr. Charlie Roots but it is uncertain when the area will receive any expert mapping efforts by either the Geological Survey of Canada or Yukon Geological Survey.


Noranda geologists reported that the rocks in general follow the regional strike of 120 to 160 degrees and dip steeply to the northeast, and Overland staff confirmed this. Folding along this regional trend was observable at several outcrops. Faulting is evident by the presence of linear gullies, creek trends, and rarely in outcrop. In addition, many structures are evident from airborne magnetics (see Figure 4). There are two preferential trends; the strike parallel trend at about 140 degrees and a cross-cutting trend at about 100 degrees.

The sediments of the Hyland group are characterized by a weakly to moderately developed schistosity or phyllitic texture in pelitic units. Limestones are generally finely crystalline. Younger assemblages display only very weak regional metamorphic effects.

Following accretion of terranes in the cordillera, Cretaceous granitic plutons intruded these assemblages, and several are mapped within a few kilometers east and west of the property. Outcrops of a granodioritic to monzonitic porphyry body on the south end of the property are likely Cretaceous and related to the large Mount Sealous pluton to the west.

Legend


- Ks=mid Cretaceous Selwyn Plutonic Suite
- DMe=Dev Mississippian Earn Group
- OSr=Ord Silurian Road River Group
- COr=Camro-Ordivician Rabbitkettle Formation
- PCh=Precambrian Hyland Group



Geology modified after:
Gordey and Irwin 1987
GSC map 19-1987

Andrew Property Regional Geology Map

NTS Map Sheet 105K/16
(NE corner of 105K)
Scale 1:250 000



- Legend**
- Claim group in this assessment report
 - Overland Hugo Creek mapping
 - black shale
 - green shale
 - grey shale
 - grit
 - quartzite
 - schist
 - qtz/py vein
 - shale
 - sandstone
 - Rock Sample location
 - Noranda Regional Geology data
 - Limit of hornfels
 - Interpreted Fault (from Geophysics)
 - Hyland Group maroon/green shales
 - Earn Group
 - Undifferentiated Hyland Group or Road River

NTS map sheet 105K/16
 Clear Water Creek Area
 UTM NAD 83 Zone 8

Hugo Creek Area Geology Compilation Map

Scale: as shown
 JvR
 January 2008

Figure 4

The absence of continuous outcrops along ridges or creek valleys, and the overall heavy vegetation and lack of outcrop hinders the assignment of a formation name any particular outcrop, as many of the formations contain similar lithologies. The government compilation is considered a reasonable interpretation given the vast area, remote location and finite resources of the GSC. Noranda's and Overland Resources detailed mapping work has determined that the assemblages identified in the compilation are present, but their aerial distribution is different though still uncertain.

Location of mapped outcrops with lithologies, for the Hugo Creek area and interpretation of faults and contacts is shown on figure 4. As the shales are recessive and quartzite outcrop forming, there is a preponderance of quartzite outcrops. However, drilling indicates that the recessive, non-outcropping forming shaley units are the more abundant component of most assemblages. This feature also hinders mapping interpretation.

Contrasting competencies amongst various rock types, especially between soft, fissile, often carbonaceous shales and hard, outcrop-forming quartzite results in different deformational behavior. The soft shales tend to accept most of the strain and movement during tectonic deformation. Faulting, shearing, and brecciation are present in these rocks to a greater degree. Quartzites tend to show only brittle fracturing in areas of structural deformation.

6.0 DEPOSIT TYPES

The property was acquired due to its favorable geological setting and the delineated mineralization in the Noranda drilling and the presence of under-explored and untested zinc occurrences and geochemical anomalies within the property boundaries and surrounding areas. The setting has similarities to sediment hosted, stratiform, zinc-rich, base metal massive sulfide deposits elsewhere in the North American terrane. Well known examples include the Red Dog deposit in Alaska, and Sullivan in southern British Columbia, as well as the large though sub-economic resources at Howard's Pass, Yukon, and Cirque (Stroonsay) in northern British Columbia. The majority of the world's largest producing zinc mines are of the sediment hosted, stratiform type.

These deposits form along tectonically active continental margins, where the discharge of hydrothermal fluids from fault zones results in precipitation of dissolved metals in a second order basin. They usually display evidence of syndepositional tectonic activity such as fault scarp talus and slump breccias, and evidence of syndepositional geothermal activity such as the presence of chemical sediments (exhalites) including chert, barite, pyrite, sphalerite and galena. Typical host rocks are deep marine clastic sedimentary rocks. The form of the deposit is typically concordant, bedded, with large lateral extents. Regional metamorphism and deformation often radically change the morphology of the deposit and texture of mineralization.

Noranda's exploration programs were designed to highlight features that may be indicative of stratiform massive sulfide mineralization. An airborne electromagnetic and magnetic survey was flown over the property and surrounding area at a line spacing of 200 meters to hopefully isolate areas with anomalous conductivity and magnetic susceptibility. Selected targets were further tested with ground magnetics and gravity surveys to distinguish sulfide-bearing sources from non-sulfide bearing sources. Mapping and prospecting attempted to identify favourable stratigraphy, structural settings, and mineralization but this work was hindered by lack of exposure. As these deposits often exhibit large geochemical haloes, limited soil geochemical surveys were undertaken over targets not previously covered by historical work. Selected areas were further investigated by Noranda but not systematically tested by diamond drilling.

7.0 MINERALIZATION

During the course of mapping and prospecting, examinations of mineral occurrences previously documented by Atlas Exploration were undertaken, and several new occurrences or clusters of occurrences were discovered by Noranda. A summary of the Noranda compilation of mineralization at Hugo Creek area is given below and complete Noranda mineral occurrences are listed in the 2001 Noranda assessment report (see references).

Table 3. Hugo Creek area Mineral Occurrence

NO.	NAME	MINERALOGY	ROCKTYPE	DESCRIPTION	CONTROLS
12	Atlas "A" in Hugo Creek	py-cpy-sph	pyritic metasiltstone-quartzite	sheared, brecciated qtz-sulfide vein	cross-cutting vein
DIMENSIONS		CONTINUITY	SAMPLES	RESULTS	
up to 130 cm thick		traceable for 40 m	644239	geochemical analysis of chip sample returned 23 ppm Cu, 18 ppm Pb, 66 ppm Zn, 0.1 ppm Ag Pb, 14,300 ppm Zn, 130 ppm Ag	

In the Hugo Creek area, Atlas exploration documented pyrite, chalcopyrite, sphalerite mineralization in sheared brecciated quartz sulphide veins at their Atlas A occurrence (at the southwest corner of Andrew 1 mineral claim) which Noranda later relocated and took one sample (see table 3 above). Overland Resources spent in excess of 5 days (10 man days) mapping and rock sampling in the Hugo Creek area as well as 12 man days collecting auger soil samples in the area. Prospecting/mapping identified massive lead vein boulders and less conspicuous zinc rich mineralization in rusty sedimentary Hyland group rocks along a spur creek to the main Hugo Creek drainage and in deep seated structures that manifest themselves as natural (non man made "trenches") linear features. These cuts correlate with the interpreted east-west trending fault structures Noranda plotted from geophysical interpretations.

8.0 EXPLORATION

8.1 Geology and Litho geochemistry

Geological and prospecting work was focused towards evaluation in and around previously identified mineral occurrences, geochemical anomalies, and airborne geophysical anomalies. Noranda employee crews consisting of a geologist – prospector pair who were set out by helicopter or traversed from camp. Locations of outcrops and samples were determined by handheld GPS units. These crews also completed all rock and soil sampling reported in the Noranda 2001 and 2002 reports.

Overland Resources Yukon Ltd. continued the geological and prospecting work in 2007 to assist in understanding the geological environment and to aid in interpretations with the ongoing diamond drill program focused on the Andrew deposit. Crews consisting of one or more geologist with an assistant collected rock chip or grab samples from mineralized areas and delineated rare outcrop extents using handheld GPS units and plotting on field base maps. Outcrop and sample descriptions were entered into digital spreadsheets and eventually standardized in the project database using the Andrew project specific rock codes developed for correlation purposes. Mapping sheets were georeferenced and made digital using MapInfo software once an area was completed and all structural measurements were entered into a single Access database. Rock sample locations were marked in the field using industry

standard coloured flagging tape and double sided aluminum tags with the assay number etched for future location with results.

The Hugo Creek area saw mapping and litho-geochemical sampling in June and July of 2007. The Hugo Creek area had 33 rock samples collected with 30 of those falling within the boundary of the claims worked in this assessment report. Mapping in the area was hindered by poor rock exposure and restricted to limited outcrops along the steep walls of the Hugo Creek drainage basin. Variably altered sedimentary rocks were mapped into units without an effort to correlate to the regional rock groups (Hyland Group rocks versus Road River or Earn Group rocks) due to the lack of distinct marker units (like the chert pebble conglomerate of the Earn) and the overall lack of outcrop exposures. Regional geology mapping of the area has a boundary between the Earn and undifferentiated Hyland and possibly Road River Group rocks along a northwest trending fault structure (see Figure 4). The significance of stratigraphic position of the mineralization is poorly understood at this point as this mineralization at Hugo Creek area may be more of a result of the proximity to the large batholith centered at Mt Selous to structurally prepare the host rocks and drive mineralized fluids along fault structures.

Rock sample location and sample numbers are displayed on figure 5. Figures 6 to 8 display thematically mapped significant results for Pb, Zn, and Cu rock geochemistry results in the Hugo area. A cluster of coincident anomalous samples appears along the most northerly east-west spur creek that joins the main Hugo creek where the creek makes an abrupt bend (likely due to deep seated faults in the area). Three rock chip samples were collected in the area that returned greater than detection limits for lead (>10000 ppm Pb) and one greater than 10000 ppm Zn was returned for sample number 24838 in Hugo Creek itself. Elevated copper numbers generally correlate with anomalous values in lead and zinc and over upper analytical detection limit of >10000 ppm Cu was returned for sample number 24837 located at the directional anomaly in Hugo Creek.

8.2 Soil Geochemistry

During the 2007 exploration season, Overland Resources employees collected and analyzed over 1900 Auger type soil samples including 234 in the Hugo Creek area with 47 of those falling just outside the boundary of claims used in this assessment report but part of the Hugo Creek soil grid so displayed and discussed here. Nineteen of those soil samples were contour soils collected in crude lines parallel to the path taken by Hugo Creek itself where the creek makes a prominent (unnatural?) bend from flowing northward to flowing Northwest (– possible intersection of regional fault structures with noted associated mineralization?).

Soil sample location and sample numbers are displayed on figure 9. Figures 10 to 12 display thematically mapped significant results for Pb, Zn, and Cu soil geochemistry results in the Hugo area. Several linear anomalous trends are apparent with significant coincident Cu, Pb, Zn anomalies outlined along the northernmost creek draining into Hugo Creek. The majority of samples along the contour soil lines parallel to Hugo creek returned regionally significant values for lead with sample number 53230 returning 427 ppm Pb. On the ridge top near the AMB 49 northern claim boundary, plots a significant 1282 ppm Pb in soil spot anomaly and since lead in soil is less mobile than several other elements, areas of significant anomalous Pb in soil, (especially those samples in locations with no down slope dispersion effects) merit further ground investigations. Zinc in soil values ranged up to 4478 ppm Zn at the head waters of the spur creek that drains into Hugo creek and this area also warrants further work to locate the up-slope source of high coincident copper, lead, zinc soil anomalies. Other ore deposit indicator elements (for example: Ag, As, Ba, Bi, Ge, Hg, etc) for the Hugo Creek area soil samples could prove to be a useful exploration tool when the values were thematically mapped and processed through a statistics manipulation to determine significant values in soil for the area.






Figure 5







NTS Map sheet 105K/16
 Clear Water Creek Area
 UTM NAD 83 Zone 8




Scale: 1:1000
 JvR
 January 2008


Rock Sample Lead Thematic Anomaly Map

Figure 6






NTS Map sheet 105K/16
 Clear Water Creek Area
 UTM NAD 83 Zone 8



Scale: 1:1000
 JvR
 January 2008

Rock Sample Copper Thematic Anomaly Map


Figure 8



LEGEND

- Claim group in this assessment report
- Hugo Creek Area soil sample location
- Sample Number
- topographic contours (20m)


NTS Map sheet 105K/16
 Clear Water Creek Area
 UTM NAD 83 Zone 8




Soil Sample Location Map

Scale: 1:1000
 JvR
 December 2007

Figure 9




NTS Map sheet 105K/16
 Clear Water Creek Area
 UTM NAD 83 Zone 8




Soil Sample Lead Thematic Anomaly Map

Scale: 1:750
 JvR
 December 2007

Figure 10




NTS Map sheet 105K/16
 Clear Water Creek Area
 UTM NAD 83 Zone 8




Soil Sample Zinc Thematic Anomaly Map

Scale: 1:750
 JvR
 December 2007

Figure 11



NTS Map sheet 105K/16
 Clear Water Creek Area
 UTM NAD 83 Zone 8



Soil Sample Copper Thematic Anomaly Map

Scale: 1:750
 JvR
 December 2007

Figure 12

9.0 SAMPLING METHODOLOGY

9.1 Rock Samples

Rock samples were collected from outcrop and boulders by chipping with a rock hammer. Between 0.5 to 2 kilograms of 2 to 10 centimeter sized chips were placed in a clear, heavy-duty plastic bag, labeled with a number written on the bag and a heavy paper sample tag placed inside. Notes on the sample type (rock chip, rock grab or float sample) were recorded in the corresponding tag book along with the GPS UTM NAD 83 Zone 8 coordinate at the sample site. A total of 33 rock samples were collected and analyzed from the Hugo Creek Area. The samples were packed in polyweave bags at the camp and shipped for analysis at Eco Tech Laboratories Ltd. in Vancouver, B.C.

9.2 Soil Geochemistry

Soil samples were collected over selected areas based on initial mapping and prospecting of an area and the geologist designing the best orientation of grids or contours soil lines given the structures and trends of exposed mineralization of a given area. Soil geochemistry may provide an indication of enhanced metal concentrations in underlying rocks, providing the soil profile has been stable for a significant period of time. Samples were collected at 25 meter intervals along generally lines spaced at 100 meters in the Hugo Creek area. A total of 234 soil samples were collected and analyzed from the area surrounding Hugo Creek. The soils were collected by auguring through the organic and leached layer with a extension auger designed for mineral exploration soil sample collection. An approximately 0.5 kilogram sample of "B" or "C" horizon material was placed in Kraft paper envelopes marked with the unique sample number and the corresponding number was written on tyvek labels and zap strapped to a nearby tree or brush for future site location. Results were entered into a digital spreadsheet and processed with commercial software.

10.0 SAMPLE PREPARATION, ANALYSES, SECURITY

10.1 Rock Samples

Rock samples were recorded, packed in polyweave bags at the camp and shipped for analysis to Eco Tech Laboratories Ltd. in Kamloops, B.C. (with a prep lab in Whitehorse, Yukon). Transportation was the same methodology as used for the soil samples. At the lab, the samples were oven dried, and sieved through a –150 micron mesh. A nitric-aqua regia digestion is performed, and a trace ICP-MS 50-element analysis performed. The sample preparation and analytical technique applied is specified on each lab report, and is described in Appendix II.

10.2 Soil Samples

Soil samples were air dried at camp, recorded on transmittal sheets, then packed in zap strapped plastic bags then polyweave (rice) bags and shipped for analysis to the prep lab in Whitehorse before analysis at Eco Tech Laboratories Ltd. in Kamloops, B.C. The samples were transported internally by the camp based helicopter to A1 delivery service in Faro or Ross River, who was responsible for delivering the bags by truck to the Whitehorse prep lab. At the lab, the samples were oven dried, and sieved through a –80 mesh. A nitric-aqua regia digestion is performed, and a trace ICP-MS 50-element analysis analysis performed. The sample preparation and analytical technique applied is specified on each lab report, and is described in Appendix II.

11.0 DATA VERIFICATION

Overland Resources Yukon Ltd. implemented a quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) program during rock and soil sampling. The established protocol calls for submission of blanks, control samples, and duplicates in all sample batches submitted to the lab. Pulp replicate analyses are also undertaken internally by the lab and reported on the Certificate of Analysis in Appendix III. Blanks and soil duplicates were monitored throughout the exploration season to ensure that duplicates did not return results of greater than 10% of each other or that blanks did not return significant values for elements of interest.

11.1 Control Standards, duplicates and Blanks

Commercial prepared pulps were used as Control Standards in the drill program portion of the 2007 Overland Resources exploration work but they are beyond the scope of this report and not included here. Duplicates were submitted and in the case of soil sampling, two separate samples with unique sample numbers were periodically collected at the same site. Soil blanks, consisting of Yukon River silt collected in Whitehorse Yukon, were periodically submitted into the soil sample batches sent to the laboratory. For the Hugo Creek area, the duplicates were within the acceptable limits to the company representatives, and the blanks returned insignificant values for indicator elements. The rock sample population for the Hugo Creek area in isolation is too small for meaningful statistical evaluation but Overland Resources complete project QA/QC results were satisfactory. Development of a rock "blank" similar to the soil Yukon River silt blank (and core Hyland Group maroon and green shale "blank") was recommended for future litho-geochemical programs.

12.0 INTERPRETATION AND CONCLUSIONS

At the Hugo Creek area, structural and stratigraphic controls to the demonstrated mineralization are poorly constrained. The soil geochemistry grid and contour soil lines have significant anomalies along the outer borders which merit grid extensions and further sample collection. The significant rock chip sample results warrant further mapping, prospecting and sampling. The association of silicification with chalcopyrite and pyrrhotite in addition to sphalerite and galena and the noisy magnetic signature suggest the mineralization in this area may be hydrothermal. The heat source is likely due to the presence of the Mount Sealous pluton at depth. The area displays significant structural complexity and is the site of favourable intersections of regional scale interpreted fault structures and possible dilational zones known elsewhere in Selwyn Basin to host mineralization.

13.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

A program of continued mapping/prospecting and rock sampling in the Hugo Creek area is recommended after further compilation and interpretation of the 2007 and earlier work is conducted. Site-specific follow up investigations are recommended for the anomalous soil and rock samples sites produced during the 2007 field season. The generation of additional element thematic maps and further manipulation of 2007 soil geochemistry are recommended to focus future exploration in the Hugo Creek area. An air photo interpretation and possibly LandSat study could assist in unravelling the complex structural setting of the area, especially given the lack of outcrop exposure. Since the regional geologic mapping of the Hugo creek area has not seen detailed work, it is recommended to tap into the vast knowledge base available for discussion (and possibly a field visits) of expert geologists from the Yukon Geological Survey and Geological Survey of Canada who have been involved in the mapping of similar rocks elsewhere in the Selwyn Basin and worked at the past producing Faro lead-zinc deposits.

14.0 REFERENCES

Atlas Explorations Ltd. Yukon Government Assessment Reports:

- ATLAS EXPLORATIONS LTD, Dec/67. Assessment Report #018947 by C.L. Smith.*
- ATLAS EXPLORATIONS LTD, Dec/67. Assessment Report #019032 by C.L. Smith.*
- ATLAS EXPLORATIONS LTD, Jun/68. Assessment Report #019809 by J.S. Brock.*
- ATLAS EXPLORATIONS LTD, Oct/68. Assessment Report #019010 by T.J. Adamson.*
- ATLAS EXPLORATIONS LTD, Oct/68. Assessment Report #019016 by T.J. Adamson.*
- ATLAS EXPLORATIONS LTD, Oct/68. Assessment Report #060719 by T.J. Adamson.*
- ATLAS EXPLORATIONS LTD, Oct/68. Assessment Report #060720 by T.J. Adamson.*
- ATLAS EXPLORATIONS LTD, Nov/68. Assessment Report #019013 by T.J. Adamson.*
- ATLAS EXPLORATIONS LTD, 1968. Assessment Report #018941 by M.E. Coates.*
- ATLAS EXPLORATIONS LTD, Jan/69. Assessment Report #019009 by T.J. Adamson.*
- ATLAS EXPLORATIONS LTD, Jan/69. Assessment Report #019012 by T.J. Adamson.*
- ATLAS EXPLORATIONS LTD, Feb/69. Assessment Report #019011 by J.S. Brock.*
- ATLAS EXPLORATIONS LTD, Nov/69. Assessment Report #060718 by T.J. Adamson.*

Ascough, G.A.,

2001: Geophysical Survey Report
(Noranda Inc. internal report)

Bailes, R.J., Smee, B.W., Blackadar, D.W. and Gardner, H.D.,

1986: Geology of the Jason lead-zinc-silver deposits, Macmillan Pass, eastern Yukon. Mineral Deposits of Northern Cordillera, J.A. Morin (ed.), Canadian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy, Special Volume 37, p. 87-99.

Berdahl, R.S.

1997: Andrew Claim Area Assessment Report #093881.

Berdahl, R.S.

1999: YMIP Andrew Claim Group Report #99-050.

Berdahl, R.S.

1999: Trenching Report, Andrew Claim Group

Berdahl, R.S.

2002: Andrew Claim Area Assessment Report #094378.

Deklerk, R. and Traynor, S.,

2005: Yukon MINFILE 2005, Yukon Geological Survey, CD-ROM

- Goodfellow, W.D.,
 2004: Geology, genesis and exploration of SEDEX deposits, with emphasis on the Selwyn Basin, Canada. Sediment-hosted Lead-Zinc Sulphide Deposits, Attribute and Models of Some Major Deposits in India, M. Deb and W.D. Goodfellow (eds.), Australia and Canada, Narosa Publishing House, New Delhi, India, P.24-99.
- Goodfellow, W.D. and Jonasson, I.A.,
 1986: Environment of formation of the Howards Pass (XY) Zn-Pb deposit, Selwyn Basin, Yukon. Mineral Deposits of Northern Cordillera, J.A. Morin (ed.), Canadian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy, Special Volume 37, p19-50.
- Goodfellow, W.D., Lydon, J.W., and Turner, R.W.,
 1993: Geology and genesis of stratiform sediment hosted (SEDEX) Zn-Pb-Ag sulphide deposits. Mineral Deposit Modeling, R.V. Kirkham, W.D. Sinclair, R.I. Thorpe, and J.M. Duke (eds.), Geological Association of Canada, Special Paper 40, p.201-251.
- Jennings, D.S. and Jilson, G.A.,
 1986: Geology and sulphide deposits of the Anvil Range, Yukon. Mineral Deposits of Northern Cordillera, J.A. Morin (ed.), Canadian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy, Special Volume 37, p339-361.
- apointe, D., Coulson, S. and Eastcott D.,
 2001: Geophysical Survey Logistical Report Regarding the Gravity Surveys over the Andrew Project (Quantec Geoscience Inc.)
- Pigage, L.C.,
 2004: Bedrock geology compilation of the Anvil District (parts of NTS 105K 2,3,5,6,7, and 11), Central Yukon. Yukon Geological Survey Bulletin 15.
- Findlay, R.B.,
 1969: Mineralogical study and trend surface analysis of the Lad Group. Unpublished B.A.Sc. thesis, University of British Columbia
- Gordey, S.P. and Makepeace, A.J. (compilers),
 2001: Bedrock Geology, Yukon Territory; Geological Survey of Canada, Open File 3754 and Exploration and Geological Services Division, Yukon Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, Open File 2001-1, scale 1:1,000,000
- Gordey, S.P. and Makepeace, A.J.,
 2003: Yukon Digital Geology, version 2.0, S.P. Gordey and A.J. Makepeace (comp.); Geological Survey of Canada, Open File 1749 and Yukon Geological Survey, Open File 2003-9(D).
- Huard, A. and Sevell, M.,
 2002: Geochemistry and Diamond Drilling Report on the 2001 Assessment Work conducted on the Andrew Property by Noranda Inc. Assessment Report #094274.
- Huard, A. and Sevell, M.,
 2003: Geochemistry and Diamond Drilling Report on the 2002 Assessment Work conducted on the Andrew Property by Noranda Inc. Assessment Report #094363.
- Power, M.,
 2001: Total Magnetic Field Surveys at the Andrew Property (Aurora Geosciences Ltd.)

- Read, W.S.,
1977: CIMA Resources Ltd, Assessment Report #091710.
- Roots, C.F. Abbott, J.G. Cecile, M.P. Gordey, S.P.,
1995: Bedrock Geology of Lansing Range Map Area
(105N), East Half, Hess Mountains, Yukon; Exploration and Geological Services, Yukon
Region, and Indian and Northern Affairs Canada.
- Smith, P.A.,
2001: DIGHEM^v Survey for Noranda Inc., Andrew Survey Area
(Fugro Airborne Surveys)
- Soloviev, S.G., Schulze, C.M. and Baklyukov, O.E.,
2003: Structural settings and geochemistry of the Myschka gold prospect, Tintina Gold Belt, Mt. Selous
area (105K/16, 105N/1), Yukon. In: Yukon Exploration and Geology 2002, D.S. Emond and L.L.
Lewis (eds), Exploration and geological Services Division, Yukon Region, Indian and Northern
Affairs Canada, p. 295-306.
- Traynor, S.(compiler),
2007: Yukon Mineral Deposits 2007. Yukon Geological Survey, p. 14.
- Yukon Exploration,
1987: p. 181.
- Yukon Exploration and Geology,
1999: p.25; 2001, p. 12, 25; 2002, p. 20, 24, 26.
- Yukon Mineral Property Update 2007. K. Galambos, L.L. Lewis and S. Traynor (compilers),
2007: Yukon Geological Survey, p. 93.

APPENDIX I
STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

I, Jo van Randen, hereby certify that:

1. I am a practicing geologist employed with Overland Resources Yukon Inc, residing in Whitehorse, Yukon Territory.
2. I am a graduate of University of British Columbia with the degree of B.Sc. in Geology and have practiced my profession since 1982.
3. I was on the Andrew property undertaking in the work program described in this report during the period June 4th to October 15th, 2007, and prepared all pertinent text and figures in this report.
4. I do not have directly or indirectly, any interest in the properties of 18526 Yukon Inc. or Overland Resources Yukon Ltd.

Signature: _____

Date: _____

APPENDIX II
ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

Analytical Procedure Assessment Report

Eco Tech Laboratory LTD is registered for ISO 9001-2000 by QMI Quality registrars (CDN 52172-01) for the “provision of assay and geochemical analytical services”. EcoTech also Participates in the Canadian Certified Reference Materials Project (CCRMP) testing program annually.

SAMPLE PREPARATION

Samples are catalogued and logged into the sample-tracking database. During the logging in process, samples are checked for spillage and general sample integrity. It is verified that samples match the sample shipment requisition provided by the clients. The samples are transferred into a drying oven and dried. Soils are prepared by sieving through an 80-mesh screen to obtain a minus 80-mesh fraction. Samples unable to produce adequate minus 80-mesh material are screened at a coarser fraction. These samples are flagged with the relevant mesh.

Rock samples are 2 stage crushed on a Terminator jaw crusher to minus 10 mesh ensuring that 70% passes through a Tyler 10 mesh screen.

Every 35 samples a resplit is taken using a riffle splitter to be tested to ensure the homogeneity of the crushed material.

A 250 gram sub sample of the crushed material is pulverized on a ring mill pulverizer ensuring that 95% passes through a 150 mesh screen. The sub sample is rolled, homogenized and bagged in a pre-numbered bag.

A barren gravel blank is prepared after each job in the sample prep to be analyzed for trace contamination along with the actual samples.

GEOCHEMICAL GOLD ANALYSIS

The sample is weighed to 30 grams and per worksheet there is a repeat sample for every 10 samples, plus one resplit per run of 35 or under. The samples are fused along with proper fluxing materials. The bead is digested in aqua regia and analyzed on an atomic absorption instrument. Over-range values for rocks are re-analyzed using gold assay methods. (Detection limit 1-5 ppb AA)

Appropriate reference materials accompany the samples through the process allowing for quality control assessment. Results are entered and printed along with quality control data (repeats and standards). The data is faxed and/or mailed to the client.

ASSAY GOLD ANALYSIS

A 30 g sample size is fire assayed using appropriate fluxes. The resultant dore bead is parted and then digested with aqua regia and then analyzed on a Perkin Elmer AA instrument. (Detection limit 0.03 g/t AA)

Appropriate standards and repeat sample (Quality Control Components) accompany the samples on the data sheet.

ICP-MS EXTENDED PACKAGE ANALYSIS

Samples are digested in an aqua regia solution for 45 minutes. They are bulked to 10 ml with de-ionized water, and an aliquot of this is taken for analysis on the ICP-MS. All synthetic standards are purchased and verified by 3 independent analysts and are used for instrument calibration before each and every ICP-MS run.

A 2-3 point standardization curve is used to check the linearity (high and low). Certified reference material is used to check the performance of the machine and to ensure that proper digestion occurred in the wet lab. QC samples are run along with the client samples to ensure no machine drift or instrumentation issues occurred during the run procedure. Repeat samples (every 10 or less) and resplits (every 35 or less) are also run to ensure proper weighing and digestion occurred.

APPENDIX III
CERTIFICATES OF ANALYSIS

ECO TECH LABORATORY LTD.

ICP MS CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS AK 2007- 1377

Overland Resources

Main data table containing multiple rows of chemical analysis results for various elements like Au, Ag, Al, As, Ba, Bi, Ca, Cd, Ce, Co, Cr, Cs, Cu, Fe, Ga, Ge, Hf, Hg, K, La, Li, Mg, Mn, Mo, Na, Nb, Ni, P, Pb, Rb, Re, S, Sb, Sc, Se, Sn, Sr, Ta, Te, Th, Ti, U, V, W, Zn, Zr.

QC DATA:

QC DATA table containing detailed analysis results for various elements, including a 'Repeat' section with multiple rows of data for elements like Au, Ag, Al, As, Ba, Bi, Ca, Cd, Ce, Co, Cr, Cs, Cu, Fe, Ga, Ge, Hf, Hg, K, La, Li, Mg, Mn, Mo, Na, Nb, Ni, P, Pb, Rb, Re, S, Sb, Sc, Se, Sn, Sr, Ta, Te, Th, Ti, U, V, W, Zn, Zr.

ECO TECH LABORATORY LTD.

ICP MS CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS AK 2007- 1377

Overland Resources

Second QC DATA table containing detailed analysis results for various elements, including a 'Standard' section with multiple rows of data for elements like Au, Ag, Al, As, Ba, Bi, Ca, Cd, Ce, Co, Cr, Cs, Cu, Fe, Ga, Ge, Hf, Hg, K, La, Li, Mg, Mn, Mo, Na, Nb, Ni, P, Pb, Rb, Re, S, Sb, Sc, Se, Sn, Sr, Ta, Te, Th, Ti, U, V, W, Zn, Zr.

ECO TECH LABORATORY LTD. Jutta Jealouse B.C. Certified Assayer

CERTIFICATE OF ASSAY AW 2007- 7094

Overland Resources
#1-151 Industrial Road
Whitehorse YT
Y1A 2V3

25-Jul-07

No. of samples received: 17
Sample Type: Rock
Project: Andrew
Shipment #: 2007-6
Submitted by: J. VanRanden

ET #.	Tag #	Ag (g/t)	Ag (oz/t)	Cu (%)	Pb (%)	Zn (%)
2	24837	81.9	2.39	2.86		
3	24838	71.1	2.07			1.08
15	24850	59.9	1.75		36.0	
16	24851	379	11.04		14.5	
17	24852	30.4	0.89			

QC DATA:

Repeat:

2	24837	76.7	2.24	2.90		
15	24850	61.5	1.79		34.0	

Standard:

Pb113		22.9	0.67	0.47	1.12	1.41
-------	--	------	------	------	------	------

JJ/sa/jl
XLS/07

ECO TECH LABORATORY LTD.
Jutta Jealous
B.C. Certified Assayer

APPENDIX IV
SAMPLE DESCRIPTIONS

ASSAY NO	COORDI	DATUM	ZONE	EASTING	NORTHING	SAMPLE TYP	DESCRIPTION
24836	UTM	NAD83	8	638918	6979798	Float	Common qtz float. 1% dis py. Biggest piece seen 50*50*30cm.
24837	UTM	NAD83	8	639050	6980461	Chip	0.7m chip channel. Qtz-py-po-tr gal vein.
24838	UTM	NAD83	8	638694	6980890	Chip	0.5m Chip channel. Qtz-py-po-tr gal vein trending 010 dipping vertical
24840	UTM	NAD83	8	638996	6980566	Chip	2.0-2.8m: Strongly fractured sil-py altered zone. Rusty bugger.
24841	UTM	NAD83	8	638997	6980565	Chip	2.8-4.8m: weakly silicified schist
24842	UTM	NAD83	8	638997	6980567	Chip	0-2m: Grey Schist
24843	UTM	NAD83	8	639035	6980517	Chip	0-0.8M: Qtz+15% cg py vein. 80/110 cg py in vugs
24844	UTM	NAD83	8	639037	6980524	Chip	0.8-2.3m: sil-10% dis py alt schist
24845	UTM	NAD83	8	639035	6980525	Chip	2.3-5.8m: moderately silicified + tr py altered schist
24846	UTM	NAD83	8	639035	6980531	Chip	5.8-6.2m: qtz-10% cg py vein. North trend steep dipping.
24847	UTM	NAD83	8	639035	6980534	Chip	6.2-9.2m: mod sil-5% fg dis py altered schist with small zones of intense silicification
24848	UTM	NAD83	8	638688	6980542	Float	From Atlas Trench. Sil-py altered sed. Lim coated fracs after py?
24849	UTM	NAD83	8	638894	6980899	Float	cg sst + 5% qtz vein stockwork. Open space textures + lim coating. Rare float
24850	UTM	NAD83	8	639400	6980469	Float	Rare float in structurally controlled creek. Qtz-massive galena vein. 20*10*10cm piece.
24851	UTM	NAD83	8	639622	6980470	Float	Semi gossanous qtz veined Fe duricrusted? Crap. Surficial processes.
24852	UTM	NAD83	8	639643	6980472	Float	East of Hugh Zone. Vuggy silicified veined rock. Lm X sulphides in vugs. Trace galena.
24853	UTM	NAD83	8	639359	6980440	Float	From Landslip. Leached silicified rock with 15% vugs + lim X sulphides. Tr sphal?
24854	UTM	NAD83	8	639311	6980320	Float	Rare float, qtz vein + lim coated fracs
24855	UTM	NAD83	8	639403	6980123	Float	Leached silicified rock / quartz vein. 10% vugs + lim X sulphides ? 1% Float in creek. 20*10*10cm piece.
24856	UTM	NAD83	8	639421	6980107	Grab	Subcrop. sil-cal-py-asy py altered + sheared rock. 80/180.
24857	UTM	NAD83	8	639440	6980079	Grab	Subcrop. Piece of qtz-py-gal-lim X sulphides in vugs.
24858	UTM	NAD83	8	639444	6980077	Grab	Subcrop. Silica altered rock. Vugs after leaching? Containing 15% lim X sulphides
24859	UTM	NAD83	8	639450	6980094	Grab	Subcrop. Handpicked leached qtz + lim coated / filed vugs
24860	UTM	NAD83	8	639448	6980094	Grab	Subcrop. Ferruginous lt gry Shale. Resinous marone fractures. Tr py visible.
24861	UTM	NAD83	8	639442	6980103	Grab	Outcrop. Sheared mod silicified grey shale. 5% qtz-lim X py fracs.
24862	UTM	NAD83	8	639672	6979893	Grab	Subcrop. Moderately silicified shale + 10% qtz-lim X py veinlets
24863	UTM	NAD83	8	639566	6979854	Float	Fault bx SST with 50% drusy qtz and limonite into cavities. 1 galena crystal seen. Lm coating in cavities.
24864	UTM	NAD83	8	639539	6979723	Grab	Subcrop. Qtz-py-asy py veins in schists close to GDR contact.
24953	UTM	NAD83	8	639733	6979781	Float	Rare qtz + lim altered clast float. 10*5*5cm. From Hill, not creek.
24954	UTM	NAD83	8	639528	6979993	Float	Qtz vein with 5% dis lim X py + 3% lim fracs
24955	UTM	NAD83	8	639405	6980105	Float	Off side of creek. Silicified ssl with vugs + dis py.
24956	UTM	NAD83	8	639587	6980176	Float	Black chert with 5% qtz stockwork
24957	UTM	NAD83	8	639703	6980141	Float	35% qtz vein stockwork in meta grit. Rare float.
24958	UTM	NAD83	8	639660	6980387	Float	Rare float, weakly silicified + qtz-lim veined MQT. Strongly oxidised.

<u>ASSAY #</u>	<u>COORD</u>	<u>DATUM</u>	<u>ZONE</u>	<u>EASTING</u>	<u>NORTHING</u>	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>DEPTH (cm)</u>	<u>COLOUR</u>	<u>ASPECT</u>	<u>SLOPE</u>
53215	UTM	NAD83	8	639149.65	6980456.35	auger	60	rd_brn	SW	38
53216	UTM	NAD83	8	639143.83	6980504.04	auger	50	rd_brn	SW	40
53217	UTM	NAD83	8	639128.26	6980551.79	auger	80	dk_gry_brn	SW	30
53218	UTM	NAD83	8	639113.44	6980599.16	auger	70	gry	SW	35
53219	UTM	NAD83	8	639109.86	6980648.33	auger	40	rd_brn	SW	42
53220	UTM	NAD83	8	639086.78	6980692.38	auger	50	rd_brn	SW	40
53221	UTM	NAD83	8	639058.43	6980730.44	auger	70	red gry	SW	46
53222	UTM	NAD83	8	639029.34	6980770.39	auger	70	brn	SW	48
53223	UTM	NAD83	8	639008.81	6980691.71	auger	45	lt_gry_brn	SW	50
53224	UTM	NAD83	8	639027.02	6980645.82	auger	50	lt_rd_brn	SW	42
53225	UTM	NAD83	8	639046.35	6980601.43	auger	40	dk_gry_brn	SW	40
53226	UTM	NAD83	8	639061.18	6980553.31	auger	35	lt_brn	SW	48
53227	UTM	NAD83	8	639075.25	6980507.07	auger	60	rd_brn	SW	52
53228	UTM	NAD83	8	639078.46	6980459.77	auger	35	dk_brn	SW	46
53229	UTM	NAD83	8	638924.67	6980533.48	auger	30	dk_brn	NE	15
53230	UTM	NAD83	8	638960.16	6980499.87	auger	25	rd_brn	NE	35
53232	UTM	NAD83	8	638989.25	6980457.30	auger	25	rd_brn	NE	28
53233	UTM	NAD83	8	638999.94	6980408.45	auger	25	red gry	NE	32
53234	UTM	NAD83	8	639009.88	6980358.49	auger	45	red gry	NE	32
65718	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980700.00	Auger	40	rd_brn/med_brn		15
65719	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980675.00	Auger	40	med_brn		15
65720	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980650.00	Auger	50	med_brn/dk_brn		10
65721	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980625.00	Auger	30	lt_gry		15
65723	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980600.00	Auger	40	med_brn		10
65724	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980575.00	Auger	50	dk_brn		15
65725	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980550.00	Auger	30	rd_brn		10
65726	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980525.00	Auger	35	med_brn		15
65727	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980500.00	Auger	60	dk_brn		30
65728	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980475.00	Auger	60	dk_brn		40
65729	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980450.00	Auger	40	med_brn		30
65730	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980425.00	Auger	40	rd_brn		25
65731	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980400.00	Auger	30	med_brn		15
65732	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980375.00	Auger	30	rd_brn		10
65733	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980350.00	Auger	30	rd_brn		10
65734	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980325.00	Auger	60	lt_brn		15
65735	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980300.00	Auger	30	med_brn		15
65736	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980275.00	Auger	40	gry		15
65737	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980250.00	Auger	40	lt_brn		5
65738	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980225.00	Auger	50	gry_brn		5
65739	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980200.00	Auger	50	med_brn		5
65740	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980175.00	Auger	50	gry_brn		5

<u>ASSAY #</u>	<u>% RX CHIPS</u>	<u>% ORGANICS</u>	<u>NOTES</u>	<u>ROCK TYPE</u>
53215	35	5		
53216	40	5		
53217	10	10		
53218	30	5		
53219	15	5		
53220	25	5		
53221	10	10		
53222	10	10		
53223	35	5		
53224	10	25		
53225	15	5		
53226	25	5		
53227	20	5		
53228	35	5		
53229	15	5		
53230	10	5		
53232	10	5		
53233	15	5		
53234	15	5		
65718	40	20		
65719	20	30	Multiple Source Holes	Maroon/Green Shale Fragments/Quartzite Fragments
65720	40	20		Quartz Veined Quartzite Fragments/Rusty Quartzite Fragments
65721	20	20	Powdery	Maroon Shale Fragments
65723	30	20		
65724	30	30	Multiple Source Holes	Quartzite Fragments/Various Shale Fragments
65725	30	30	Multiple Source Holes	Maroon Shale Fragments/Quartzite Fragments
65726	30	30	Multiple Source Holes	Black Shale Fragments
65727	30	20		Green/gry Shale Fragments
65728	50	20	In Dry Creek Bed	Quartzite/Shale Floats/gry Shale Fragments
65729	30	30		Maroon/gry Shale Fragments
65730	30	20		Quartzite Float
65731	40	20		Maroon/Green Shale Fragments
65732	40	30		Various Shale Fragments/Quartzite Fragments
65733	40	20		Rusty Quartzite Fragments
65734	30	20		
65735	30	30		Quartzite Float /w Pyrite/Quartzite Fragments
65736	30	20	Powdery	
65737	20	20		gry Shale Fragments
65738	40	20		Maroon /Green Shale Fragments
65739	40	20		Quartzite Float /w Pyrite/Maroon/gry Shale Fragments
65740	30	20		Quartzite Fragments/Maroon/gry Shale Fragments

<u>ASSAY #</u>	<u>COORD</u>	<u>DATUM</u>	<u>ZONE</u>	<u>EASTING</u>	<u>NORTHING</u>	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>DEPTH (cm)</u>	<u>COLOUR</u>	<u>ASPECT</u>	<u>SLOPE</u>
65742	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980150.00	Auger	30	gry_brn		5
65743	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980100.00	Auger	35	gry_brn		10
65744	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980075.00	Auger	50	med_brn		0
65745	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980050.00	Auger	30	rd_brn		10
65746	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980025.00	Auger	30	orange_brn		5
65747	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980000.00	Auger	50	orange_brn		5
65748	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6979975.00	Auger	45	rd_brn		5
68578	UTM	NAD83	8	639800.00	6980700.00	Auger	30	lt_brn	S	1
68579	UTM	NAD83	8	639800.00	6980675.00	Auger	30	lt_brn	S	1
68580	UTM	NAD83	8	639800.00	6980650.00	Auger	25	lt_brn	S	2
68581	UTM	NAD83	8	639800.00	6980600.00	Auger	15	dk_brn	S	3
68583	UTM	NAD83	8	639800.00	6980575.00	Auger	15	dk_brn	S	2
68584	UTM	NAD83	8	639800.00	6980550.00	Auger	40	dk_brn	S	5
68585	UTM	NAD83	8	639800.00	6980525.00	Auger	30	brn	S	3
68586	UTM	NAD83	8	639800.00	6980500.00	Auger	30	med_brn	S	5
68587	UTM	NAD83	8	639800.00	6980475.00	Auger	10	brn	S	3
68588	UTM	NAD83	8	639800.00	6980450.00	Auger	40	med_brn	N	5
68589	UTM	NAD83	8	639800.00	6980425.00	Auger	20	brn	N	5
68590	UTM	NAD83	8	639800.00	6980400.00	Auger	40	rd_brn/med_brn	SE	5
68591	UTM	NAD83	8	639800.00	6980375.00	Auger	40	rd_brn	E	2
68592	UTM	NAD83	8	639800.00	6980350.00	Auger	40	med_brn	N	5
68593	UTM	NAD83	8	639800.00	6980325.00	Auger	30	dk_brn	N	1
68594	UTM	NAD83	8	639800.00	6980300.00	Auger	45	med_brn		0
68595	UTM	NAD83	8	639800.00	6980275.00	Auger	40	brn		0
68596	UTM	NAD83	8	639800.00	6980250.00	Auger	40	dk_brn		0
68597	UTM	NAD83	8	639800.00	6980225.00	Auger	30	brn	N	2
68598	UTM	NAD83	8	639800.00	6980200.00	Auger	35	med_brn	N	3
68599	UTM	NAD83	8	639800.00	6980175.00	Auger	40	dk_brn	N	1
68600	UTM	NAD83	8	639800.00	6980150.00	Auger	50	med_brn	N	5
68602	UTM	NAD83	8	639800.00	6980125.00	Auger	30	gry_brn	N	2
68603	UTM	NAD83	8	639800.00	6980100.00	Auger	50	gry_brn	N	5
68604	UTM	NAD83	8	639800.00	6980075.00	Auger	40	lt_brn	N	3
68605	UTM	NAD83	8	639800.00	6980050.00	Auger	45	rd_brn/med_brn	N	5
68606	UTM	NAD83	8	639800.00	6980025.00	Auger	30	lt_brn	W	2
68607	UTM	NAD83	8	639800.00	6980000.00	Auger	40	gry_brn	N	5
68608	UTM	NAD83	8	639800.00	6979975.00	Auger	20	brn	E	2
68609	UTM	NAD83	8	639800.00	6979950.00	Auger	40	med_brn	NW	5
68610	UTM	NAD83	8	639800.00	6979925.00	Auger	40	dk_brn	W	2
68611	UTM	NAD83	8	639800.00	6979900.00	Auger	50	med_brn	W	5
68612	UTM	NAD83	8	639700.00	6979900.00	Auger	40	gry_brn	W	5
68613	UTM	NAD83	8	639700.00	6979925.00	Auger	40	lt_brn	W	6

ASSAY #	% RX CHIPS	% ORGANICS	NOTES	ROCK TYPE
65742	40	20		Green Shale Fragments
65743	30	40	Multiple Source Holes	Maroon Shale Fragments
65744	20	40		gry Shale Fragments/Quartzite Float
65745	40	30		Quartzite Float/Rusty Shale Fragments
65746	30	40		Chert Fragment
65747	30	30		gry Shale Fragments
65748	20	50		Black Shale Fragments/Rusty Quartzite Fragments
68578	10	20	Hit Rock	
68579	10	20	Hit Rock	
68580	30	20	Hit Rock	
68581	40	20		
68583	30	10		Maroon Shale Fragments
68584	30	30		
68585	30	10		Quartz Veined Quartzite Float/Maroon Shale Fragments
68586	20	30	Multiple Source Holes (Rocks)	
68587	0	5		Maroon Shale Fragments
68588	20	20		
68589	40	10		Maroon Shale Fragments
68590	20	30	Multiple Source Holes (Rocks)	
68591	50	0		Various Shale Fragments
68592	30	30		
68593	40	20		Chert Fragment/Maroon Shale Fragments
68594	30	30		
68595	50	10		Various Shale Fragments
68596	50	20	Sandy	
68597	40	20		Maroon Shale Fragments
68598	40	30	Multiple Source Holes (Rocks)	
68599	30	10		Quartz Veined Quartzite Fragments/Various Shale Fragments
68600	40	20		
68602	30	10		Quartz Veined Quartzite Fragments/Various Shale Fragments
68603	30	30		
68604	20	10		Black Shale Fragments
68605	60	20		
68606	20	20		Quartzite Fragments/Various Shale Fragments
68607	50	20		
68608	0	20		Quartzite Fragments/Black Shale Fragments
68609	30	20	Multiple Source Holes (Rocks)	
68610	50	10	Grainy	Quartzite Float/Green Shale Fragments
68611	40	20		
68612	30	20		Chlorite Veined Quartzite Fragment
68613	20	10	Grainy	Quartz Veined Quartzite Fragments

<u>ASSAY #</u>	<u>COORD</u>	<u>DATUM</u>	<u>ZONE</u>	<u>EASTING</u>	<u>NORTHING</u>	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>DEPTH (cm)</u>	<u>COLOUR</u>	<u>ASPECT</u>	<u>SLOPE</u>
68614	UTM	NAD83	8	639700.00	6979950.00	Auger	40	orange_brn	SW	5
68615	UTM	NAD83	8	639700.00	6979975.00	Auger	30	orange_brn	W	7
68616	UTM	NAD83	8	639700.00	6980000.00	Auger	50	orange_brn/gry_brn	W	10
68617	UTM	NAD83	8	639700.00	6980025.00	Auger	45	lt_brn	W	4
68618	UTM	NAD83	8	639700.00	6980050.00	Auger	40	brn	W	3
68619	UTM	NAD83	8	639700.00	6980075.00	Auger	40	gry_brn	SW	5
68620	UTM	NAD83	8	639700.00	6980100.00	Auger	45	dk_brn	N	2
68622	UTM	NAD83	8	639700.00	6980125.00	Auger	50	brn	W	3
68623	UTM	NAD83	8	639700.00	6980150.00	Auger	40	dk_gry_brn	W	5
68624	UTM	NAD83	8	639700.00	6980175.00	Auger	40	brn	W	2
68625	UTM	NAD83	8	639700.00	6980200.00	Auger	35	orange_brn	NW	5
68626	UTM	NAD83	8	639700.00	6980225.00	Auger	35	orange_brn	NW	4
68627	UTM	NAD83	8	639700.00	6980250.00	Auger	40	orange_brn	SW	5
68628	UTM	NAD83	8	639700.00	6980275.00	Auger	45	lt_brn	NW	2
68629	UTM	NAD83	8	639700.00	6980300.00	Auger	45	orange_brn/gry_brn	SW	5
68630	UTM	NAD83	8	639700.00	6980325.00	Auger	40	orange_brn	W	3
68631	UTM	NAD83	8	639700.00	6980350.00	Auger	55	gry_brn	SW	5
68632	UTM	NAD83	8	639700.00	6980375.00	Auger	45	orange_brn	NW	1
68633	UTM	NAD83	8	639700.00	6980400.00	Auger	30	gry_brn	N	1
68634	UTM	NAD83	8	639700.00	6980425.00	Auger	50	med_brn	N	5
68635	UTM	NAD83	8	639700.00	6980450.00	Auger	30	brn	N	2
68636	UTM	NAD83	8	639700.00	6980475.00	Auger	60	rd_brn_med_brn	W	10
68637	UTM	NAD83	8	639700.00	6980500.00	Auger	25	Purple brn	W	2
68638	UTM	NAD83	8	639700.00	6980525.00	Auger	55	med_brn	SW	5
68639	UTM	NAD83	8	639700.00	6980550.00	Auger	25	orange_brn	S	1
68641	UTM	NAD83	8	639800.00	6980625.00	Auger	15	dk_brn	SW	1
68642	UTM	NAD83	8	639700.00	6980575.00	Auger	25	gry_brn	S	2
68643	UTM	NAD83	8	639700.00	6980600.00	Auger	25	brn	W	2
68644	UTM	NAD83	8	639700.00	6980625.00	Auger	30	brn	S	1
68645	UTM	NAD83	8	639700.00	6980650.00	Auger	30	gry_brn	S	2
68646	UTM	NAD83	8	639700.00	6980675.00	Auger	30	lt_brn	W	1
68647	UTM	NAD83	8	639600.00	6980700.00	Auger	30	dk_brn	W	2
68648	UTM	NAD83	8	639600.00	6980675.00	Auger	25	orange_brn	SW	2
68649	UTM	NAD83	8	639600.00	6980650.00	Auger	20	rd_brn	W	1
68650	UTM	NAD83	8	639600.00	6980625.00	Auger	25	rd_brn	W	3
68651	UTM	NAD83	8	639600.00	6980600.00	Auger	20	dk_brn	W	2
68652	UTM	NAD83	8	639600.00	6980575.00	Auger	40	gry_brn	WW	2
68653	UTM	NAD83	8	639600.00	6980550.00	Auger	30	gry_brn	W	2
68655	UTM	NAD83	8	639600.00	6980525.00	Auger	25	rd_brn	W	3
68656	UTM	NAD83	8	639600.00	6980500.00	Auger	20	dk_brn	W	2
68657	UTM	NAD83	8	639600.00	6980475.00	Auger	25	gry_orange_brn	W	2

<u>ASSAY #</u>	<u>% RX CHIPS</u>	<u>% ORGANICS</u>	<u>NOTES</u>	<u>ROCK TYPE</u>
68614	30	30	Multiple Source Holes (Rocks)	
68615	0	20	Hit Rock	
68616	30	30	Multiple Source Holes	
68617	10	20	Hit Rock	
68618	20	10	Hit Rock	
68619	30	20		
68620	50	10	Hit Rock	
68622	40	20	Hit Rock	
68623	30	20		Quartzite Fragments/Quartz Veined Quartzite Float
68624	30	10	Hit Rock	
68625	30	40	Multiple Source Holes (Rocks)	
68626	10	20	Hit Rock	
68627	20	40	Multiple Source Holes (Rocks)	
68628	30	0	Hit Rock	
68629	30	40		
68630	15	5	Hit Rock	
68631	30	30		Rounded Quartzite Fragment
68632	20	10	Hit Rock	
68633	40	10	Hit Rock	
68634	30	20		Maroon Shale Fragments
68635	10	20	Hit Rock	
68636	20	20	In Dry Creek Bed	Quartzite Fragments
68637	20	10	Hit Rock	
68638	30	20		
68639	30	10	Hit Rock	
68641	40	10	Hit Rock	
68642	30	10	Hit Rock	
68643	20	10	Hit Rock	
68644	10	20	Hit Rock	
68645	10	10	Hit Rock	
68646	20	20	Hit Rock	
68647	20	20	Hit Rock	
68648	20	10	Hit Rock	
68649	40	20	Hit Rock	
68650	20	10	Hit Rock	
68651	20	20	Hit Rock	
68652	10	10	Hit Rock	
68653	20	10	Hit Rock	
68655	20	10	Hit Rock	
68656	30	10	Hit Rock	
68657	40	20	Hit Rock	

<u>ASSAY #</u>	<u>COORD</u>	<u>DATUM</u>	<u>ZONE</u>	<u>EASTING</u>	<u>NORTHING</u>	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>DEPTH (cm)</u>	<u>COLOUR</u>	<u>ASPECT</u>	<u>SLOPE</u>
68658	UTM	NAD83	8	639600.00	6980450.00	Auger	15	rd_brn	N	2
68659	UTM	NAD83	8	639600.00	6980425.00	Auger	20	rd_brn	N	2
68660	UTM	NAD83	8	639600.00	6980400.00	Auger	25	rd_brn	W	3
68661	UTM	NAD83	8	639600.00	6980375.00	Auger	20	orange_brn	W	2
68662	UTM	NAD83	8	639600.00	6980350.00	Auger	20	dk_brn	W	2
68663	UTM	NAD83	8	639600.00	6980325.00	Auger	15	dk_brn	W	2
68664	UTM	NAD83	8	639600.00	6980300.00	Auger	10	gry	W	2
68665	UTM	NAD83	8	639600.00	6980275.00	Auger	25	gry_brn	W	2
68666	UTM	NAD83	8	639600.00	6980250.00	Auger	20	gry_brn	W	2
68667	UTM	NAD83	8	639600.00	6980225.00	Auger	25	orange_brn	W	2
68668	UTM	NAD83	8	639600.00	6980200.00	Auger	20	gry_brn	W	1
68669	UTM	NAD83	8	639600.00	6980175.00	Auger	25	dk_gry_brn	W	2
68670	UTM	NAD83	8	639600.00	6980150.00	Auger	20	gry_brn	W	1
68671	UTM	NAD83	8	639600.00	6980125.00	Auger	20	gry_brn	W	2
68672	UTM	NAD83	8	639600.00	6980100.00	Auger	20	orange_brn	W	2
68673	UTM	NAD83	8	639600.00	6980075.00	Auger	20	gry_brn	W	2
68674	UTM	NAD83	8	639600.00	6980050.00	Auger	25	brn	W	5
68675	UTM	NAD83	8	639600.00	6980025.00	Auger	25	gry_brn	W	3
68676	UTM	NAD83	8	639600.00	6980000.00	Auger	20	gry_brn	W	4
68677	UTM	NAD83	8	639600.00	6979975.00	Auger	25	dk_gry	W	2
68678	UTM	NAD83	8	639600.00	6979950.00	Auger	24	lt_brn	SW	22
68679	UTM	NAD83	8	639600.00	6979925.00	Auger	20	dk_brn	NW	5
68680	UTM	NAD83	8	639600.00	6979900.00	Auger	25	lt_brn	W	5
68682	UTM	NAD83	8	639700.00	6980700.00	Auger	25	brn	SE	2
68683	UTM	NAD83	8	639500.00	6980700.00	Auger	20	brn	SW	10
68684	UTM	NAD83	8	639500.00	6980675.00	Auger	25	brn	SW	2
68685	UTM	NAD83	8	639500.00	6980650.00	Auger	30	brn	SW	6
68686	UTM	NAD83	8	639500.00	6980625.00	Auger	40	brn	SW	5
68687	UTM	NAD83	8	639500.00	6980600.00	Auger	40	brn	SW	9
68688	UTM	NAD83	8	639500.00	6980575.00	Auger	50	brn	SW	7
68689	UTM	NAD83	8	639500.00	6980550.00	Auger	25	brn	SW	8
68690	UTM	NAD83	8	639500.00	6980525.00	Auger	30	brn	SW	5
68691	UTM	NAD83	8	639500.00	6980500.00	Auger	30	brn	SW	10
68692	UTM	NAD83	8	639500.00	6980475.00	Auger	30	brn	SW	10
68693	UTM	NAD83	8	639500.00	6980450.00	Auger	30	brn	SW	10
68694	UTM	NAD83	8	639500.00	6980425.00	Auger	25	brn	SW	10
68695	UTM	NAD83	8	639500.00	6980400.00	Auger	40	brn	SW	10
68696	UTM	NAD83	8	639500.00	6980375.00	Auger	35	brn	SW	10
68697	UTM	NAD83	8	639500.00	6980350.00	Auger	50	brn	SW	10
68698	UTM	NAD83	8	639500.00	6980325.00	Auger	65	brn	SW	15
68699	UTM	NAD83	8	639500.00	6980300.00	Auger	30	brn	SW	12

ASSAY #	% RX CHIPS	% ORGANICS	NOTES	ROCK TYPE
68658	40	20	Hit Rock	
68659	30	10	Hit Rock	
68660	40	20	Old River Valley	
68661	40	10	Old River Valley	
68662	30	10	Hit Rock	
68663	40	20	Hit Rock	
68664	40	20	Hit Rock	
68665	20	10	Hit Rock	
68666	30	20	Hit Rock	
68667	40	20	Hit Rock	
68668	30	10	Hit Rock	
68669	30	20	Hit Rock	
68670	40	10	Old River Valley	
68671	30	20	Hit Rock	
68672	20	10	Hit Rock	
68673	20	20	Hit Rock	
68674	20	10	Hit Rock	
68675	10	20	Grainy	
68676	15	5	Hit Rock	
68677	10	20	Hit Rock	
68678	40	10	Hit Rock	
68679	60	20	Grainy	
68680	70	20	Hit Rock	
68682	30	15		
68683	30	10		
68684	40	5		
68685	30	5		
68686	40	5		
68687	40	10		
68688	50	10		
68689	25	10		
68690	30	10		
68691	35	5		
68692	25	15		
68693	15	5		
68694	15	5		
68695	10	10		
68696	15	10		
68697	15	15		
68698	0	5		
68699	10	10		

<u>ASSAY #</u>	<u>COORD</u>	<u>DATUM</u>	<u>ZONE</u>	<u>EASTING</u>	<u>NORTHING</u>	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>DEPTH (cm)</u>	<u>COLOUR</u>	<u>ASPECT</u>	<u>SLOPE</u>
68700	UTM	NAD83	8	639500.00	6980275.00	Auger	30	brn	SW	23
68701	UTM	NAD83	8	639500.00	6980250.00	Auger	50	brn	SW	15
68702	UTM	NAD83	8	639500.00	6980225.00	Auger	30	brn	SW	3
68703	UTM	NAD83	8	639500.00	6980200.00	Auger	45	brn	SW	5
68704	UTM	NAD83	8	639500.00	6980175.00	Auger	30	brn	SW	10
68705	UTM	NAD83	8	639500.00	6980150.00	Auger	40	brn	SW	10
68706	UTM	NAD83	8	639500.00	6980125.00	Auger	30	brn	SW	10
68707	UTM	NAD83	8	639500.00	6980100.00	Auger	30	brn	SW	10
68708	UTM	NAD83	8	639500.00	6980075.00	Auger	30	brn	SW	10
68709	UTM	NAD83	8	639500.00	6980050.00	Auger	40	brn	SW	10
68710	UTM	NAD83	8	639500.00	6980025.00	Auger	30	brn	SW	10
68711	UTM	NAD83	8	639500.00	6980000.00	Auger	35	brn	SW	5
68712	UTM	NAD83	8	639500.00	6979975.00	Auger	30	brn	SW	5
68713	UTM	NAD83	8	639500.00	6979950.00	Auger	40	brn	SW	5
68714	UTM	NAD83	8	639500.00	6979925.00	Auger	50	brn	SW	5
68715	UTM	NAD83	8	639500.00	6979900.00	Auger	25	brn	SW	5
68716	UTM	NAD83	8	639300.00	6980700.00	Auger	40	brn	S	10
68718	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980700.00	auger	40	red brown/medium bro	W	15
68719	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980675.00	auger	40	med brown	W	15
68720	UTM	NAD83	8	639411.00	6980650.00	auger	50	med - dark brown	W	10
68721	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980625.00	auger	30	Lgrey	W	15
68723	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980600.00	auger	40	red brown	W	10
68724	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980575.00	auger	50	dark brown	W	15
68726	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980525.00	auger	35	med brown	W	15
68727	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980500.00	auger	60	dark brown	W	30
68728	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980475.00	auger	60	dark brown	S	40
68729	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980450.00	auger	40	med brown	W	30
68730	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980425.00	auger	40	reddish brown	W	25
68731	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980400.00	auger	30	med brown	W	15
68732	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980375.00	auger	30	reddish brown	W	10
68733	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980350.00	auger	30	red brown	W	10
68734	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980325.00	auger	60	Lbrown	W	15
68735	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980300.00	auger	30	med brown	W	15
68736	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980275.00	auger	40	grey	W	15
68737	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980250.00	auger	40	L brown	W	5
68738	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980225.00	auger	50	grey brown	W	5
68739	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980200.00	auger	50	med brown	W	5
68740	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980175.00	auger	50	grey brown	W	5
68742	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980150.00	auger	30	grey brown	W	5
68743	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980100.00	auger	35	grey brown	W	10
68744	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980075.00	auger	50	med brown	N	20

ASSAY #	% RX CHIPS	% ORGANICS	NOTES	ROCK TYPE
68700	10	5		
68701	15	10		
68702	35	10		
68703	10	5		
68704	35	10		
68705	40	10		
68706	15	5		
68707	30	10		
68708	30	15		
68709	35	15		
68710	40	15		
68711	35	15		
68712	30	10		
68713	30	10		
68714	15	15		
68715	40	20		
68716	20	10		
68718	0			
68719	20	30		
68720	20	20		margrnSSH, MQT frags
68721	20	20	powdery	rusty MQT
68723	30	20		maroon shale
68724	30	30		
68726	30	30		maroon shale, MQT frags
68727	30	30		black shale
68728	50	20	dry creek bed	grey and grn SSH
68729	30	30		MQT and shale float
68730	30	20		maroon and green shale
68731	40	20		MQt float
68732	40	30		maroon and green shale
68733	40	20		maroon and green shale, MQT frags
68734	30	20		rusty MQT frags
68735	30	30		
68736	30	20	powdery	MQT float with pyr
68737	20	20		
68738	40	20		grey shale
68739	40	20		maroon and green shale frags
68740	30	20		maroon and green shale MQT frags
68742	40	20		MQT frags, maroon and grey shales
68743	30	40		green shale
68744	20	40		maroon shale

<u>ASSAY #</u>	<u>COORD</u>	<u>DATUM</u>	<u>ZONE</u>	<u>EASTING</u>	<u>NORTHING</u>	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>DEPTH (cm)</u>	<u>COLOUR</u>	<u>ASPECT</u>	<u>SLOPE</u>
68745	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980050.00	auger	30	red brown	W	10
68746	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980025.00	auger	30	orange brown	N	5
68747	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6980000.00	auger	50	orange brown	NW	5
68748	UTM	NAD83	8	639400.00	6979975.00	auger	45	red brown	W	5
68751	UTM	NAD83	8	639300.00	6979900.00	Auger	20	Dk_rd_brn	W	17
68752	UTM	NAD83	8	639300.00	6979925.00	Auger	10	orange_brn	NW	22
68753	UTM	NAD83	8	639300.00	6979950.00	Auger	20	lt_brn	NW	8
68754	UTM	NAD83	8	639300.00	6980000.00	Auger	25	lt_brn	W	40
68755	UTM	NAD83	8	639300.00	6980025.00	Auger	50	dk_brn	W	22
68756	UTM	NAD83	8	639300.00	6980050.00	Auger	30	orange_brn	W	17
68757	UTM	NAD83	8	639300.00	6980075.00	Auger	40	lt_brn	W	20
68758	UTM	NAD83	8	639300.00	6980100.00	Auger	30	lt_brn	W	18
68759	UTM	NAD83	8	639300.00	6980125.00	Auger	10	rd_brn	W	29
68760	UTM	NAD83	8	639300.00	6980175.00	Auger	10	orange_brn	W	22
68761	UTM	NAD83	8	639300.00	6980200.00	Auger	30	lt_brn	W	26
68762	UTM	NAD83	8	639300.00	6980225.00	Auger	25	gry_brn	W	15
68763	UTM	NAD83	8	639300.00	6980250.00	Auger	20	Orange_rd_brn	W	17
68764	UTM	NAD83	8	639300.00	6980275.00	Auger	15	lt_brn	NW	20
68765	UTM	NAD83	8	639300.00	6980300.00	Auger	15	gry_brn	W	36
68766	UTM	NAD83	8	639300.00	6980325.00	Auger	15	gry_brn	W	40
68767	UTM	NAD83	8	639300.00	6980375.00	Auger	35	dk_brn	W	40
68768	UTM	NAD83	8	639300.00	6980400.00	Auger	20	dk_brn	W	37
68769	UTM	NAD83	8	639300.00	6980425.00	Auger	25	gry_brn	W	38
68770	UTM	NAD83	8	639300.00	6980450.00	Auger	30	lt_brn	W	35
68771	UTM	NAD83	8	639300.00	6980475.00	Auger	75	dk_brn	W	38
68772	UTM	NAD83	8	639300.00	6980525.00	Auger	60	rd_brn	W	30
68773	UTM	NAD83	8	639300.00	6980550.00	Auger	30	rd_brn	W	32
68774	UTM	NAD83	8	639300.00	6980600.00	Auger	30	dk_gry	W	27
68776	UTM	NAD83	8	639300.00	6980625.00	Auger	50	brn	W	37
68777	UTM	NAD83	8	639300.00	6980650.00	Auger	60	brn	W	39

<u>ASSAY #</u>	<u>% RX CHIPS</u>	<u>% ORGANICS</u>	<u>NOTES</u>	<u>ROCK TYPE</u>
68745	40	30		grey shale and MQ float
68746	30	30		MQT float and rusty frags
68747	30	30		cherty frags
68748	20	50		greyish frags
68751	60	10	Grainy, hit rock	
68752	30	10	Hit Rock	
68753	0	30	Hit Rock	
68754	10	20	Hit Rock	
68755	0	20	Hit Rock	
68756	10	10	Hit Rock	
68757	10	20	Hit Rock	
68758	10	10	Hit Rock	
68759	10	20	Hit Rock	
68760	40	40	Hit Rock	
68761	20	20	Hit Rock	
68762	10	10	Hit Rock	
68763	40	40	Hit Rock	
68764	10	10	Hit Rock	
68765	20	20	Hit Rock	
68766	20	20	Hit Rock	
68767	20	20		
68768	20	20	Above Outcrop	
68769	10	10		
68770	10	10		
68771	10	20	Beside Creek	
68772	20	10	Poor GPS	
68773	30	10	Poor GPS	
68774	40	10	Poor GPS	
68776	40	20	Poor GPS	
68777	70	20	Poor GPS	

APPENDIX V
STATEMENT OF COSTS

Statement of costs

APPLICABLE EXPENDITURES FOR ASSESSMENT CREDITS

Hugo Creek Area Expenditures

<u>Description</u>	<u>Expenditure</u>
Labour (22 man days @ 250/day)	\$5500.00
Camp costs (22 man days @40/day)	\$880.00
Helicopter(1.3 hours @ 2000/hour)	\$2600.00
Geochemical Analyses (217 samples @ 20ea)	\$4340.00
Report Writing	<u>\$2000.00</u>
	\$15,320.00